

# Formal Methods Lecture VII

## Symbolic Model Checking

**Alessandro Artale**

Faculty of Computer Science – Free University of Bozen-Bolzano  
artale@inf.unibz.it      <http://www.inf.unibz.it/~artale/>  
Room 2.03  
Academic Year: 2010/11

Some material (text, figures) displayed in these slides is courtesy of:  
M. Benerecetti, A. Cimatti, M. Fisher, F. Giunchiglia, M. Pistore,  
M. Roveri, R. Sebastiani.

- 1 Representing Set of States as OBDD's
- 2 Symbolic Model-Checking Algorithm

## Main Ideas

- OBDD's allow systems with a large state space to be verified.
- The Labeling algorithm takes a CTL formula and returns a **set of states** manipulating intermediate **set of states**.
- The algorithm is changed by storing set of states as OBDD's and then manipulating them.
- Model checking using OBDD's is called **Symbolic Model Checking**.

# Symbolic Representation of States

## Example:

- Three state variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ :  
 $\{000, 001, 010, 011\}$  represented as “first bit false”:  $\neg x_1$
- With five state variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ :  
 $\{00000, 00001, 00010, 00011, 00100, 00101, 00110, 00111, \dots, 01111\}$  still represented as “first bit false”:  $\neg x_1$

## Symbolic Representation of States (Cont.)

- Let  $M = (S, I, R, L, AP)$  be a Kripke structure
- States  $s \in S$  are described by means of a vector  $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of boolean values: One for each  $x_i \in AP$ .
  - A **state**,  $s$ , is a **truth assignment** to each variable in  $AP$  such that  $v_i = 1$  iff  $x_i \in L(s)$ .
  - **Example:** **0100** represents the state  $s$  where only  $x_2 \in L(s)$ .

## Symbolic Representation of States (Cont.)

- Boolean vectors can be represented by boolean formulas
  - **Example:** **0100** can be represented by the formula
$$\xi(s) = (\neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \neg x_3 \wedge \neg x_4)$$
- We call  $\xi(s)$  the formula representing the state  $s \in S$  (Intuition:  $\xi(s)$  holds iff the system is in the state  $s$ )
- A **set of states**,  $Q \subseteq S$ , can be represented by the formula – **Characteristic Function of  $Q$ :**

$$\xi(Q) = \bigvee_{s \in Q} \xi(s)$$

- Thus, (set of) states can be encoded as OBDD's!

## Remark

- ▷ Any propositional formula is a (typically very compact) representation of the set of assignments satisfying it
- ▷ **Any formula equivalent to  $\xi(Q)$  is a representation of  $Q$**   
 $\Rightarrow$  Typically  $Q$  can be encoded by much smaller formulas than  $\bigvee_{s \in Q} \xi(s)$ !
- ▷ **Example:**  $Q = \{00000, 00001, 00010, 00011, 00100, 00101, 00110, 00111, \dots, 01111\}$  represented as “first bit false”:  $\neg x_1$

$$\bigvee_{s \in Q} \xi(s) = \left. \begin{array}{l} (\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_3 \wedge \neg x_4 \wedge \neg x_5) \vee \\ (\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_3 \wedge \neg x_4 \wedge x_5) \vee \\ (\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge \neg x_5) \vee \\ \dots \\ (\neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge x_5) \end{array} \right\} 2^4 \text{ disjuncts}$$

## Symbolic Representation of Transitions


- The transition relation  $R$  is a set of pairs of states:  $R \subseteq S \times S$ .
- Then, a single transition is a pair of states  $(s, s')$ .
- A new vector of variables  $V'$  (the **next state vector**) represents the value of variables after the transition has occurred.
- $\xi(s, s')$  **defined as**  $\xi(s) \wedge \xi(s')$ .
- The transition relation  $R$  can be (naively) represented by

$$\bigvee_{(s,s') \in R} \xi(s, s') = \bigvee_{(s,s') \in R} \xi(s) \wedge \xi(s')$$



