# **Foundations of Databases**

## **Relational Query Languages**

## Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, 2009

Werner Nutt

#### (Slides adapted from Thomas Eiter and Leonid Libkin)

Foundations of Databases

### Databases

1

A database is

- a collection of highly structured data
- along with a set of access and control mechanisms

We deal with them every day:

- bank account information,
- airline reservation systems,
- store inventories,
- library catalogs,
- telephone billing etc.

### Goals of a Database Management System

• Provide users with a meaningful **view** of data:

Hide from them irrelevant detail  $\rightarrow$  provide an abstract view of data

• Support various operations on data

queries: getting answers from databases

updates: changing information in databases

• Coordinate access to data

concurrency control

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# The Relational Data Model: Named Perspective

- Data is organized in relations ("tables")
- A relational database schema consists of

a set of relation names

a list of attributes for each relation

- Notation: <relation name>: <list of attributes>
- Examples:

Account: number, branch, customerId Movie: title, director, actor

Schedule: theater, title

- Relations have different names
- Attributes within a relation have different names

### Example: Relational Database

Movie	title	director	actor
	Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson
	Player	Altman	Robbins
	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson
	Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski
	Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve

Schedule	theater	title
	Le Champo	Shining
	Le Champo	Chinatown
	Le Champo	Player
	Odéon	Chinatown
	Odéon	Repulsion

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

## **Formal Definitions**

We assume three disjoint countably infinite sets of symbols:

- att, the possible attributes
  - $\dots$  we assume there is a total ordering " $\leq_{\mathbf{att}}$  " on  $\mathbf{att}$
- dom, the possible *constants*

dom is called the *domain* 

• relname, the possible relation names

Relations have a sort and an arity, formalized as follows:

• For every relation name R there is a finite set of attributes sort(R). That is, sort is a function

*sort*: relname  $\rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$ 

We assume as well:  $sort^{-1}(U)$  is infinite, for each  $U \in \mathcal{P}^{fin}(\mathbf{att})$ 

What does this mean?

- The *arity* of a relation is the number of attributes: arity(R) = |sort(R)|
- Notation: Often R[U] where U = sort(R), or  $R: A_1, \ldots, A_n$  if  $sort(R) = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$  and  $A_1 \leq_{att} \cdots \leq_{att} A_n$ .

Example: *sort*(Account) = { number, branch, customerId }

is denoted Account: number, branch, customerId

Relations and databases have schemas:

- A relation schema is a relation name
- A database schema  ${f R}$  is a nonempty finite set of relation schemas

```
Example: Database schema C = { Account, Movie, Schedule }
```

Account: number, branch, customerId

Movie: title, director, actor

Schedule: theater, title

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Tuples

• A tuple is a function

 $t: U \to \mathbf{dom}$ 

mapping a finite set  $U \subseteq \mathbf{att}$  (a sort) to constants.

Example: Tuple t on sort(Movie) such that

t(title)	=	Shining
t(director)	=	Kubrick
$t(\verb"actor")$	=	Nicholson

• For  $U = \emptyset$ , there is only one tuple: the empty tuple, denoted  $\langle \rangle$ 

- If  $U\subseteq V,$  then t[V] is the restriction of t to V

Example:

{title : Shining, director : Kubrick, actor : Nicholson>

# The Relational Model: Unnamed Perspective

Alternative view: We ignore names of attributes, relations have only arities

- Tuples are elements of a Cartesian product of dom
- A tuple t of arity  $n \ge 0$  is an element of  $\mathbf{dom}^n$ , for example

 $t = \langle \text{Shining, Kubrick, Nicholson} \rangle$ 

• We access components components of tuples via their position  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :

$$t(2) = Kubrick$$

• Note: Because of " $\leq_{att}$ ", unnamed and named perspective naturally correspond

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

#### Instances of Relations and Databases

- A relation or relation instance of a relation schema R[U] is a finite set of tuples on U.
- A database instance of database schema  $\mathbf{R}$  is a mapping  $\mathbf{I}$  that assigns to each  $R \in \mathbf{R}$  a relation instance.

