1. Basics of First-Order Logic

Exercise 1.3 [9 points] Assume N is intended to mean "is a number"; I is intended to mean "is interesting"; < is intended to mean "is less than"; and 0 is a constant symbol intended to denote zero. Translate into first-order logic sentences the English sentences listed below. If the English sentence is ambiguous, you will need more than one translation.

- 1. Zero is less than any number.
- 2. If any number is interesting, then zero is interesting.
- 3. No number is less than zero.
- 4. Any uninteresting number with the property that all smaller numbers are interesting certainly is interesting.
- 5. There is no number such that all numbers are less than it.
- 6. There is no number such that no number is less than it.

Exercise 1.4 [3 points] For each of the following English sentences, write a corresponding sentence in FOL.

- 2. J is a job; a designates Adam; D(x, y) means that x can do y right.
 - (a) Adam can't do every job right.
 - (b) Adam can't do any job right.
- 3. Nobody likes everybody. (L(x, y) means x likes y.)

Exercise 1.5 [8 points] Consider the following English sentences. Can you formalize them in first-order logic? If yes, how? If not, provide a brief explanation that justifies your answer.

- 3. "It is not the case that there are some natural numbers smaller than 5 among which none is least."
- 4. "It is not the case that there are some numbers among which none is least."

Exercise 1.6 [4 points] For each group of sentences, write an interpretation under which the last sentence is false and all the rest are true.

- 2. $\forall x \exists y P(x,y) \\ \exists y \forall x P(x,y) \end{cases}$
- 3. $\forall x \ (P(x) \to Q(\mathsf{a}))$ $(\forall x \ P(x)) \to Q(\mathsf{a})$

Exercise 1.7 [6 points] For each group of sentences, give an interpretation in which all sentences are true.

- 1. $\forall x \ (P(x) \lor Q(x)) \to \exists x \ R(x)$ $\forall x \ (R(x) \to Q(x))$ $\exists x \ (P(x) \land \neg Q(x))$
- 2. $\forall x \neg P(x, x)$ $\forall x, y, z \ (P(x, y) \land P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z))$ $\forall x \exists y \ P(x, y)$
- 3. $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\forall x (Q(x) \rightarrow \exists y P(y, x))$ $\exists x Q(x)$ $\forall x \neg P(x, x)$

Submission deadline: March 13, 2015, 20:30 by email to Guohui Xiao.