Introduction to Database Systems

Queries in SQL

Werner Nutt

The select Statement (Basic Version)

• Query statements in SQL start with the keyword

select

and return a result in table form

selectAttribute ... AttributefromTable ... Table[whereCondition]

- The three parts are usually called
 - target list
 - from clause
 - where clause

MotherChild

| mother | child |
|--------|-------|
| Lisa | Mary |
| Lisa | Greg |
| Anne | Kim |
| Anne | Phil |
| Mary | Andy |
| Mary | Rob |

FatherChild

| father | child |
|--------|-------|
| Steve | Frank |
| Greg | Kim |
| Greg | Phil |
| Frank | Andy |
| Frank | Rob |

| Person | | |
|--------|-----|--------|
| name | age | income |
| Andy | 27 | 21 |
| Rob | 25 | 15 |
| Mary | 55 | 42 |
| Anne | 50 | 35 |
| Phil | 26 | 30 |
| Greg | 50 | 40 |
| Frank | 60 | 20 |
| Kim | 30 | 41 |
| Mike | 85 | 35 |
| Lisa | 75 | 87 |

Selection and Projection

Name and income of persons that are less than 30:

$$\pi_{\text{name, income}}(\sigma_{\text{age}<30}(\text{Person}))$$

select name, income

from person

where age < 30

| name | income |
|------|--------|
| Andy | 21 |
| Rob | 15 |
| Phil | 30 |

Naming Conventions

- To avoid ambiguities, every attribute name has two components *RelationName.AttributeName*
- When there is no ambiguity, one can drop the initial component *RelationName*.

select person.name, person.income
from person
where person.age < 30
can be written as:
select name, income
from person
where age < 30</pre>

select: Abbreviations

select name, income

- from person
- where age < 30

is an abbreviation for:

| select person.name, | person.income |
|---------------------|---------------|
|---------------------|---------------|

from person

where person.age < 30

and also for:

select p.name as name, p.income as income
from person p
where p.age < 30</pre>

Two Kinds of Projection

Surname and branch of all employees

Employee

| empNo | surname | branch | salary |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|
| 7309 | Black | York | 55 |
| 5998 | Black | Glasgow | 64 |
| 9553 | Brown | London | 44 |
| 5698 | Brown | London | 64 |

 $\pi_{\text{surname, branch}}$ (Employee)

Two Kinds of Projection

select

surname, branch from employee

select distinct
 surname, branch
from employee

| surname | branch |
|---------|---------|
| Black | York |
| Black | Glasgow |
| Brown | London |
| Brown | London |

| surname | ranch |
|---------|---------|
| Black | York |
| Black | Glasgow |
| Brown | London |

Usage of "as" in select Statements

"**as**" in the list of attributes specifies explicitly a name for the attributes of the result. If for some attribute "**as**" is missing, the name is equal to the one that appears in the list.

Example:

select name as personName, income as salary
from person
where age < 30</pre>

returns as result a relation with two attributes, the first having the name **personName** and the second having the name **salary**

select name, income
from person

where age < 30

returns as result a relation with two attributes, the first having the name name and the second having the name income

Exercise 1

"From the table person, compute a new table by selecting only the persons with an income between 20 and 30, and adding an attribute that has, for every tuple, the same value as income.

Show the result of the query"



Exercise 1: Solution

select name, age, income, income as also-income from person where income >= 20 and income <= 30</pre>

| name | age | income | also-income |
|-------|-----|--------|-------------|
| Andy | 27 | 21 | 21 |
| Phil | 26 | 30 | 30 |
| Frank | 60 | 20 | 20 |

Selection, without Projection

name, age and income of persons younger than 30:

$\sigma_{age<30}$ (Person)

select *
from person
where age < 30</pre>

is an abbreviation for:

select name, age, income
from person

where age < 30



select with Asterisk

Given a relation **R** with attributes **A**, **B**, **C**

| select | * |
|--------|------|
| from | R |
| where | cond |

is equivalent to

| select | A, | Β, | C |
|--------|-----|----|---|
| from | R | | |
| where | cor | nd | |

Projection without Selection

name and income of all persons

 $\pi_{\text{name, income}}(\text{Person})$

select name, income

from person

is an abbreviation for:

select p.name, p.income
from person p
where true

Expressions in the Target List

select income/4 as quarterlyIncome

from person

where name = 'Greg'

Complex Conditions in the "where" Clause

| select | * | | |
|--------|----------|-------------------|--|
| from | person | | |
| where | income > | 25 | |
| | and (age | < 30 or age > 60) | |

The "like" Condition

The persons having a name that starts with 'A' and has a 'd' as the third letter:

select *
from person
where name like 'A_d%'

- '_' matches a single letter
- '%' matches a string

Handling of Null Values

Employees whose age is or could be greater than 40:

 $\sigma_{age > 40 \text{ OR age IS NULL}}$ (Employee)

select *

from employee

where age > 40 or age is null

Exercise 2

"From the table employee, calculate a new table by selecting only employees from the London and Glasgow branches, projecting the data on the attribute salary, and adding an attribute that has, for every tuple, twice the value of the attribute salary.

Show the result of the query"



Exercise 2: Solution

| select | salary, | | |
|--------|----------|-----------|--------|
| | salary*2 | as double | Salary |
| from | employee | | |
| where | branch = | 'Glasgow' | or |
| | branch = | 'London ' | |

| salary | doubleSalary | |
|--------|--------------|--|
| 64 | 128 | |
| 44 | 88 | |
| 64 | 128 | |

Selection, Projection, and Join

- Using select statements with a single relation in the from clause we can realise:
 - selections,
 - projections,
 - renamings
- Joins (and Cartesian products) are realised by using two or more relations in the from clause

SQL and Relational Algebra

Given the relations: R1(A1,A2) and R2(A3,A4)

the semantics of the query

select R1.A1, R2.A4
from R1, R2
where R1.A2 = R2.A3

can be described in terms of

- Cartesian product (from)
- selection (where)
- projection (select)

Note: This does not mean that the system really calculates the Cartesian product!