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Other perspectives:

Logic programming p.

First-order logic p.

### Logic Programming Perspective

- A *fact* over relation R with arity n is an expression  $R(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbf{dom}$ .
- A relation (instance) is a finite set of facts over R
- A database instance  ${f I}$  of  ${f R}$  is the union of relation instances for each  $R\in {f R}$

#### Example:

I = { Movie(Shining,Kubrick,Nicholson), Movie(Player,Altman,Robbins), Movie(Chinatown,Polanski,Nicholson), Movie(Chinatown,Polanski,Polanski), Movie(Repulsion,Polanski,Deneuve), Schedule(Le Champo,Shining), Schedule(Le Champo,Chinatown), Schedule(Le Champo,Player), Schedule(Odeon,Chinatown), Schedule(Odeon,Repulsion) }

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

## First-Order Logic: Database Instances as Theories

- For a database instance I, construct an extended relational theory  $\Sigma_{I}$  consisting of:
  - Atoms  $R_i(\vec{a})$  for each  $\vec{a} \in \mathbf{I}(R_i)$ ;
  - Extension Axioms  $\forall \vec{x}(R_i(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{a}_1 \lor \cdots \lor \vec{x} = \vec{a}_m)$ , where  $\vec{a}_1, \dots \vec{a}_m$ , are all elements of  $R_i$  in  $\mathbf{I}$ , and "=" ranges over tuples of the same arity;
  - Unique Name Axioms:  $\neg(c_i = c_j)$  for each pair  $c_i$ ,  $c_j$  of distinct constants occurring in **I**;
  - Domain Closure Axiom:  $\forall x (x = c_1 \lor \cdots \lor x = c_n)$ , where  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  is a listing of all constants occurring in **I**.
- If the "=" are not available, the intended meaning can be emulated with equality axioms.
- $\bullet\,$  Theorem: The interpretations of dom and R that satisfy  $\Sigma_I$  are isomorphic to I
- Corollary: A set of sentences  $\Gamma$  is satisfied by I iff  $\Sigma_I \cup \Gamma$  is satisfiable.

Other view: database instance I as *finite relational structure* (finite universe of discourse; considered later)

# **Database Queries: Examples**

• "What are the titles of current movies?"

answer	title	
	Shining	
	Player	
	Chinatown	
	Repulsion	

• "Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

answer	theater
	Le Champo
	Odéon

Relational Query Languages

#### Foundations of Databases

• "Which theaters are showing movies featuring Nicholson?"

answer	theater	
	Le Champo	
	Odéon	

• "Which directors acted themselves?"

answer	director	
	Polanski	

• "Who are the directors whose movies are playing in all theaters?"

answer director Polanski

• "Which theaters show only movies featuring Nicholson?"

answer	theater

... but if Le Champo stops showing 'Player', the answer contains 'Le Champo'.

# How To Ask a Query?

- Query languages
  - Commercial: SQL
  - Theoretical: Relational Algebra, Relational Calculus, datalog etc.
- Query results: Relations constructed from relations in the database

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# **Declarative vs Procedural**

- In our queries, we ask what we want to see in the output ...
- ... but we do not say **how** we want to get this output.
- Thus, query languages are **declarative**: they specify what is needed in the output, but do not say how to get it.
- A database management system figures out how to get the result,

and gives it to the user.

- A database management system operates internally with an **algebra** that takes into account how data is stored.
- Finally, queries in that algebra are translated into a **procedural** language.

### **Declarative vs Procedural: Example**

Declarative:

{ title | (title, director, actor)  $\in$  Movie }

Procedural:

for each tuple T=(t,d,a) in relation Movie do

output t

end

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# **Conjunctive Queries**

- Conjunctive queries are a simple form of declarative, rule-based queries
- A rule says when certain elements belong to the answer.
- Example: "What are the titles of current movies?"

