



Recommenders

Non-Personalised Recommendations

- Usually recommendations are personalised
- But there are examples of non personalised recommendations
- Product reviews web sites rank items according to the opinions of all the users
- Example: The IMDb Top 250 is intended to be a listing of the top 'rated' 250 films, based on ratings by the registered users of the website

$$W_i = \frac{R_i v_i + Cm}{v_i + m}$$

- W_i is the final score of movie i , v_i is the number of reviews for movie i , R_i is the average rating of i , m is a threshold (the number of reviews that a movie must have to enter the top 250 – it is 1300), and C is the average rating of top 250.

IMDb non personalized score

- Compute the non personalized IMDb score for the two movies
 - Casablanca 8.8 (average rating) 132,907 votes
 - Star wars: 8.8 (average rating) 259,593 votes
- Then do it again for the movies
 - Rocky 7.9 (average rating) 63,943 votes
 - Barry Lindon: 7.9 (average rating) 29,259 votes
- $C = 8.2452, m=1300$
- Write the formula in excel
- Has *Casablanca* a higher score than *Star Wars*? Has *Barry Lindon* a higher score than *Rocky*?

Exercise: compute the prediction for v_{ij} in the 3 cases

	p_j	
u_5	4	$v_5 = 4$
u_i	?	$v_i = 3.2$
u_8	3	$v_8 = 3.5$
u_9	5	$v_9 = 3$

Users' similarities: $u_{i5} = 0.5$, $u_{i8} = 0.5$, $u_{i9} = 0.8$

$$v_{ij}^* = v_i + K \sum_{v_{kj} \neq ?} u_{ik} (v_{kj} - v_k)$$

$$v_{ij}^* = K \sum_{v_{kj} \neq ?} u_{ik} v_{kj}$$

$$v_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{u_k \in U(u_i)} v_{kj}$$

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
1	a			1		4	5			4		3					2			4		2				
0,0541	b			4							3							5	1		3					
0	c		5		4			4					3		5						4		5			
0,3212	d								3				5				3				4		2			3
0,132	e		3					5			4	5				5					1				5	4
0,3424	f			4				1		3	5		4	1		5	4	4			4				3	
0,4714	g	2	4				4		2			5		1	4	5		4	2	4		4		5		4
0,2115	h			2		1		4		3	5		4	2		5	4	5							5	
0,2463	i		1						3			5			5		4	4			5			4		3
0,3664	j			4			4				5			1		5		4			4				4	
0,4584	k		5				4			2		5		1	5		4		2		4					2
0,2996	l					3				3			4	1		4		4	2	4						3
0,4054	m	5		3					5	3		5	4		5	5	3				4	4	5	4		4
0,5151	n			1		4	5				4	5		1	5		4		3		4		4		4	3
0,1891	o			4			4				5		4		5			4	2		5		5			3
0,2792	p				4			5								5	4		2	4	4	4	5	4		2
0,3489	q					3			3					1	5		4	4			4			4		3
0,3023	r		4			1	4		2					2		5		4					5	4		4
0,4446	s			2		4		4			5			1			4		2	4		4			5	
0	t		1		4			3					4		5	5		4			4					3
0,4727	u			2		1		4		3				1		5	4		2	4		5	4			
0,546	v					4	5				4	3		5			2						2			5
0,3145	w				2			2		3			5		4	4	5		4	2		3	4			
0	x	4			5				3		3					5						1				
0,2894	y			1			3				2	3						3	3		5		4			

Identify the “most frequent item recommendation” for user a

Numbers on the left are the similarities with user a

Base the recommendations on the 4 nearest neighbors

Identify the most reliable neighbors among the 4 nearest neighbor (max overlap)

