

Review of formal proof techniques

Why do we need proofs in CS.

specification $\Rightarrow$ SW

How do we know that the SW respects the specification?

specification $\Rightarrow$ formal specification

SW $\leftarrow$ satisfies?

testing

proving = understanding how a complex program works

Deductive proof:

- start from a set H of hypotheses (i.e., given statements)
- show that if H is true, then a conclusion C is also true
- this is done through a sequence of steps:
 - for every step a new fact follows from H and/or previously proved facts by some accepted logical principle
 - the final fact of the sequence is C

Note: the hypothesis H may be either true or false

What we have proved when we go from H to C is:

"if H then C "

Note 2: H and C may depend on parameters that affect their truth-value

Example: "If n is even, then n^2 is even."

What does it mean that n is even?

There is an integer k s.t. $n = 2k$.

Proof:

(0.3)

"If-part": we assume x is an integer and prove $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$.

We use the definition: if x is an integer $\lfloor x \rfloor = x$
 $\lceil x \rceil = x$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$$

"Only-if part": we assume $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$ and prove that x is an integer

Def of floor: $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$ (1)

ceiling: $\lceil x \rceil \geq x$ (2)

Hypothesis: $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$ (3)

Substituting $\lceil x \rceil$ in place of $\lfloor x \rfloor$, we get from (1)
 $\lceil x \rceil \leq x$ and with (2) and arithmetic laws,
we get $\lceil x \rceil = x$

Since $\lceil x \rceil$ is an integer, so is x

Other forms of proofs:

- Proving equivalences of sets

e.g. show that the language accepted by A_1 is
the same as A_2

To show $E = F$ we have to show
expressions representing sets

1) $E \subseteq F$, i.e. if $x \in E$ then $x \in F$

2) $F \subseteq E$, i.e. if $x \in F$ then $x \in E$

Example: $R \cup (S \cap T) = (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$

$\begin{matrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & & F \end{matrix}$

1) If $x \in R \cup (S \cap T)$ then $x \in (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$

See HMU Figure 1.5

2) If $x \in (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$ then $x \in R \cup (S \cap T)$

See HMU Figure 1.6

Contrapositive:

To prove: "if H then C "

we can prove its contrapositive: "if not C , then not H "

We can easily see that a statement and its contrapositive are logically equivalent (i.e., either both true, or both false)

4 cases:

H	C	if H then C	if not C then not H
true	true	true	true
true	false	false	false
false	true	true	true
false	false	true	true

Example: "if n is even, then n^2 is even"

contrapositive: "if n^2 is not even, then n is not even"

Don't confuse contrapositive, with converse.

Note: To prove an iff statement, we prove a statement and its converse

- Proof by contradiction:

To prove "if H then C "

prove that " H and not C implies falsehood"

Example: $H =$ " U is an infinite set
 S is a finite subset of U
 T is the complement of S w.r.t U "

$C =$ " T is infinite"

Proof by contradiction of "if H then C "

Assume H and not C , i.e. H and T is finite.

(A set S is finite iff there is an integer n s.t. $|S| = n$
 number of elements of S)

S is finite $\Rightarrow$ there is n s.t. $|S| = n$

T is finite $\Rightarrow$... $|T| = m$

From H we know: $\left. \begin{array}{l} S \cup T = U \\ S \cap T = \emptyset \end{array} \right\} |S \cup T| = |U| = n + m$

$\Rightarrow U$ is finite, which is a contradiction

- Proof by counterexample:

- to prove something is not a theorem is often easier than to prove something is a theorem

It is sufficient to provide a counterexample

e.g. All odd numbers > 1 are prime

3 is not, which is a counterexample

Proof by induction:

0.6

Basic proof technique when dealing with recursively defined objects

- integers :
 - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ is an integer} \\ \text{if } n \text{ is an integer, then } n+1 \text{ is an integer} \\ \text{nothing else is an integer} \end{array} \right.$
- strings
 - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon \text{ is a string} \\ \text{if } x \text{ is a string and } a \in \Sigma, \text{ then } x \cdot a \text{ is a string} \\ \text{nothing else is a string} \end{array} \right.$
- binary trees
 - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a single node is a BT} \\ \text{if } N \text{ is a single node and } T_1, T_2 \text{ are BT} \\ \text{then } \begin{array}{c} N \\ / \quad \backslash \\ T_1 \quad T_2 \end{array} \text{ is a BT} \\ \text{nothing else is a BT} \end{array} \right.$

Induction on integers:

We want to prove a statement $S(n)$ about integer n .

We show:

- 1) We show $S(i)$, for some specific integer i (e.g. $i=0$)
(base step)
- 2) We assume $n \geq i$ and show "if $S(n)$ then $S(n+1)$ "
(inductive step)

We then resort to the Induction Principle

(0.7)

If we prove $S(i)$ and we prove that
for all $n \geq i$ " $S(n)$ implies $S(n+1)$ "
then we can conclude $S(n)$ for all $n \geq i$

N.B. The IP cannot be proved

Example: For all $n \geq 0$ $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (*)

base case: $n=0$: $\sum_{i=1}^0 i = 0$

inductive case: assume $n \geq 0$

we must prove that (*) implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$

(*) is called the inductive hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i &= \sum_{i=1}^n i + (n+1) = \underbrace{\frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2}}_{\text{by IH}} + (n+1) = \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2} + \frac{2 \cdot (n+1)}{2} = \frac{(n+2) \cdot (n+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Generalization of the basic induction scheme

- 1) We can use several base cases, i.e. we prove $S(i), S(i+1), \dots, S(j)$ for some $j > i$
- 2) In proving $S(n)$, we use all of $S(i), S(i+1), \dots, S(n)$ (strong induction)