As a conjunctive query:

answer(tl) :- Movie(tl, dir, act)

That is, while (tl, dir, act) ranges over relation Movies, output tl (the title attribute)

16

## **Conjunctive Queries: One More Example**

"Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

As a conjunctive query:

answer(th) :- Movie(tl, 'Polanski', act), Schedule(th, tl)

While (tl, dir, act) range over tuples in Movie, check if dir is 'Polanski';

if not, go to the next tuple,

if yes, look at all tuples (th, tl) in Schedule

corresponding to the title tl in relation Movie,

and output th.

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

## **Conjunctive Queries: Another Example**

"Which theaters are showing movies featuring Nicholson?"

Very similar to the previous example:

answer(th) :- Movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), Schedule(th, tl)

While (tl, dir, act) range over tuples in Movie, check if act is 'Nicholson';

if not, go to the next tuple,

if yes, look at all tuples (th, tl) in Schedule

corresponding to the title tl in relation Movie,

and output th.

Conjunctive queries are probably the most **common** type of queries and are **building blocks** for all other queries over relational databases.

### Conjunctive Queries: Still One More ...

"Which directors acted in one of their own movies?":

answer(dir) :- Movie(tl, dir, act), dir=act

While (tl, dir, act) ranges over tuples in movie,

check if dir is the same as act,

and output it if that is the case.

Alternative formulation:

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

### **Conjunctive Queries: Definition**

A rule-based conjunctive query with (in)equalities is an expression of form

answer
$$(\vec{x}) := R_1(\vec{x_1}), \dots, R_n(\vec{x_n}),$$
 (1)

where  $n \geq 0$  and

- "answer" is a relation name not in  ${f R}\cup\{=,
  eq\}$
- $R_1, \ldots, R_n$  are relation names from  $\mathbf{R} \cup \{=, \neq\}$
- $\vec{x}$  is a tuple of distinct variables with length = arity(answer)
- $\vec{x_1}, \ldots, \vec{x_n}$  are tuples of variables and constants of suitable (?!) length
- each variable occurring somewhere in the query **must** also occur in some atom  $R_i(\vec{x_i})$  where  $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$

Note: Equality "=" can be eliminated if we change the definition slightly

### **Conjunctive Queries: Semantics**

Let q be a conjunctive query of the form (1) and let I be a database instance.

• A valuation  $\nu$  over var(q) is a mapping

$$\nu \colon var(q) \cup \mathbf{dom} \to \mathbf{dom}$$

that is the identity on  $\mathbf{dom}$ .

• The result (aka image) of q on  $\mathbf{I}$  is

$$q(\mathbf{I}) = \{\nu(\vec{x}) \mid \nu \text{ is a valuation over } var(q), \text{ and}$$
  
 $\nu(\vec{x_i}) \in \mathbf{I}(R_i), \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ 

**Example:** *q*: answer(dir) :- Movie(tl, dir, act), dir=act

For I from above, we obtain

$$q(\mathbf{I}) = \set{\langle \texttt{Polanski} }$$

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

### **Elementary Properties of Conjunctive Queries**

**Proposition.** Let q be a conjunctive query of form (1). Then:

- the result  $q(\mathbf{I})$  is *finite*, for any database instance  $\mathbf{I}$ ;
- q is monotonic,

i.e.,  $I \subseteq J$  implies  $q(I) \subseteq q(J)$ , for all database instances I and J;

• if q contains neither "=" nor " $\neq$ ", then q is satisfiable,

i.e., there exists some  ${f I}$  such that  $q({f I})
eq \emptyset$ 

## **Beyond Conjunctive Queries?**

"Who are the directors whose movies are playing in all theaters?"

• Recall the notation from mathematical logic:

 $\forall$  means 'for all',  $\exists$  means 'exists', " $\land$ " is conjunction (logical 'and')

• We write the query above as

 $\{ dir \mid \forall th (\exists tl' (Schedule(th,tl') \rightarrow$ 

 $\exists$  tl, act (Movie(tl,dir,act)  $\land$  Schedule(th, tl)}

• That is, to see if director dir is in the answer, for each theater name th, check that there exists a tuple (tl, dir, act) in Movie, and a tuple (th, tl) in Schedule

Is there something missing?