SQL and Relational Algebra (cntd)

Given the relations: R1(A1,A2) and R2(A3,A4),

| select | R1.A1, | R2.A4 |
|--------|---------|---------|
| from | R1, R2 | |
| where | R1.A2 = | = R2.A3 |

corresponds to:

 $\pi_{A1,A4} (\sigma_{A2=A3} (R1 \times R2))$

SQL and Relational Algebra (cntd)

It may be necessary to rename attributes

- in the target list (as in relational algebra)
- in the Cartesian product (in particular, when the query refers twice to the same table)

select X.A1 as B1, ...
from R1 X, R2 Y, R1 Z
where X.A2 = Y.A3 and ...

which can also be written as

select X.A1 as B1, ...
from R1 as X, R2 as Y, R1 as Z
where X.A2 = Y.A3 and ...

SQL and Relational Algebra (cntd)

select X.A1 as B1, Y.A4 as B2
from R1 X, R2 Y, R1 Z
where X.A2 = Y.A3 and Y.A4 = Z.A1

$$X \leftarrow R1, Y \leftarrow R2, Z \leftarrow R1,$$

$$\rho_{B1 \leftarrow X.A1, B2 \leftarrow Y.A4}$$
 (
 $\pi_{X.A1,Y.A4}$ ($\sigma_{X.A2 = Y.A3 \text{ and } Y.A4 = Z.A1}$ (X X Y XZ)))

MotherChild

| mother | child |
|--------|-------|
| Lisa | Mary |
| Lisa | Greg |
| Anne | Kim |
| Anne | Phil |
| Mary | Andy |
| Mary | Rob |

FatherChild

| father | child |
|--------|-------|
| Steve | Frank |
| Greg | Kim |
| Greg | Phil |
| Frank | Andy |
| Frank | Rob |

| Person |
|--------|
|--------|

| name | age | income |
|-------|-----|--------|
| Andy | 27 | 21 |
| Rob | 25 | 15 |
| Mary | 55 | 42 |
| Anne | 50 | 35 |
| Phil | 26 | 30 |
| Greg | 50 | 40 |
| Frank | 60 | 20 |
| Kim | 30 | 41 |
| Mike | 85 | 35 |
| Lisa | 75 | 87 |

Exercise 3

"The fathers of persons who earn more than 20K"

Write the query both in relational algebra and SQL

Exercise 3: Solution

"The fathers of persons who earn more than 20K"

$$\pi_{\text{father}}(\text{FatherChild}) \xrightarrow[\text{child=name}]{} \sigma_{\text{income>20}}(\text{Person}))$$

select distinct fc.father
from person p, fatherChild fc
where fc.child = p.name
and p.income > 20

Exercise 4: Join

"Father and mother of every person"

Write the query both in relational algebra and SQL

Exercise 4: Solution

"Father and mother of every person"

Can be calculated in relational algebra by means of a natural join

FatherChild MotherChild

select fc.child, fc.father, mc.mother
from motherChild mc, fatherChild fc
where fc.child = mc.child

Exercise 4: Join and Other Operations

"Persons that earn more than their father, showing name, income, and income of the father"

Write the query both in relational algebra and SQL

Exercise 5: Solution

"Persons that earn more than their father, showing name, income, and income of the father"

 $\pi_{name, income, FI} (\sigma_{income>FI} \\ (\rho_{FN} \leftarrow name, FA \leftarrow age, FI \leftarrow income (Person) \\ \bowtie FN=father \\ (FatherChild \bowtie_{child=name} Person)))$

- select c.name, c.income, f.income
- from person f, fatherChild fc, person c
- where f.name = fc.father and fc.child = c.name and c.income > f.income

select, with Renaming of the Result

For the persons that earn more than their father, show their name, income, and the income of the father

select fc.child, c.income as income, f.income as incomefather from person f, fatherChild fc, person c where f.name = fc.father and fc.child = c.name and c.income > f.income

Explicit Join

For every person, return the person, their father and their mother

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild, fatherChild
where fatherChild.child = motherChild.child

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild join fatherChild on
fatherChild.child = motherChild.child

select with Explicit Join, Syntax

select ...

from Table { join Table on JoinCondition }, ...
[where OtherCondition]

Exercise 6: Explicit Join

"For the persons that earn more than their father, show their name, income, and the income of the father"

Express the query in SQL, using an explicit join

Exercise 6: Solution

"For the persons that earn more than their father, show their name, income, and the income of the father"

An equivalent formulation without explicit join: select c.name, c.income, f.income from person c, fatherChild fc, person f where c.name = fc.child and fc.father = f.name and c.income > f.income
A Further Extension: Natural Join (Less Frequent)

"Return the names of fathers, mothers, and their children"

 $\pi_{\text{father,mother,child}}$ (FatherChild \bowtie MotherChild)

In SQL: select father, mother, fatherChild.child
from motherChild join fatherChild on
fatherChild.child = motherChild.child

Alternatively:

select father, mother, fatherChild.child
from motherChild natural join fatherChild

Outer Join

"For every person, return the father and, if known, the mother"

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from fatherChild left outer join motherChild
on fatherChild.child = motherChild.child