Evaluating Recommenders

- Define the Mean Absolute Error MAE
 - Compute MAE for a test set of 100 predictions where the actual rating was always 5 and the system predicted in 60% of the cases 5, in 30% 4, and in 10% 3.
- Define the notion of precision and the recall of a recommender system
 - Let us assume that in a movie catalogue there are 200 movies that are relevant for user u
 - What is the precision and recall of the top-5 recommendations if 4 are relevant for user u
 - What is the precision and recall of the top-10 recommendations if 6 are relevant for user u
 - Would it be better to show the top-5 or top-10 recommendations? Discuss it.
 - Compare F1 in the two cases.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
a			1		4	5			4		3					2			4		2				
b			4							3							5	1		3					
c		5		4			4					5	3		5				4	4		5			
d								3								3					2			3	
e		3					5			4	5				5				4	1			5	4	
f			4				1		3	5		4	1		5	4	4		4				3		
g	2	4				4	2				5		1	4	5		4	2	4		5				4
h			2		1		4	3	5			4	2		5	4	5						5		
i		1					3			5					5	4	4		5			4		3	
j			4			4				5			1		5		4		4				4		
k		5				4			2		5		1	5		4		2		4				2	
l					3		3					4	1		4	4	4	2	4						3
m	5		3				5	3			5	4			5	5	3		4	4	5	4			4
n			1		4	5				4	5		1		5		4	3		4		4	3		
o		4			4					5		4			5			4	2	5		5		3	
p				4			5								5	4		2	4	4	5	4		2	
q								3					1	5		4	4		4		5	4		3	
r		4			1	4		2					2		5		4		4		5	4		4	
s																									
t		2		4			4			5			1			4		2	4		4		5		
u	1						3					4		5	5		4		4					3	
v			2		1		4		3				1		5	4		2	4		5	4			
w					4	5			4	3			5			2					2			5	
x	4			5				3		3				4	5					1					
y			1			3				2	3							3	3		5		4		

Assume we want to compute the item-to-item CF rating prediction for the product 1 for user n

We must compute the similarity of product 1 with the products bought by user n but we can do that only with products: 11, 14, and 20.

$$\text{Sim}(1,11)=0.4, \text{Sim}(1,14)=0.3, \text{Sim}(1,20)=0.3$$

What is the final prediction for product 1?

The importance to be precise

- ❑ Assume that the maximal conversion rate of your web site is $c_{\max} = 5\%$ (5% of the visitors are buyers)
- ❑ Assume that this conversion rate is achieved when the recommender system is 100% precise, i.e., $\text{MAE}=0$
- ❑ Assume that the conversion rate is proportional to the a-th power of the accuracy: $c = c_{\max} (1 - e)^a$
- ❑ Imagine that you have 1.000.000 visitors and each of them is a potential buyer for an item worth 10 Euro
- ❑ Compute the loss in revenues if your system has an error equal to 10% (assume $a=1$).
- ❑ Repeat the same computation assuming that $a=3$. How much will you lose now?

R-precision

- Suppose to test your RS computing the **precision at k** , i.e., the precision of the top k items retrieved (over a query or averaged over n queries)
- Assume that $k = 10$, and that in the test set you have 20 users, 10 of them have 5 relevant items in the test set and, the other 10 have 20 relevant items in the test set
- Assume that your RS is "perfect" and always ranks the recommendations such that the relevant items are on the top
- What is the **precision at 10** of your RS? (average the precision at 10 for each user)
- Why it is not 1?

R-precision

- ❑ Consider the following definition of **R-precision**
- ❑ R-precision for a query is defined as the precision of the top k elements where k is equal to the number of relevant elements
- ❑ R-precision for a set of queries is the average R-precision for the queries in the set
- ❑ Compute R-precision for the experiment described in the previous slide
- ❑ How it scores?
- ❑ Is R-precision solving the problem of the precision measure identified in the previous example?
- ❑ What is the main difficulty in applying R-precision?

Demographic Methods (more sophisticated)

	gender	age	area code	education	employed	Dolce
Karen	F	15	714	HS	F	+
Lynn	F	17	714	HS	F	-
Chris	M	35	714	C	T	+
Mike	F	40	714	C	T	-
Jill	F	10	714	E	F	?

- ❑ Compute the **odds** that Jill will like Dolce given these data using the Naïve Bayes classifier
- ❑ $\text{Odds}(\text{Dolce}=+ \mid \text{Jill}) = P(\text{Dolce}=+ \mid \text{Jill}) / P(\text{Dolce}=- \mid \text{Jill})$
- ❑ To estimate the probabilities use the frequency counts
- ❑ For estimating $P(x=v \mid c)$, where x is an attribute (e.g., "gender") and c is $+$ or $-$, use the following formula
- ❑ $P(x=v \mid c) = (\text{'# of examples in class } c \text{ where } x=v' + 1) / (\text{'# of examples in } c' + \text{'# of possible values for } x')$
- ❑ The above method is called "Laplace smoothing".