Can we formulate this as a conjunctive query?

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

### Structured Query Language: SQL

- De-facto standard for all relational RDBMs
- Latest versions: SQL:1999 (also called SQL3), SQL:2003 (supports XML), SQL:2006 (more XML support), SQL:2008
   Each standard covers well over 1,000 pages

"The nice thing about standards is that you have so many to choose from."

- Andrew S. Tanenbaum.

• Query structure:

SELECT attribute list  $\langle R_i . A_j \rangle$ 

FROM  $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ 

WHERE condition C

In the simplest case, C is a conjunction of equalities/inequalities

### SQL Examples

• "Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?":

SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title AND
Movie.Director = 'Polanski'

• "Which theaters are playing the movies of which directors?"

SELECT Movie.Director, Schedule.Theater
FROM Movie, Schedule
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Relational Algebra

• We start with a subset of relational algebra that suffices to capture queries defined by

simple rules,

- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE statements
- The subset has three operations:

Projection  $\pi$ 

Selection  $\sigma$ 

Cartesian Product  $\times$ 

- This fragment of Relational Algebra is called SPC Algebra
- Sometimes we also use *renaming* of attributes, denoted as  $\rho$

### Projection

- Restricts tuples of a relation R to a subset of sort(R)
- $\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(R)$  returns a new relation with sort  $\{A_1,\ldots,A_n\}$
- Example:

	title	director	actor		title	director	
	Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		uue	unector	
$\pi_{ ext{title,director}}$	Shiring	NUDICK	INICIOISOI		Shining	Kubrick	
	Player	Altman	Robbins	=	Chining	Rabillon	
	, ,				Player	Altman	
	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson				
			<b>.</b>		Chinatown	Polanski	
	Chinatown	Polanski	anski Polanski		Populaion	Polanski	
	Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve	)	Repulsion	FUIANSKI	

• Creates a view of the original data hat hides some attributes

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

#### Selection

- Chooses tuples of R that satisfy some condition C
- $\sigma_C(R)$  returns a new relation with the same sort as R, and with the tuples t of R for which C(t) is true
- Conditions are conjunctions of elementary conditions of the form

R.A = R.A' (equality between attributes)

R.A = constant (equality between an attribute and a constant)

same as above but with  $\neq$  instead of =

• Examples:

Movie.Actor = Movie.Director

Movie.Actor = Movie.Director  $\land$  Movie.Actor  $\neq$  'Nicholson'

• Creates a view of data by hiding tuples that do not satisfy the condition

# Selection: Example

		(	title	director	actor
$\sigma_{\sf actor=director} \land {\sf director='Polanski'}$			Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson
			Player	Altman	Robbins
			Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson
			Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski
		(	Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve
_	title	director	actor		
	Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# **Cartesian Product**

- $R_1 \times R_2$  is a relation with  $sort(R_1 \times R_2) = sort(R_1) \cup sort(R_2)$  and the tuples are all possible combinations  $(t_1, t_2)$  of  $t_1$  in  $R_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $R_2$
- Example:

$R_1$	A	В		$R_2$	A	C		$R_1.A$	$R_1.B$	$R_2.A$	$R_2.C$
	$a_1$	$b_1$ $b_2$			$a_1$	$c_1$		$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_1$	$c_1$
	$a_2$	$b_2$			$a_1$ $a_2$	$c_2$		$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_2$	$c_2$
			×		$a_3$	$c_3$	=	$a_1$	$b_1$	$a_3$	$c_3$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_1$	$c_1$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_2$	$c_2$
								$a_2$	$b_2$	$a_3$	$c_3$

• We assume that the cartesian product operator automatically renames attributes so as to include the name of the relation: in the resulting table, all attributes must have different names.