Note: "outer" is optional

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from fatherChild left join motherChild
on fatherChild.child = motherChild.child

Outer Join: Examples

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild join fatherChild
 on motherChild.child = fatherChild.child

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild left outer join fatherChild
 on motherChild.child = fatherChild.child

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild right outer join fatherChild
 on motherChild.child = fatherChild.child

select fatherChild.child, father, mother
from motherChild full outer join fatherChild
on motherChild.child = fatherChild.child

Ordering the Result: order by

"Return name and income of persons under thirty, in alphabetic order of the names"

select name, income
from person
where age < 30
order by name</pre>

select name, income
from person
where age < 30
order by name desc</pre>



Ordering the Result: order by

| select | name, | income |
|--------|--------|--------|
| from | persor | ı |
| where | age < | 30 |

| select | name, | income |
|---------|---------|--------|
| from | persor | ı |
| where | age < | 30 |
| order b | oy name | è |

| name | income |
|------|--------|
| Andy | 21 |
| Rob | 15 |
| Mary | 42 |

| name | income |
|------|--------|
| Andy | 21 |
| Mary | 42 |
| Rob | 15 |

Aggregate Operators

Among the expressions in the target list, we can also have expressions that calculate values based on multisets of tuples:

- count, minimum, maximum, average, sum

Basic Syntax (simplified):

Function ([distinct] ExpressionOnAttributes)

Aggregate Operators: count

Syntax:

• counts the number of tuples:

count (*)

- counts the values of an attribute (considering duplicates):
 count (Attribute)
- counts the distinct values of an attribute:
 count (distinct Attribute)

Aggregate Operator count: Example

Example: How many children has Frank?

select count(*) as NumFranksChildren
from fatherChild
where father = 'Frank'

Semantics: The aggregate operator (**count**), which counts the tuples, is applied to the result of the query:

select *
from fatherChild
where father = 'Frank'

Results of count: Example

| FatherChild | father | child |
|-------------|--------|-------|
| | Steve | Frank |
| | Greg | Kim |
| | Greg | Phil |
| | Frank | Andy |
| | Frank | Rob |

NumFranksChildren

2

count and Null Values

| select | count | (*) |) |
|--------|-------|-----|---|
|--------|-------|-----|---|

- from person
- select count(income)
- from person
- select count(distinct income)

from person

Result = number of tuples =4

- Result = number of values different from NULL = 3
- Result = number of distinct values (excluding NULL) =2

| Person | name | age | income |
|--------|------|-----|--------|
| | Andy | 27 | 21 |
| | Rob | 25 | NULL |
| | Mary | 55 | 21 |
| | Anne | 50 | 35 |

Other Aggregate Operators

sum, avg, max, min

- argument can be an attribute or an expression (but not "*")
- sum and avg: of numeric types and time intervals
- max and min: on types for which an ordering is defined: numbers, strings, time intervals, arrays

Example: Average income of Frank's children

| select | avg(p.income) |
|--------|---------------------------------|
| from | person p join fatherChild fc on |
| | p.name = fc.child |
| where | fc.father = 'Frank' |

Aggregate Operators and Null Values

select avg(income) as meanIncome
from person

| | | | | is |
|--------|------|-----|--------|---------|
| Person | name | age | income | ignored |
| | Andy | 27 | 30 | |
| | Rob | 25 | NULL | |
| | Mary | 55 | 36 | |
| | Anne | 50 | 36 | |



Aggregate Operators and the Target List

An incorrect query (whose name should be returned?):

select name, max(income)
from person

The target list has to be homogeneous, for example:

select min(age), avg(income)
from person

Aggregate Operators and Grouping

- Aggregation functions can be applied to partitions of the tuples of a relations
- To specify the partition of tuples, one uses the group by clause:

group by attributeList

Aggregate Operators and Grouping

The number of children of every father.

select father, count(*) as NumChildren
from fatherChild
group by father

FatherChild

| father | child | | father | NumChildren |
|--------|-------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Steve | Frank | • | Steve | 1 |
| Greg | Kim |] | Grea | 2 |
| Greg | Phil | | Frank | 2 |
| Frank | Andy | | - Trainc | |
| Frank | Rob | | | |

Semantics of Queries with Aggregation and Grouping

1. The query is run **ignoring the** group by clause and the aggregate operators:

select *
from fatherChild

- 2. The tuples that have the same value for the attributes appearing in the group by clause, are grouped into equivalence classes.
- **3. Each group** contributes **a tuple** to the answer. The tuple consists of the values of the group by attributes and the result of **applying the aggregation function** to the group.

Exercise 7: group by

"For each group of adult persons who have the same age, return the maximum income for every group and show the age"

Write the query in SQL!



Exercise 7: Solution

"For each group of adult persons who have the same age, return the maximum income for every group and show the age"

select age, max(income)
from person
where age > 17
group by age

Grouping and Target List

In a query that has a group by clause, **only** such attributes can appear in the target list (except for aggregation functions) the appear in the group by clause.

Example: Incorrect: income of persons, grouped according to age

select age, income
from person
group by age

There could exist several values for the same group.

Correct: average income of persons, grouped by age.

select age, avg(income)
from person
group by age

Grouping and Target List (cntd)

The syntactic restriction on the attributes in the select clause holds also for queries that would be semantically correct (i.e., for which there is only a single value of the attribute for every group).

