

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano – Faculty of Computer Science  
Master of Science in Computer Science  
Theory of Computing – A.Y. 2008/2009  
Final exam – 15/6/2009 – Part 1  
*Time: 90 minutes*

This is a closed book exam: the only resources allowed are blank paper, pens, and your head. Explain your reasoning. Write clearly, in the sense of logic, language and legibility. The clarity of your explanations affects your grade. Write your name and ID on every solution sheet. Good luck!

**Problem 1.1** [6 points] You must give a brief explanation of your answer to receive full credit.

- (a) Decide whether the following statement is TRUE or FALSE: The complement of a recursive language is recursively-enumerable.
- (b) Let  $N$  be a non-deterministic TM, and let  $D$  be the result of converting  $N$  into a deterministic TM. Sketch using two to three sentences the main idea underlying the conversion. How are the running times of  $N$  and  $D$  related to each other?
- (c) Decide whether the following statement is TRUE or FALSE: There exist two languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that there exists a reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , but there exists no reduction from  $\bar{L}_1$  to  $\bar{L}_2$  (where  $\bar{L}_i$  denotes the complement of  $L_i$ ).

**Problem 1.2** [6 points] Consider the language  $L_c = \{w\#\bar{w} \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ , where  $\bar{w}$  denotes the *complement* of  $w$ , i.e., the string obtained from  $w$  by substituting each 0 with a 1 and each 1 with a 0.

E.g.:  $\# \in L_c$ ,  $100\#011 \in L_c$ ,  $10\#1 \notin L_c$ ,  $100\#100 \notin L_c$ ,  $100\#001 \notin L_c$ .

- (a) Construct a TM  $M$  that accepts  $L_c$ .
- (b) Show the sequence of IDs of  $M$  on the input strings “10#1” and “10#01”.

**Problem 1.3** [6 points] Consider a language  $L$  over  $\{0,1\}$  for which there exists a TM  $M_e$  over  $\{0,1,\#\}$  that outputs on its tape all strings of  $L$  in *lexicographic order*, separating each string from the next by a  $\#$ . A string  $w \in L$  is considered to be output by  $M_e$ , as soon as the  $\#$  following  $w$  is written, and from that moment onward  $w$  is not touched anymore by  $M_e$ . Show that  $L$  is recursive.

**Problem 1.4** [6 points] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be primitive recursive functions. Show that the following functions are  $\mu$ -recursive:

- (a)  $h_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(z) = x + g(z), \text{ for some } z \geq 0 \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (b)  $h_2(x) = \begin{cases} \uparrow, & \text{if } f(z) + g(z) < x, \text{ for all } z \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (c)  $h_3(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f(y) + g(z) = x, \text{ for some } y \geq 0, z \geq 0 \\ \uparrow, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

**Problem 1.5** [6 points] Let  $p$  be a total predicate with  $n+1$  arguments, and  $f(\vec{x}, y)$  the  $n+1$ -argument function defined from  $p(\vec{x}, z)$  by *bounded minimization*.

- (a) Provide the formal definition of  $f(\vec{x}, y)$ . Describe the intuitive meaning of  $f(\vec{x}, y)$ .
- (b) Show that, when  $p$  is a primitive recursive predicate, then  $f$  is a primitive recursive function.  
[Hint: Exploit the fact that a bounded product and a bounded sum of a primitive recursive function is primitive recursive.]