# Cartesian Product: Example

"Which theaters are playing movies directed by Polanski?"

answer(th) :- Movie(tl,dir,act), Schedule(th,tl), dir='Polanski'

• Step 1: Let  $R_1 = Movie \times Schedule$ 

We don't need all tuples, only those in which titles are the same, so:

• Step 2: Let  $R_2 = \sigma_C(R_1)$  where C is "Movie.title = Schedule.title"

We are only interested in movies directed by Polanski, so

• Step 3:  $R_3 = \sigma_{\text{director}='\text{Polanski}'}(R_2)$ 

In the output, we only want theaters, so finally

• Step 4: Answer =  $\pi_{\text{theater}}(R_3)$ 

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

• Summing up, the answer is

 $\pi_{\texttt{theater}}(\sigma_{\texttt{director}='\texttt{Polanski'}}(\sigma_{\texttt{Movie.title}=\texttt{Schedule.title}}(\texttt{Movie}\times\texttt{Schedule})))$ 

• Merging selections, this is equivalent to

 $\pi_{\texttt{theater}}(\sigma_{\texttt{director}='\texttt{Polanski'} \land \texttt{Movie.title}=\texttt{Schedule.title}}(\texttt{Movie} \times \texttt{Schedule})))$ 

### Renaming

- Let R be a relation that has attribute A but does *not* have attribute B.
- $\rho_{B\leftarrow A}(R)$  is the "same" relation as R except that A is renamed to be B. Example:

	father	child		parent	child	
$ ho$ parent $\leftarrow$ father	George	Elizabeth		George	Elizabeth	
	Philip	Charles		Philip	Charles	
	Charles	William	)	Charles	William	)

- Simultaneous renaming  $\rho_{A_1,...,A_m \leftarrow B_1,...,B_m}$ , for distinct  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  resp.  $B_1, \ldots, B_m$  can be defined from it.
- Prefixing the relation name to rename attributes is convenient (used in practice)
- Not all problems are solved by this (e.g., Cartesian Product  $R \times R$ )

```
Relational Query Languages
```

Foundations of Databases

# **Unnamed Perspective**

• Renamings are for SPC immaterial, if we adopt the unnamed perspective

Why?

• Example (again): "Which theaters are playing movies directed by Polanski?"

Recall Movie: title, director, actor Schedule: theater, title

$$\pi_1(\sigma_{2='\mathsf{Polanski'}\wedge 1=5}(\mathsf{Movie} \times \mathsf{Schedule})))$$

- SPC Algebra is often assumed to be based in the unnamed setting
- Other operations of Relational Algebra can only be defined for named perspective (e.g., natural join, to be seen later)

# SQL and Relational Algebra

For execution, declarative queries are translated into algebra expressions

- Idea: SELECT is projection  $\pi$ FROM is Cartesian product  $\times$ WHERE is selection  $\sigma$
- A simple case (only one relation in FROM):

SELECT	$A, B, \ldots$
FROM	R
WHERE	C

is translated into

$$\pi_{A,B,\ldots} \big( \sigma_C(R) \big)$$

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

**Translating Declarative Queries into Relational Algebra** 

We use rules as intermediate format

Example: "Which are the titles of movies?"

• SELECT Title

FROM Movie

- answer(tl) :- Movie(tl,dir,act)
- $\pi_{title}(Movie)$

... this was simply projection

#### A More Elaborate Translation Example

"Which theaters are showing movies directed by Polanski?"