Example: Fathers with their income and with the average income of their children.

```
Incorrect:
select fc.father, avg(c.income), f.income
from person c join fatherChild fc on c.name=fc.child
join person f on fc.father=f.name
group by fc.father
Correct:
select fc.ather, avg(c.income), f.income
from person c join fatherChild fc on c.name=fc.child
join person f on fc.father=f.name
group by fc.father, f.income
```

Conditions on Groups

It is also possible to **filter the groups** using selection conditions. Clearly, the selection of groups differs from the selection of the tuples in the **where** clause: the tuples form the groups.

To filter the groups, the "having clause" is used.

The having clause must appear after the "group by"

Example: "Fathers whose children have an average income greater 25."

```
select fc.father, avg(c.income)
from person c join fatherChild fc
        on c.name = fc.child
group by fc.father
having avg(c.income) > 25
```

Exercise 8: where Or having?

"Fathers whose children under age 30 have an average income greater 20"

Exercise 8: Solution

"Fathers whose children under the age of 30 have an average income greater 20"

```
select father, avg(f.income)
from person c join fatherChild fc
        on c.name = fc.child
where c.age < 30
group by cf.father
having avg(c.income) > 20
```

Syntax of SQL select (Summary)

SQLSelect ::=

selectListOfAttributesOrExpressionsfromListOfTables[whereConditionsOnTuples][group by ListOfGroupingAttributes][havingConditionsOnAggregates][order by ListOfOrderingAttributes]

Union, Intersection, and Difference

Within a select statement one cannot express unions. An explicit construct is needed:

```
select ...
union [all]
select ...
```

With union, duplicates are eliminated (also those originating from projection). With union all duplicates are kept.

Positional Notation of Attributes

select father, child
from fatherChild
union
select mother, child
from motherChild

Which are the attribute names of the result? Those of the first operand!

- \rightarrow SQL matches attributes in the same position
- → SQL renames the attributes of the second operand

Result of the Union

| father | child |
|--------|-------|
| Greg | Frank |
| Greg | Kim |
| Greg | Phil |
| Frank | Andy |
| Frank | Rob |
| Lisa | Mary |
| Lisa | Greg |
| Anne | Kim |
| Anne | Phil |
| Mary | Andy |
| Mary | Rob |

Positional Notation: Example

- select father, child
 from fatherChild
 union
- select mother, child
- from motherChild

| select | father, | child |
|--------|---------|--------|
| from | fatherC | hild |
| union | | |
| select | child, | mother |
| from | motherC | hild |

Positional Notation (cntd)

Renaming does not change anything:

select father as parent, child
from fatherChild
union
select child, mother as parent
from motherChild

Correct (if we want to treat fathers and mothers as parents):

select father as parent, child
from fatherChild
union
select mother as parent, child
from motherChild

Difference

select name
from employee
except
select lastName as name
from employee

We will see that differences can also be expressed with nested **select** statements.

Intersection

select name
from employee
intersect
select lastName as name
from employee

is equivalent to

select en.name
from employee en, employee eln
where en.name = eln.lastName

Single Block Queries: Exercises

Consider a database about suppliers and parts with the following schema:

Supplier(sid, sname, address)
Part(pid, pname, colour)
Catalog(sid, pid, cost)

Formulate the following queries in SQL:

- 1. Find the names of suppliers who supply some red part.
- 2. Find the IDs of suppliers who supply some red or green part.
- 3. Find the IDs of suppliers who supply some red part and are based at 21 George Street.

Single Block Queries: Exercises (cntd)

- 4. Find the names of suppliers who supply some red part or are based at 21 George Street.
- 5. Find the IDs of suppliers who supply some red and some green part.
- 6. Find pairs of IDs such that for some part the supplier with the first ID charges more than the supplier with the second ID.
- 7. For each supplier, return the maximal and the average cost of the parts they offer.
- 8. List those red parts that on average cost no more than 30 Euro.
- 9. List the names of those red parts that are offered by at least three suppliers.

Nested Queries

- In the atomic conditions of the where clause one can also use a select clause (which must appear in parentheses).
- In particular, in atomic conditions one can have:
 - comparisons of an attribute (or several attributes) with the result of a subquery
 - existential quantification

Nested Queries (Example)

"Name and income of Frank's father"

| select | f.name, f.income |
|--------|---|
| from | person f, fatherChild fc |
| where | f.name = fc.father and fc.child = 'Frank' |
| select | f.name, f.income |
| from | person f |
| where | f name = (select fc father |

Nested Queries: Operators

In the **where** clause, the result of a nested query can be related to other values by way of several **operators**:

• equality and other comparisons

(the result of the nested query must be unique)

- if it is not certain that the result of the nested query is unique, the nested query can be preceded by one of the keywords:
 - any: true, if the comparison is true for at least one of the result tuples of the nested query
 - all: true, if the comparison is true for all the result tuples of the nested query
- the operator in, which is equivalent to =any
- the operator **not in**, which is equivalent to <>all
- the operator exists
Nested Queries: Example

Name and income of the fathers of persons who earn more than 20k.



Nested Queries: Example

Name and income of the fathers of persons who earn more than 20k.



Nested Queries: Comments

- The nested formulation of a query is sometimes executed less efficiently than an equivalent unnested formulation (due to limitations of the query optimizer).
- The nested formulation is sometimes more readable.

Nested Queries: Example with all

"Persons who have an income that is higher than the income of all persons younger than 30"

Nested Queries: Example with all

"Persons who have an income that is higher than the income of all persons younger than 30"

Equivalent Formulation with max

"Persons who have an income that is higher than the income of all persons younger than 30"

Nested Queries: Example with exists

An expression with the operator **exists** is true if the result of the subquery is **not empty**.

Example: "Persons with at least one child"

Note: the attribute name refers to the table in the outer from clause.