## Remark

- ▷ **Any formula equivalent to  $\xi(R)$  is a representation of  $R$**   
 $\Rightarrow$  Typically  $R$  can be encoded by a much smaller formula than  $\bigvee_{(s,s') \in R} \xi(s) \wedge \xi(s')$
- ▷ **Example:** a synchronous sequential circuit



$v_1$	$v_0$	$v'_1$	$v'_0$
0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(R) &= (v'_0 \Leftrightarrow \neg v_0) \wedge (v'_1 \Leftrightarrow v_0 \oplus v_1) \\ \bigvee_{(s,s') \in R} \xi(s) \wedge \xi(s') &= (\neg v_0 \wedge \neg v_1 \wedge v'_0 \wedge \neg v'_1) \vee \\ &\quad (v_0 \wedge \neg v_1 \wedge \neg v'_0 \wedge v'_1) \vee \\ &\quad (\neg v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v'_0 \wedge v'_1) \vee \\ &\quad (v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge \neg v'_0 \wedge \neg v'_1) \end{aligned}$$

# Summary

- Representing Set of States as OBDD's.
- **Symbolic Model-Checking Algorithm.**

# Intro

## Problem: $M \models \varphi$ ?

- Let  $M = \langle S, I, R, L, AP \rangle$  be a Kripke structure and  $\varphi$  be a CTL formula.
- The Symbolic Model-Checking algorithm is a Labeling algorithm that makes use of OBDD.
- It is implemented by a recursive procedure `CHECK` with:
  - **Input:**  $\varphi$ , the formula to be checked;
  - **Output:**  $B_\varphi$ , the OBDD representing the states satisfying  $\varphi$ .

## Intro (Cont.)

- To check whether  $I \subseteq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ :

$$(\mathbf{B}_I \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\varphi) \equiv \mathbf{B}_T$$

i.e.,


$$\text{APPLY}(\Rightarrow, \mathbf{B}_I, \mathbf{B}_\varphi) \equiv \mathbf{B}_T$$

- To compute OBDD's for CTL formulas we need to understand how to compute them in case of the temporal operators:

$\diamond_P \bigcirc, \diamond_P \mathcal{U}, \diamond_P \square$ .

## Prelmage

- ▷ Backward (pre) image of a set:



- ▷ Evaluate all transitions ending in the states of the set.
- ▷ Set theoretic view:

$$\text{Prelmage}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}) := \{s \in \mathbf{S} \mid \exists s'. (s, s') \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } s' \in \mathbf{P}\}$$


- ▷ Logical Characterization:

$$\xi(\text{Prelmage}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R})) := \exists \mathbf{V}'. (\xi(\mathbf{P})[\mathbf{V}'] \wedge \xi(\mathbf{R})[\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}'])$$

- ▷ N.B.: quantification over propositional variables

# Prelmage: An Example

- ▷ **Example:** A synchronous sequential circuit



$v_1$	$v_0$	$v'_1$	$v'_0$
0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0

$$\xi(R) = (v'_0 \Leftrightarrow \neg v_0) \wedge (v'_1 \Leftrightarrow v_0 \oplus v_1)$$

$$\xi(P) := (v_0 \Leftrightarrow v_1) \text{ (i.e., } P = \{00, 11\})$$

- ▷ Pre Image:

$$\xi(\text{Prelmage}(P, R)) = \exists V'. (\xi(P)[V'] \wedge \xi(R)[V, V'])$$

$$= \exists V'. ((v'_0 \Leftrightarrow v'_1) \wedge (v'_0 \Leftrightarrow \neg v_0) \wedge (v'_1 \Leftrightarrow v_0 \oplus v_1))$$