•	SELECT	Schedule.Theater					
	FROM	Schedule, Movie					
	WHERE	Movie.Title = Schedule.Title AND					
		Movie.Director='Polanski'					

• First, translate into a rule:

answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl,'Polanski',act)

• Second, change the rule such that:

constants appear only in conditions

no variable occurs twice

• This gives us:

```
answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=tl'
```

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

### A More Elaborate Translation Example (cntd)

answer(th) :- Schedule(th,tl), Movie(tl',dir,act), dir = 'Polanski', tl=tl'

Two relations  $\implies$  Cartesian product

 $Conditions \Longrightarrow selection$ 

Subset of attributes in the answer  $\Longrightarrow$  projection

- Step 1:  $R_1 =$ Schedule  $\times$  Movie
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

 $R_2 = \sigma_{\text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(R_1)$ 

• Step 3: We are only interested in Polanski's movies:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director}=\mathsf{Polanski}}(R_2)$$

• Step 4: We need only theaters in the output

answer =  $\pi_{\text{Schedule.theater}}(R_3)$ 

# A More Elaborate Translation Example (cntd)

Summing up, the answer is:

 $\pi_{\mathsf{Schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director}=\mathsf{Polanski}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Schedule.title}=\mathsf{Movie.title}}(\mathsf{Schedule}\times\mathsf{Movie})))$ 

or, using the rule  $\sigma_{C_1}(\sigma_{C_2}(R)) = \sigma_{C_1 \wedge C_2}(R)$ :

 $\pi_{\text{Schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\text{Movie.director}=\text{Polanski} \land \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}(\text{Schedule}\times\text{Movie}))$ 

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Formal Translation: SQL to Rules

SELECT	attribute list $\langle R_i.A_j angle$
FROM	$R_1,\ldots,R_n$
WHERE	condition $C$

is translated into:

answer( $\langle R_i.A_j \rangle$ ) :-  $R_1$ (<attributes>), ...,

 $R_n$ (<attributes>),

C

Note: Attributes become variables of rules

#### **Rules to Relational Algebra**

• Consider the rule

answer
$$(\vec{x})$$
:- $R_1(\vec{x}_1), \dots, R_n(\vec{x}_n)$  (2)

where, wlog (= "without loss of generality"),

$$R_1,\ldots R_k\in \mathbf{R},\,k\leq n,$$

- $R_{k+1},\ldots,R_n\in\{=,\neq\}.$
- Let conditions :=  $R_{k+1}(\vec{x}_{k+1}), ..., R_n(\vec{x}_n)$
- First transformation: Ensure that each variable occurs at most once in R<sub>1</sub>(x
  <sub>1</sub>), ..., R<sub>k</sub>(x
  <sub>k</sub>):
  - If there are  $R_i(\ldots, x, \ldots)$  and  $R_j(\ldots, x, \ldots)$ , rewrite them as  $R_i(\ldots, x', \ldots)$  and  $R_j(\ldots, x'', \ldots)$ , and add x' = x'' to the conditions and, if x occurs elsewhere, also x = x'

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

#### **Example:**

is rewritten to

```
answer(th,dir) :- movie(tl',dir,act), schedule(th,tl"), tl'=tl"
```

- Next step: each occurrence of a constant a in a relational atom  $R_i(..., a, ...)$ ,  $R_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , is replaced by some variable x and add x = a to the conditions
- Finally: after the rule (2) is rewritten, it is translated into

$$\pi_{\widehat{\vec{x}}}(\sigma_{conditions}(R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n))$$

where  $\widehat{\cdot}$  maps

- a variable x occurring in some  $R_i(...,x,...)$ ,  $R_i\in {f R}$ ,
  - to the corresponding attribute  $\hat{x}$  in  $sort(R_i)$ ;
- an expression lpha to the expression  $\hat{lpha}$  where every x is replaced by  $\hat{x}$

# Putting it Together: SQL to Relational Algebra

Combine the two translation steps:

 $SQL \mapsto rule-based$  queries

rule-based queries  $\mapsto$  relational algebra.

This yields the following translation from SQL to relational algebra:

SELECT	attribute list $\langle R_i.A_j angle$
FROM	$R_1,\ldots,R_n$
WHERE	condition $C$

becomes

```
\pi_{\langle R_i.A_j\rangle}(\sigma_C(R_1 \times \ldots \times R_n))
```

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Another Example

"Which theaters show movies featuring Nicholson?"