Nesting, Union, and "or"

The query for "persons with at least one child" can also be expressed as a union:

select p.name, p.age, p.income
from person p, fatherChild fc
where fc.father = p.name
union
select p.name, p.age, p.income
from person p, motherChild mc
where mc.mother = p.name

Does the following query with "or" return the same answers?

Nested Queries and Negation

All the queries with nesting in the previous examples are equivalent to some unnested query. So, what's the point of nesting?

Example: "Persons without a child"

This cannot be expressed equivalently as a "select from where" query. *Why*?

Exercise 9

"Name and age of the mothers all of whose children are at least 18"

Approach 1: Subquery with all

Approach 2: Subquery with min

Approach 3: Subquery with not exists

Exercise 9: Solution with all

"Name and age of the mothers all of whose children are at least 18"

Exercise 9: Solution with min

"Name and age of the mothers all of whose children are at least 18"

"Name and age of mothers where the minimal age of their children is greater or equal 18"

Exercise 9: Solution with not exists

"Name and age of the mothers all of whose children are at least 18"

Name and age of mothers who don't have a child that is younger than 18.

Nested Queries: Comments

• Visibility rules:

- it is not possible to refer to a variable defined in a block below the current block
- if an attribute name is not qualified with a variable or table name, it is assumed that it refers to the "closest" variable or table with that attribute
- In each block, one can refer to variables defined in the same block or in surrounding blocks
- Semantics: the inner query is executed for every tuple of the outer query

Exercise

On the supplier and parts DB:

Supplier(sid, sname, address)
Part(pid, pname, colour)
Catalog(sid, pid, cost)

- 1. Suppliers that supply only red parts
- 2. Suppliers that supply *all* red parts

Nested Queries: Visibility

Persons having at least one child.

```
select *
from person
where exists (select *
    from fatherChild
    where father = name)
    or
    exists (select *
        from motherChild
        where mother = name)
```

The attribute **name** refers to the table **person** in the outer **from** clause.

More on Visibility

Note: This query is incorrect:

```
select *
from employee
where dept in (select name
            from department D1
            where name = 'Production')
            or
            dept in (select name
                from department D2
                where D2.city = D1.city)
```

| employee | name | lastName | dept |
|------------|------|----------|------|
| | L | | |
| department | name | address | city |

Visibility: Variables in Internal Blocks

Name and income of the fathers of persons who earn more than 20k, **showing also the income of the child**.

select distinct f.name, f.income, c.income
from person f, fatherChild, person c
where f.name = fc.father and fc.child = c.name
and c.income > 20

In this case, the "intuitive" nested query is incorrect:

```
select name, income, c.income
from person
where name in (select father
                      from fatherChild
                     where child in (select name
                         from person c
                          where c.income > 20))
```

Correlated Subqueries

It may be necessary to **use in inner blocks** variables that are **defined in outer blocks**. In this case one talks about **correlated** subqueries.

Example: The fathers all of whose children earn strictly more than 20k.

Exercise 10: Correlated Subqueries

"Name and age of mothers who have a child whose age differs less than 20 years from their own age"

Exercise 10: Solution

"Name and age of mothers who have a child whose age differs less than 20 years from their own age"

select m.name, m.age
from person m, motherChild mc
where m.name = mc.mother and
 mc.child in (select c.name
 from person c
 where m.age - c.age < 20)</pre>

Question: Intersection

Can one express intersection by way of nesting?

select name from employee
 intersection
select lastName as name from employee

Intersection by Way of Nesting

select name from employee
 intersection
select lastName as name from employee

```
select name
from employee
where name in (select lastName
                          from employee)
select name
from employee e
where exists (select *
                         from employee
where lastName = e.name)
```

Intersection Without Nesting

Is it possible to express intersection without nesting?

select name from employee
 intersection
select lastName as name from employee

Exercise 11

Can one express set difference by way of nesting?

select name from employee
 except
select lastName as name from employee

Exercise 11 (Solution 1)

Can one express set difference by way of nesting?

select name from employee
 except
select lastName as name from employee

Exercise 11 (Solution 2)

Can one express set difference by way of nesting?

select name from employee
 except
select lastName as name from employee

Exercise 12: Nesting and Functions

"The person (or the persons) that have the highest income"

Exercise 12: Solution

"The person (or the persons) that have the highest income"

select * from person where income = (select max(income) person) from Or: select * from person where income >= all (select income person) from

Nested Queries: Conditions on Several Attributes

The persons which have a unique combination of age and income

(that is, persons for whom the pair (age, income) is different from the corresponding pairs of all other persons).

```
select *
from person p
where (age,income) not in
        (select age, income
            from person
            where name <> p.name)
102
```

Views

A view is a table whose instance is derived from other tables by a query.

create view ViewName[(AttributeList)] as SQLSelect

• Views are virtual tables: their instances (or parts of them) are only calculated when they are used (for instance in other queries).

Example:

```
create view AdminEmp(empNo,firstName,lastName,sal) as
  select EmpNo, firstName, lastName, salary
  from employee
  where dept = 'Administration' and
      salary > 10
```

Maximizing Aggregates

- "Which age group has the highest total income?"
- One solution is to use nesting in the **having** clause:

• Another solution is to create a view.

Solution with Views

create view ageIncome(age,sumIncome) as
 select age, sum(income)
 from person
 group by age

Exercise 13

 Among all companies based in George Street that sell red parts, which is the one with the least average price for red parts?

Exercise 13 (Solution)

 Among all companies based in George Street that supply red parts, which is the one with the least average price for red parts?