# The CHECK Symbolic M.C. Algorithm

```

CHECK( $\varphi$ ) {
  case  $\varphi$  of
    true:           return  $B_{\top}$ ;
    false:          return  $B_{\perp}$ ;
    an atom  $x_i$ :   return  $B_{x_i}$ ;
     $\neg\varphi_1$ :        return INVERT(CHECK( $\varphi_1$ ));
     $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ : return APPLY( $\wedge$ , CHECK( $\varphi_1$ ), CHECK( $\varphi_2$ ));
     $\diamond_P \bigcirc \varphi_1$ : return PRE(CHECK( $\varphi_1$ ));
     $\diamond_P (\varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2)$ : return CHECK_EU(CHECK( $\varphi_1$ ), CHECK( $\varphi_2$ ));
     $\diamond_P \square \varphi_1$ : return CHECK_EG(CHECK( $\varphi_1$ ));
  }
    
```



# CHECK\_EG

$$\llbracket \Diamond \Box \varphi \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \text{PRE}(\llbracket \Diamond \Box \varphi \rrbracket)$$

```

CHECK_EG( $B_\varphi$ ) {
    var  $X, \text{OLD-}X$ ;
     $X := B_\varphi$ ;
     $\text{OLD-}X := B_\perp$ ;
    while  $X \neq \text{OLD-}X$ 
    begin
         $\text{OLD-}X := X$ ;
         $X := \text{APPLY}(\wedge, X, \text{PRE}(X))$ 
    end
    return  $X$ 
}
    
```

## Check\_EU

$$\llbracket \diamond (\varphi \cup \psi) \rrbracket = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \cup (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \cap \text{PRE}(\llbracket \diamond (\varphi \cup \psi) \rrbracket))$$

```

CHECK_EU( $B_\varphi, B_\psi$ ) {
    var  $X, OLD-X$ ;
     $X := B_\psi$ ;
     $OLD-X := B_\top$ ;
    while  $X \neq OLD-X$ 
    begin
         $OLD-X := X$ ;
         $X := \text{APPLY}(\vee, X, \text{APPLY}(\wedge, B_\varphi, \text{PRE}(X)))$ 
    end
    return  $X$ 
}
    
```

# CTL Symbolic Model Checking–Summary

- ▷ Based on fixed point CTL M.C. algorithms
- ▷ Kripke structure encoded as boolean formulas (OBDDs)
- ▷ All operations handled as (quantified) boolean operations
- ▷ **Avoids building the state graph explicitly**
- ▷ Reduces dramatically the state explosion problem  
⇒ problems of up to  $10^{120}$  states handled!!

## Partitioned Transition Relations

- ▷ There may be significant efficiency problems:
  - The transition relation may be too large to construct
  - Intermediate OBDDs may be too large to handle.
- ▷ IDEA: Partition conjunctively the transition relation:

$$R(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}') \leftrightarrow \bigwedge_i R_i(\mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{V}'_i)$$

- ▷ Trade one “big” quantification for a sequence of “smaller” quantifications
  - $\exists \mathbf{V}'_1 \dots \mathbf{V}'_n. (R_1(\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge R_n(\mathbf{V}_n, \mathbf{V}'_n) \wedge Q(\mathbf{V}'))$   
 by pushing quantifications inward can be reduced to
  - $\exists \mathbf{V}'_1. (R_1(\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \exists \mathbf{V}'_n. (R_n(\mathbf{V}_n, \mathbf{V}'_n) \wedge Q(\mathbf{V}')))$   
 which is typically much smaller

# Symbolic Model Checkers

- ▷ **Most hardware design companies have their own Symbolic Model Checker(s)**
  - Intel, IBM, Motorola, Siemens, ST, Cadence, ...
  - very advanced tools
  - proprietary technology!
- ▷ **On the academic side**
  - CMU SMV [McMillan]
  - VIS [Berkeley, Colorado]
  - Bwolen Yang's SMV [CMU]
  - NuSMV [CMU, IRST, UNITN, UNIGE]
  - ...

# Summary of Lecture VII

- Representing Set of States as OBDD's.
- Symbolic Model-Checking Algorithm.