

Test Case Specifications and Test adequacy

Research Methods - Barbara Russo

SwSE - Software and Systems Engineering

Test Case Selection

- How do we create tests?
- Test are defined in terms of their adequacy against certain criteria

Test completeness and adequacy

- It is impossible to find a set of tests that ensures the correctness of a product
- We can only determine whether test sets **are not adequate** for a given criterion we set. Examples. A test suite is inadequate to guard against faults in:
 - ... **specifications**. If in the specifications, we give different permissions to different actors of a system and a test suite does not check that in fact the permissions are different
 - ... **statements**. If we consider executable statements and a test suite does not cover all the executable statements (except infeasible statements)

Test Case

- A test case is a choice of Inputs, Execution Conditions and Pass / Fail Criterion
- Example “Permission”.
 - I: {read, write},
 - EC: under domain environment,
 - PFC: {when owner ->TRUE, when guest ->FALSE}

Test Case

- Input: all kind of stimuli that contribute to a specific behaviour
- Output oracle: given against expected output or other peculiar way to determine that an output is correct (e.g., 100% coverage)

Test Case Specification

- A specification to be satisfied by one or more test cases.
- Examples:
 - **TC specification:** A system has multiple actors. **TC Input:** {owner, guest, administrator or user, group, other}
 - **TC specification:** Word processor must open one or more files; **TC 1: Input:** one file; **TC2:Input:** 2 files
- It may also describe some aspects of input and output.
Example:
 - **TC specification:** Word processor requires some recovery policy while opening files

Types of Testing

- Functional testing (Black-box testing).
- Structural testing (White-box)
- Fault based testing
- Fault-seeding testing

Functional Testing (Black-box testing)

- *Test Case specification can be derived from **product specification**, which in turn can include description of **input and output**, or from any system **observable behaviour** (often reported as deviation from the expected one). Example:*
 - **Observation:** a DB system requires robust failure recovery in case of power loss
 - **TC specification:** Removing power at certain critical point in processing queries
- Example:
 - Finite State Machine. If the system is described as a control flow graph, a *test case specification can be a selection of feasible execution paths*

Structural Testing (White-box Testing)

- Test cases are derived from the structure of the code

```
1. public static void String collapseSpaces(String argStr)f
2.     char last = argStr.charAt(0);
3.     StringBuffer argBuf = new StringBuffer();
4.     for(int cldx=0; cldx<argStr.length(), clds++){
5.         char ch = argStr.charAt(cldx);
6.         if(ch!= ' ' || last!= ' '){
7.             argBuf.append(ch)
8.             last=ch;
9.         }
10.    }
11.}
```

Structural Testing (White-box Testing)

- Test case specification for **general rules**:
Example: Empty string must be tested
- Test case specification for **conditions**:
Example: test the two conditions of the if clause separately

Fault-base Testing

- Test cases are derived from reported fault
- Example
 - **Reported:** Race condition experienced in multi threads
 - **Test case specification:** test for synchronisation in multi threads

Example of fault-base Testing

- Fault seeding testing
- Mutation Testing

Fault Seeding Testing

- Fault-seeding testing is fault-base testing that deliberately **seeds faults** and define test case specifications to test them

Fault Seeding Testing

- Let S is the total number of seeded faults, and $s(t)$ is the number of seeded faults that have been discovered at time t .
 - $s(t)/S$ is the seed-discovery effectiveness of testing to time t .

Issues

- Inserting faults into software involves the obvious risk of leaving them there
- Faults injected are "typical" faults

Mutation Testing

- Fault mutation is a **fault-base testing** technique that mutates the original code
- Each atomic change is called **mutant**. Each mutant injects one fault
 - It creates a test case per mutant.
 - If a mutant fails any test, then it is said to be **killed**
 - All mutants that are not killed are said to remain **live at this point**

Example of Mutation Operators

<i>Mutation Operator</i>	<i>Original Code</i>	<i>Mutated Code</i>
Add 1	$q=0$	$q=1$
Replace Variable	$r=x$	$r=y$
Replace Operator	$q=q+1$	$q=q-1$

Test Case Adequacy

Research Methods - Barbara Russo

SwSE - Software and Systems Engineering

Test Case Adequacy

- Ideally, adequacy means that test cases show **correctness** of a product
- In practice, we can only approximate the problem by setting a set of adequacy criteria and we can only discuss whether a set of test cases is **inadequate against such criteria**
- If this happens, we can extend the test cases

Test Case Adequacy

- Even if we are able to prove that all test cases satisfy all adequacy criteria, we cannot say that the product is correct

Test Case Adequacy

- **Adequacy criterion:** a predicate that is TRUE/FALSE on a pair $\langle \text{Program}, \text{Test Suite} \rangle$
 - Example: the test suite exercises all executable statements

Test obligation

- **Test obligation:** a partial test specifications that checks an adequacy criterion
 - Example: Execute an executable statement
- An adequacy criterion can be checked by one or more test obligations
 - Example: Execute all executable statements

Test Suite

- A test suite (i.e. a set of test cases) satisfies an adequacy criterion if **all its test cases succeed** and for **every test obligation** checking the adequacy criterion, there exists **at least a test case that satisfies it**

Adequacy degree

- The adequacy degree is the level of adequacy a test suite achieves against an adequacy criterion:
- Example: **percentage** of statement coverage for a pair $\langle \text{myProgram}, \text{myTestSuite} \rangle$
- Example: **ratio** of killed total mutants (K/M) measures the adequacy degree of a test suite in mutation testing

Test Subsumption

- An adequacy criterion A subsumes an adequacy criterion B if *every test suite X that satisfies A contains some test suite Y that satisfies B (i.e. X also satisfies B)*
- Example: Branch coverage subsumes executable statement coverage
- We tend to discard adequacy criteria that are subsumed

Test Subsumption

- Stronger adequacy criteria can potentially reveal more faults

Structural Testing (White-box Testing)

- Test cases are derived from the structure of the code

```
1. public static void String collapseSpaces(String argStr){
2.     char last = argStr.charAt(0);
3.     StringBuffer argBuf = new StringBuffer();
4.     for(int cldx=0; cldx<argStr.length(), clds++){
5.         char ch = argStr.charAt(cldx);
6.         if(ch!=' ' || last!=' '){
7.             argBuf.append(ch)
8.             last=ch;
9.         }
10.    }
11.}
```

Example

- In the code example above, we can satisfy the statement coverage (obligation: execute statements) by a test case with any string with no spaces, but if we want to satisfy the if condition (branch coverage) we need to create another test case with a string containing empty characters (to test the true and the false of the branch)

Example

- If we want to test the for loop at different counter lengths, we might need to add new test case obligations.
- For example, in the above code example, testing for `argStr.length()==0` will include a new test case for an empty string. If it is not checked it can cause future failures