Exercise 13 (Solution, cntd)

 Among all companies based in George Street that sell red parts, which is the one with the least average price for red parts?
Views Can Replace Subqueries

select *

from person

where name in (select father from fatherChild);

With a view

create view father(name) as
select distinct father from fatherChild;

select *

from person

where name in (select name from father);

Inline Views: Views in the FROM Clause

An equivalent formulation

select person.*
from person, father
where person.name = father.name;

If we need a view only once, we can define it in the FROM clause

select *
from person,
 (select distinct father as name
 from fatherChild) father
where person.name = father.name;

Inline Views (Cntd)

Inline views can also take part in joins

select person.*

from person

natural join

- (select distinct father as name
 - from fatherChild) father;

Note: The inline view needs to be named, even if the name is never used.

Inline Views in Aggregation of Aggregates

"The age group with the highest average salary"

| select | age, avg | inc | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-------|----|-----------------------|-----------|-------|----------|----|
| from | (select | age, | a٦ | yg(incom | e) as ave | ginc | | |
| | from | perso | on | | | | | |
| | group by | age) | ag | geavg | | | | |
| where | ageavg.a | vginc | = | = (select max(avginc) | | | | |
| | | | | from | (select | avg | (income) | |
| | | | | | | as | avginc | |
| | | | | | from | per | son | |
| | | | | | group] | by ag | ge) | |
| | | | | | | | ageavgs |); |

This is not best practice! ...but illustrates what is possible

Exercise

Consider the table

Employee(enumber, name, job, hiredate, salary, depno)

Task: Compute a new table giving data about employees per department for the job types of analysts and clerks with the schema

(depno, n_clerks, n_analysts, tot_ca)

Step 1: Count Employees per Department and Job

- select depno, job, count(*)
- from employee
- group by depno, job
- order by depno, job;

Create a view that does this

create view depjobcount(depno, job, jobcount) as
select depno, job, count(*)
from employee
group by depno, job;

Step 2, Attempt 1: Join counts of Analysts and Clerks

What if there is a department without analyst or clerk?

Step 2, Attempt 2: Take the Outer Join

Is the result different this time?

Step 2, Attempt 3: Take the Outer Join of Inline Views

```
select adjc.depno,
        adjc.jobcount as N_analysts,
        cdjc.jobcount as N_clerks
from (select * from depjobcount
            where job = 'Analyst') adjc
        on alldeps.depno = adjc.depno
        full outer join
        (select * from depjobcount
            where job = 'Clerk') cdjc
        on alldeps.depno = cdjc.depno;
```

What about the department numbers?

Step 2, Attempt 4: Include all Departments

```
select alldeps.depno,
       adjc.jobcount as N analysts,
       cdjc.jobcount as N clerks
from (select distinct depno from depjobcount) alldeps
     full outer join
     (select * from depjobcount
               where job = 'Analyst') adjc
     on alldeps.depno = adjc.depno
     full outer join
     (select * from depjobcount
               where job = 'Clerk') cdjc
     on alldeps.depno = cdjc.depno;
```

Step 2, Attempt 5: Turn Nulls Into 0s

```
select alldeps.depno,
       coalesce(adjc.jobcount,0) as N Analysts,
       coalesce(cdjc.jobcount,0) as N_Clerks
from (select distinct depno from depjobcount) alldeps
     full outer join
     (select * from depjobcount
               where job = 'Analyst') adjc
     on alldeps.depno = adjc.depno
     full outer join
     (select * from depjobcount
               where job = 'Clerk') cdjc
     on alldeps.depno = cdjc.depno;
```

Step 3: Join With Total Number of Analysts and Clerks

Exercise ...

Generic Integrity Constraints: check

Constraints on tuples or complex constraints on a single table are specified as:

When do you expect that this constraint will be checked? Would that correspond to the semantics you expect?

Check Constraints (cntd)

• In systems, only check constraints are completely supported that need information from a single tuple, for instance

```
create table Employee
( EmpNo character(6),
  FirstName character(20),
  LastName character(20),
  Sex character not null check (sex in ('M','F'))
  Salary integer,
  Superior character(6),
  check (not LastName = 'Smith' or Salary >= 40)
)
```

Generic Integrity Constraints: Assertions

Specify constraints at schema level. Syntax:

```
create assertion AssName check ( Condition )
```

Example:

- No efficient implementation techniques exist to date
- Systems do not support assertions

Access Control

- In SQL it is possible to specify
 - who can use (i.e., which user)
 - in which way (i.e., read, write,...)

a data base (or part of it)

- The object of such **privileges** (access rights) are usually tables, but also other types of **resources**, like attributes, views, or domains.
- The predefined user <u>system</u> (database administrator) has all privileges.
- The creator of a resource has all privileges for it.

Characteristics of Privileges

A privilege is characterised by:

- the resource to which it refers
- the user who grants the privilege
- the user who receives the privilege
- the action that is permitted
- the possibility to transfer the privilege

Privileges (cntd)

Types of privileges

- insert: permits to insert new records (tuples)
- **update**: permits to modify the content
- **delete**: permits to eliminate records
- **select**: permits to read the resource
- references: permits the definition of referential integrity constraints that target the resource (can limit the possibility to modify the resource)
- **usage**: permits the usage in a definition (for example, the usage of a domain)

grant and revoke

• **Concession** of privileges:

grant < *Privileges* | all privileges > on

Resource to Users [with grant option]

 with grant option specifies whether the privilege can be transferred to other users

grant select on Department to Joe

• **Revocation** of privileges:

revoke Privileges on Resource from Users

[restrict | cascade]

Transactions

A transaction is the execution of a program

that accesses the DB and

- starts with a **BEGIN** operation
- followed by a number of SQL statements
- and ends with a **COMMIT** or **ROLLBACK** operation.

