

# Review of formal proof techniques

0.1

5/10/2005

4/10/2006

10/10/2007

Why do we need proofs in CS.

specification  $\Rightarrow$  SW

How do we know that the SW respects the specification?

specification  $\Rightarrow$  formal specification

SW  $\leftarrow$  retrofits?

testing

proving = understanding how a complex program works

## Deductive proof:

- start from a set  $H$  of hypotheses (i.e., given statements)
- show that if  $H$  is true, then a conclusion  $C$  is also true
- this is done through a sequence of steps:
  - for every step a new fact follows from  $H$  and/or previously proved facts by some accepted logical principle
  - the final fact of the sequence is  $C$

Note: the hypothesis  $H$  may be either true or false

What we have proved when we go from  $H$  to  $C$  is:

"if  $H$  then  $C$ "

Note 2:  $H$  and  $C$  may depend on parameters that affect their truth-value.

Example: "If  $n$  is even, then  $n^2$  is even."

What does it mean that  $n$  is even?

There is an integer  $k$  s.t.  $n = 2k$ .



Proof:

(0.3)

"If-part": we assume  $x$  is an integer and prove  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$ .

We use the definition: if  $x$  is an integer  $\lfloor x \rfloor = x$   
 $\lceil x \rceil = x$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$$

"Only-if part": we assume  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$  and prove that  $x$  is an integer.

Def of floor:  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$  (1)

ceiling:  $\lceil x \rceil \geq x$  (2)

Hypothesis:  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil$  (3)

Substituting  $\lceil x \rceil$  in place of  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ , we get from (1)

$$\lceil x \rceil \leq x \text{ and with (2) and arithmetic laws,}$$

$$\text{we get } \lceil x \rceil = x$$

Since  $\lceil x \rceil$  is an integer, so is  $x$ .

### Other forms of proofs:

#### Proving equivalences of sets

e.g. show that the language accepted by  $A_1$  is the same as  $A_2$

To show  $E = F$  we have to show expressions representing sets

1)  $E \subseteq F$ , i.e. if  $x \in E$  then  $x \in F$

2)  $F \subseteq E$ , i.e. if  $x \in F$  then  $x \in E$

Example:  $R \cup (S \cap T) = (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$

|  
E

|  
F

1)  $\exists x \in R \cup (S \cap T)$  then  $x \in (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$

See HMU Figure 1.5

2)  $\exists x \in (R \cup S) \cap (R \cup T)$  then  $x \in R \cup (S \cap T)$

See HMU Figure 1.6

Contrapositive:

To prove: "if H then C"

we can prove its contrapositive: "if not C, then not H"

We can easily see that a statement and its contrapositive are logically equivalent (i.e., either both true, or both false)

4 cases:

H	C	if H then C	if not C then not H
true	true	true	true
true	false	false	false
false	true	true	true
false	false	true	true

Example: "if n is even, then n<sup>2</sup> is even"

contrapositive: "if n<sup>2</sup> is not even, then n is not even"

Don't confuse contrapositive, with converse.

Note: To prove an iff statement, we prove a statement and its converse

- Proof by contradiction:

To prove "if H then C"

prove that "H and not C implies falsehood"

Example: H = "U is an infinite set  
S is a finite subset of U  
T is the complement of S wrt U"

C = "T is infinite"

Proof by contradiction of "if H then C"

Assume H and not C, i.e. H and T is finite.

(A set S is finite iff there is an integer n s.t.  $|S| = n$ )

number of elements of S

S is finite  $\Rightarrow$  there is an n s.t.  $|S| = n$

T is finite  $\Rightarrow$  there is an m s.t.  $|T| = m$

From H we know:  $S \cup T = U$   
 $S \cap T = \emptyset$  }  $|S \cup T| = |U| = n + m$

$\Rightarrow$  U is finite, which is a contradiction

- Proof by counterexample:

- to prove something is not a theorem is often easier than to prove something is a theorem

It is sufficient to provide a counterexample

e.g. All odd numbers  $> 1$  are prime

3 is not, which is a counterexample

## Proof by induction:

0.6

Basic proof technique when dealing with recursively defined objects

- integers:  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ is an integer} \\ \text{if } n \text{ is an integer, then } n+1 \text{ is an integer} \\ \text{nothing else is an integer} \end{array} \right.$
  
- strings:  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ is a string} \\ \text{if } x \text{ is a string and } a \in \Sigma, \text{ then } x \cdot a \text{ is a string} \\ \text{nothing else is a string} \end{array} \right.$
  
- binary trees:  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a single node is a BT} \\ \text{if } N \text{ is a single node and } T_1, T_2 \text{ are BT} \\ \text{then } \begin{array}{c} N \\ / \quad \backslash \\ T_1 \quad T_2 \end{array} \text{ is a BT} \\ \text{nothing else is a BT} \end{array} \right.$

## Induction on integers:

We want to prove a statement  $S(n)$  about integer  $n$ .

We show:

- 1) We show  $S(i)$ , for some specific integer  $i$  (e.g.  $i=0$ )  
(base step)
- 2) We assume  $n \geq i$  and show "if  $S(n)$  then  $S(n+1)$ "  
(inductive step)

We then resort to the Induction Principle

(0.7)

If we prove  $S(i)$  and we prove that  
for all  $n \geq i$  " $S(n)$  implies  $S(n+1)$ "  
then we can conclude  $S(n)$  for all  $n \geq i$

N.B. The IP cannot be proved

Example: For all  $n \geq 0$   $\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  (\*)

base case:  $n=0$ :  $\sum_{i=0}^0 i = 0$

inductive case: assume  $n \geq 0$

we must prove that (\*) implies  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$

(\*) is called the inductive hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} i &= \sum_{i=0}^n i + (n+1) = \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \\ &\quad \text{by IH} \\ &= \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{2} + \frac{2 \cdot (n+1)}{2} = \frac{(n+2) \cdot (n+1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Generalisation of the basic induction scheme

- 1) We can use several base cases, i.e. we prove  $S(i), S(i+1), \dots, S(j)$  for some  $j > i$
- 2) In proving  $S(n)$ , we use all of  $S(i), S(i+1), \dots, S(n)$   
(strong induction)