Basic Principles of analysis and testing software

Barbara Russo SwSE - Software and Systems Engineering Research Group



Basic principles of analysis and testing

- As in any engineering discipline, techniques of software analysis and testing follow few key principles
- Principles aim at distinguishing one technique from another and determining the scope and the limits of the technique itself

Sensitivity

Better to fail every time than sometimes



Sensitivity

• Sensitivity requires techniques of abstraction: system behaviour cannot be related to specific circumstances



Example in Java

- Run-time **exceptions** help detect errors in a systematic way
- ArrayIndexOutOfBoundException:
 - It checks that the number of entries of an array does not exceed the available length of an array.
 - In languages like C, this is not checked and the array can be overwritten (wrapping) or the input can be cut with no notice to the execution thread

Example in Java

- ConcurrentModificationException:
 - When one or more thread is iterating over a collection, in between, one thread changes the structure of the collection (**race condition**)
 - These changes can lead to **unexpected behaviour** that might cause a failure

Solution: Fail fast

- Fail fast iterator
 - while iterating through the collection, **instantly throws ConcurrentModificationException** if there is any structural modification of the collection
 - Thus, when a concurrent modification occurs, the iterator fails **quickly and cleanly**, rather than risking arbitrary, non-deterministic behaviour at an undetermined time in the future

Example

/* import what you need*/

public class FailFastExample{

public static void main(String[] args){

```
Map<String,String> premiumPhone = new HashMap<String,String>();
```

```
premiumPhone.put("Apple", "iPhone");
```

```
premiumPhone.put("HTC", "HTC one");
```

```
premiumPhone.put("Samsung","S5");
```

Iterator iterator = premiumPhone.keySet().iterator();

```
while (iterator.hasNext()){
```

```
System.out.println(premiumPhone.get(iterator.next()));
```

```
premiumPhone.put("Sony", "Xperia Z");
```

}



iPhone

Exception in thread "main"

java.util.ConcurrentModificationException

at java.util.HashMap\$HashIterator.nextEntry(Unknown Source) at java.util.HashMap\$KeyIterator.next(Unknown Source) at FailFastExample.main(FailFastExample.java:**xx**)



Solution: Fail safe

- Fail Safe Iterator makes copy of the internal data structure (object) and iterates over the copied data structure
- Any structural modification affects the copied data structure
- Thus, original data structure remains structurally unchanged



Example

/* import what you need*/

public class FailSafeExample{

public static void main(String[] args{

ConcurrentHashMap<String,String> premiumPhone = new ConcurrentHashMap<String,String>(); premiumPhone.put("Apple", "iPhone"); premiumPhone.put("HTC", "HTC one"); premiumPhone.put("Samsung","S5"); Iterator iterator = premiumPhone.keySet().iterator(); while (iterator.hasNext()) { System.out.println(premiumPhone.get(iterator.next()));

premiumPhone.put("Sony", "Xperia Z"); }

}

Output

- iPhone
- HTC one
- S5



Fail safe

- No ConcurrentModificationException throws by the fail safe iterator
- Two issues associated with Fail Safe Iterator are :
 - **Overhead** of maintaining the copied data structure i.e memory
 - It does not guarantee that the data being read is the data currently in the **original data structure**



Differences

Fail Fast	Iterator
------------------	----------

Fail Safe Iterator

Throw ConcurrentModification	Yes	No
Clone object	No	Yes
Memory Overhead	No	Yes
Examples	HashMap,Vector,ArrayList, HashSet	CopyOnWriteArrayList, ConcurrentHashMap



Redundancy

Making intention explicit



Freie Universität Bozen Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

Redundancy

- From information theory: redundancy means **dependency** between transmissions.
 - Solution: create **guards** against transmission errors
- In software, redundancy means **consistency** between intended and actual system behaviour
 - Solution: create **guards** for artefacts consistency, **making intention explicit**

Examples

- Dependency among parts of code by using a variable
 - A variable is defined and then used elsewhere
- **Type declaration** is a form a redundancy
 - Type declaration constraints the way a variable is used in other part of the code
 - The compiler checks the correct use of the variable against its declared type



Restriction

Making the problem easier (substituting principle) or reducing the class under test



Substituting principle

- Verifying properties can be infeasible
 - **Substituting** a property with one that can be easier verified



Substituting principle

- In complex system, a direct verification can be infeasible
- Often this happens when properties are related to specific human judgements, but not only



Substituting principle

- Substituting a property Q with another one Q' that can be easier verified
- Examples:
 - Constraining the class of programs to verify
 - Separate human judgment from objective verification
 - Exploiting programming language's feature: serialization



Example - weaker specs

- A weaker spec may be easier to check:
 - It is impossible (in general) to show that pointers are used correctly, but the simple Java requirement that pointers are initialised (not null) before use is simple to enforce



Example - compiler verification

static void questionable(){ int k; for(int i=0; i<10;i++){ if(someCondition(i)){ k=0; } }</pre>



Example

- Compilers cannot be sure that k will ever be initialised: it depends on the condition
- Make the problem easier: modern Java compilers do not allow this code



Example - smoke testing

• Smoke testing: preliminary testing to reveal simple failures severe enough to, for example, reject a prospective software release.



Example - serialization

- "Race condition": interference between writing data in one process and reading or writing related data in another process (e.g., an array accessed by different threads)
- To avoid race conditions: testing the **integrity** of shared data
 - It is difficult as it is checked at run time
 - <u>Substitution principle:</u> adhere to a protocol of **serialisation**

Serialisation

• When group of objects or states can be transmitted as one entity and then at arrival reconstructed into the original distinct objects





Example: Java object serialisation

• An object can be represented as a **sequence of bytes** that includes the object's data as well as information about the object's type and its types of data



- After a serialised object has been written into some kind of memory, it can be read from it and deserialised: the type information and bytes that represent the object and its data can be used to recreate the object in memory
- The serialized object is not modified while is dispatched, thus the deserialized object preserves the integrity of the original object

Java object serialisation

• The ObjectOutputStream class contains the method

public final void writeObject(Object x)
throws IOException

• The method serialises an Object and sends it to the output stream



Java object serialisation

- Similarly, the ObjectInputStream class contains the method for deserialising an object: public final Object readObject() throws IOException, ClassNotFoundException
- This method retrieves the next Object out of the stream and deserialises it





Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

unibz



unibz



Partition

Divide and conquer: typical engineering principle



Example

- Divide testing into: unit testing, integration testing, subsystem and system testing to focus on different types of faults at different stages
 - At each stage, take advantage of the result of the previous stage

Example - partition

- Divide input into classes of equivalent expected output
- Then we use test criteria to identify representatives in classes to test a program



Equivalence partitioning





Setting goals and methods to achieve such goals Making information accessible



Models

- Models are simpler than the reality as they represent reality with a limited number of factors
- They help factorise the reality and perform program analysis and testing simply and fast
- They highlight specific characteristics of the SUT

Example - Control Flow Graphs

• CFG keeps information of next instruction to be executed and neglects variable values

```
1 boolean z = FALSE;
```

- 2 if(z && y<=2){
- 3 z=FALSE;
- 4 V++;
- 5 }

Some paths are infeasible





Feedback

Apply lessons learned from experience in process improvement and techniques



Examples - learning from experience

- Development projects provide information to improve the next
 - Checklists are built on the basis of errors revealed in the past
 - **Error taxonomies** can help in building better test selection criteria
 - Design guidelines can avoid common pitfalls

Examples

- Iterative testing in eXtreme programming
- Prototyping
- Data mining