Example Transaction in SQL

```
begin transaction;
    update CurrentAccount
        set Balance = Balance - 10
        where AccountNo = 12345;
        update CurrentAccount
        set Balance = Balance + 10
        where AccountNo = 55555;
commit work;
```

What can go wrong during the execution of this transaction?

Transactions in SQL

Basic instructions:

- **begin transaction**: specifies the beginning of the transaction (the specified operations do not yet leave a permanent effect on the database itself, e.g., they are written into a log file)
- commit work: the operations specified after the begin transaction are being made permanent
- rollback work: the request to execute the operations after the last begin transaction is withdrawn

Savepoints

Savepoints allow one to limit rollbacks

begin transaction; update CurrentAccount set Balance = Balance - 10 where AccountNo = 12345; savepoint mysavepoint; update CurrentAccount set Balance = Balance + 10 where AccountNo = 55555; rollback to savepoint mysavepoint;

Transactions are Interleaved

- Large database systems are typically multi-user systems
 → many transactions are running at the same time
- Running transactions serially (i.e., one after the other) is inefficient:
 - transactions are often *waiting for I/O* to complete
 - \rightarrow serial execution leads to *low resource utilisation*

Transactions

- A transaction is a sequence of operations that is considered indivisible ("atomic"), that is not influenced during its execution by other operations on the database ("isolated"), and whose effects are definitive ("durable").
- Properties ("**ACID**"):
 - Atomicity
 - Consistency
 - Isolation
 - Durability (persistence)

We shall discuss these properties one by one

Transactions are ... Atomic

• The sequence of operations on the database is either executed in its entirety, or not at all.

Example: transfer of funds from account A to account B: either both, the debit on A and the deposit into B are executed, or none of the two.

Transactions are ... Consistent

- After a transaction has been executed, the integrity constraints have to be satisfied.
- During the execution, there may be violations, but if they remain until the end, the transaction has to be undone ("aborted").

Transactions are ... Isolated

- Transactions must not interfere with each other.
- The effect of a group of transactions on the database that are executed concurrently must be the same as the effect of some serial execution (i.e., as if they had been executed one after the other).

Example: A withdrawal from a bank account could interfere with a concurrent deposit so that the effect of one is overridden by the other.

Transactions are ... Durable

 After the succesful completion of a transaction, the DBMS commits to make the outcome of the transaction permanent, even in the presence of concurrency and/or breakdowns

Exercise: ACID Properties of Transactions

Suppose a database system is used to organize the *check-in* of airline passengers:

 there is a *list of passengers*, and upon arrival a *seat* has to be assigned to each passenger and their *luggage* has to be checked in.

Briefly describe in this context the four ACID properties of transactions, i.e.,

• for each property give an example that illustrates the problem which such a system might suffer if the property is not supported.

A company database has the following two tables

Emp(empno int, ename string, depno int)

Dept(depno int, dname string, hod int)

where hod stands for the "Head of Department",

who has to be an employee.

There are two referential integrity constraints

Emp(depno) references Dept(depno)

Dept(hod) references Emp(empno)

How can we define the two relations in SQL?

```
Table definition (Attempt 1)
```

```
CREATE TABLE Emp (
empno int PRIMARY KEY,
ename varchar(20),
depno int,
FOREIGN KEY (depno)
REFERENCES Dept(depno));
```

```
CREATE TABLE Dept (
depno int primary key,
dname varchar(20),
hod int,
FOREIGN KEY (hod)
REFERENCES Emp(empno));
```

What will the DBMS respond?

Table definition (Attempt 2)

```
CREATE TABLE Emp (
empno int PRIMARY KEY,
ename varchar(20),
depno int);
```

```
CREATE TABLE Dept (
depno int primary key,
dname varchar(20),
hod int);
```

ALTER TABLE Emp A ADD CONSTRAINT emp_fk_dept FOREIGN KEY (depno) references Dept(depno);

ALTER TABLE Dept ADD CONSTRAINT dept_hod_is_emp FOREIGN KEY (hod) references Emp(empno);

Are both ALTER TABLE statements necessary?

Next, we want to populate the database:

Smith is the first employee, Accounting the first department, and Smith is the head of the accounting department:

INSERT INTO emp VALUES (1, 'Smith', 1); INSERT INTO dept VALUES (1, 'Accounting', 1);

How will the DBMS react?

In SQL, integrity constraints can be declared as deferrable (in PostgreSQL, this is only possible for foreign key constraints)

If a (deferrable) constraint is deferred during a transaction, it is only checked at the end of the transaction.

We modify the constraint definitions:

ALTER TABLE Emp ALTER TABLE Dept ADD CONSTRAINT emp_fk_dept ADD CONSTRAINT dept_hod_is_emp FOREIGN KEY (depno) FOREIGN KEY (depno) references Dept(depno) references Dept(depno) DEFERRABLE; DEFERRABLE;

Now, we can combine the insertion steps in one transaction:

BEGIN; SET CONSTRAINTS emp_fk_dept DEFERRED; SET CONSTRAINTS dept_hod_is_emp DEFERRED; INSERT INTO emp VALUES (1, 'Smith', 1); INSERT INTO dept VALUES (1, 'Accounting', 1); COMMIT;

Was it necessary to defer both constraints?
Concurrency Control

- There are two owners of the new company, Alice and Bob, who are hiring staff.
- Alice wants to hire Black, Bob wants to hire Brown.
- The two are running concurrently two transaction on the company database

| | Alice | Bob |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| <pre>begin; insert(2,'Black',1)</pre> | begin; | |
| | INSELU(2, DIACK ,I) | <pre>insert(2,'Brown',1)</pre> |

How should the DBMS react? What if Alice commits? What if Alice does rollback, and then commits?

Concurrency Control (cntd)

- Alice has hired two new employees, McBlack and Mc Brown, while Bob has hired two other employees, OBlack and OBrown
- Alice and Bob are making the following insertions:

| Alice | Bob |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| begin; | |
| | begin; |
| <pre>insert(2,'McBlack',1)</pre> | |
| | <pre>insert(3,'OBrown',1)</pre> |
| <pre>Insert(3,'McBrown',1)</pre> | |
| | <pre>insert(2,'OBlack',1)</pre> |
| | |

What should the DMBS do?

Deadlocks

Deadlocks can be detected by maintaining a "Wait-For-Graph":

- Waiting transactions are the nodes
- There is an edge from T_i to T_i if T_i is waiting for T_i
- \Rightarrow There is a deadlock among the waiting transactions iff the Wait-For-Graph contains a cycle

To break the deadlock,

roll back enough transactions so that the cycle vanishes

In our example, the PostgreSQL server responds:

```
ERROR: deadlock detected
DETAIL: Process 15806 waits for ShareLock on transaction 16094;
blocked by process 15785.
Process 15785 waits for ShareLock on transaction 16095;
blocked by process 15806.
****
```

147

Violations of Isolation

The SQL standard distinguishes between:

• Dirty read

A transaction reads data written by a concurrent uncommitted transaction

Nonrepeatable read

A transaction re-reads a tuple it has previously read and finds that the tuple has been changed (modified or deleted) by another transaction (that committed since the initial read)

Phantom read

A transaction re-executes a query returning a set of rows that satisfy a search condition and finds that the set of rows satisfying the condition has changed due to another recently-committed transaction

Isolation Levels Prevent Violations

Four isolation levels can be defined for a transaction

| Isolation Level | Dirty Read | Nonrepeatable Read | Phantom Read |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Read uncommitted | Possible | Possible | Possible |
| Read committed | Not possible | Possible | Possible |
| Repeatable read | Not possible | Not possible | Possible |
| Serializable | Not possible | Not possible | Not possible |

- "Read committed" is default
- Isolation levels are defined by

SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL IsolationLevel

A sailing club allows its members to reserve individual boats over the internet for periods of several days. The club uses a DBMS and relies on the concurrency control of the DBMS to prevent conflicting reservations. The club's database contains a table with the schema

reservation(<u>boatName</u>, <u>startDate</u>, endDate, sailorName)

The table contains only the tuple

('Marine', '10-Apr-08', '13-Apr-08', 'Dustin')

Two sailors, Rusty and Lubber, connect to the database at the same time to make a reservation. To keep things simple, we assume that sailors make transactions using a psql interface.

Rusty likes the boat Clipper and would like to use it from 13 April 2008 to 15 April 2008. He types

| SELECT | r.startDate, r.endDate |
|--------|--------------------------------|
| FROM | reservation r |
| WHERE | r.boatName = 'Clipper' AND |
| | r.startDate <= '15-Apr-08' AND |
| | r.endDate >= '13-Apr-08'; |

What is the intuitive meaning of the query? What does Rusty get to see?

Rusty decides to reserve Clipper from 13 April to 15 April and types

```
INSERT INTO reservation
VALUES ('Clipper', '13-Apr-05', '15-Apr-05', 'Rusty');
```

Lubber wants to reserve a boat from 12 April 2005 to 14 April 2005 and types

| SELECT | r.boatName |
|--------|--------------------------------|
| FROM | reservation r |
| WHERE | r.startDate <= '14-Apr-05' AND |
| | r.endDate >= '12-Apr-05'; |

What is the intuitive meaning of the query? What does Lubber get to see?

Lubber also likes Clipper and types

```
INSERT INTO reservation VALUES
  ('Clipper', '12-Apr-05', '14-Apr-05', 'Lubber');
```

What happens?

Both, Rusty and Lubber type commit.

What happens?

The manager of the club connects to the database and types the following query:

| SELECT | <pre>r1.boatName, r1.startDate, r1.endDate,</pre> |
|--------|---|
| | r2.startDate, r2.endDate |
| FROM | reservation r1, reservation r2 |
| WHERE | r1.boatName = r2.boatName AND |
| | r1.startDate <= r2.endDate AND |
| | r2.startDate <= r1.endDate AND |
| | r1.startDate <= r2.startDate; |

What is the intuitive meaning of the query?What does the manager get to see?Has the isolation property of transactions been guaranteed?

SQL: Summary

- SQL combines DDL and DML
- DDL implements basic concepts of relational data model (domains, relations, schemas, integrity constraints)
- The core DML (w/o) aggregation is essentially equivalent to first order predicate logic
- The DML has the same expressivity as relational algebra
- Aspects of both, predicate logic and relational algebra, are present in the SQL query language
- Further aspects include generic integrity constraints, views, and transactions

References

In preparing the lectures I have used several sources. The main ones are the following:

Books:

- A First Course in Database Systems, by J. Ullman and J. Widom
- Fundamentals of Database Systems, by R. Elmasri and S. Navathe

Slides:

 The slides of this chapter are to a large part translations of material prepared by Maurizio Lenzerini (University of Rome, "La Sapienza") and Diego Calvanese (Free University of Bozen-Bolzano) for their introductory course on databases at the University of Rome, "La Sapienza"