SELECT Schedule.Theater
FROM Schedule, Movie
WHERE Movie.Title = Schedule.Title
AND Movie.Actor='Nicholson'

• Translate into a rule:

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), schedule(th, tl)

• Modify the rule:

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, act), schedule(th, tl'), tl=tl', act='Nicholson'

- Step 1:  $R_1$  = Schedule × Movie
- Step 2: Make sure we talk about the same movie:

$$R_2 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Schedule.title}} = \mathsf{Movie.title}(R_1)$$

• Step 3: We are only interested in movies with Nicholson:

$$R_3 = \sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.actor} = \mathsf{Nicholson}}(R_2)$$

• Step 4: we need only theaters in the output

answer = 
$$\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(R_3)$$

Summing up:

 $\pi_{\text{schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\text{Movie.actor}=\text{Nicholson} \land \text{Schedule.title}=\text{Movie.title}}(\text{Schedule} \times \text{Movie}))$ 

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# SPC Algebra into SQL

Should be easy, but is it?

Where's the difficulty?

• Direct proof in two steps:

Show that for SPC queries there are normal forms

$$\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1\times\cdots\times R_m)),$$

called "simple SPC queries" (proof idea?)

Then map normal forms to SQL

• Indirect proof:

SPC is equivalent to conjunctive queries

Conjunctive queries are equivalent to single block SQL queries

### **Extension: Natural Join**

- Combine all pairs of tuples  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in relations  $R_1$  resp.  $R_2$  that agree on common attributes
- The resulting relation  $R = R_1 \bowtie R_2$  is the **natural join** of R and S, defined on the *set* of attributes in  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ .

#### Example: Schedule 🖂 Movie

title	director	actor	_	theater	title	_	title	director	actor	theater
Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson		Le Champo	Shining		Shining	Kubrick	Nicholson	Le Champo
Player	Altman	Robbins		Le Champo	Chinatown		Player	Altman	Robbins	Le Champo
Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	$\bowtie$	Le Champo	Player	_	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Le Champo
Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski		Odéon	Chinatown	—	Chinatown	Polanski	Nicholson	Odéon
Repulsion	Polanski	Deneuve		Odéon	Repulsion		Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Le Champo
							Chinatown	Polanski	Polanski	Odéon

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

## Natural Join cont'd

Natural join is not a new operation of relational algebra

- It is definable with  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\times$  (and renaming?)
- Suppose
  - R is a relation with attributes  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, \ldots, B_k$
  - S is a relation with attributes  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ C_1, \ldots, C_m$

 $\implies R \bowtie S$  has attributes  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ B_1, \ldots, B_k, C_1, \ldots, C_m$ 

Then

 $R \bowtie S =$ 

$$\pi_{A_1,...,A_n,B_1,...,B_k,C_1,...,C_m}(\sigma_{R.A_1=S.A_1 \land ... \land R.A_n=S.A_n}(R \times S))$$

#### Could a natural join be defined in the unnamed perspective?

Repulsion Polanski Deneuve

49

Odéon

### Select Project Join Queries (SPJ Queries)

Queries of the form

 $\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(\sigma_c(R_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes R_m))$ 

are called Select-project-join queries.

• These are probably the most common queries

(over databases with foreign keys).

Example: "Which theaters show movies directed by Polanski?"

- answer(th) :- schedule(th,tl), movie(tl,'Polanski',act)
- As SPJ query:

 $\pi_{\text{theater}}(\sigma_{\text{director}='\text{Polanski}'}(\text{Movie} \bowtie \text{Schedule}))$ 

• Why has the query become simpler compared to the earlier version

 $\pi_{\mathsf{schedule.theater}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{Movie.director}='\mathsf{Polanski'} \land \mathsf{Schedule.title}=\mathsf{Movie.title}}(\mathsf{Schedule} \times \mathsf{Movie}))?$ 

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

## SPJ Queries cont'd

"Which theaters show movies featuring Nicholson?"

• As rule-based conjunctive query

answer(th) :- movie(tl, dir, 'Nicholson'), schedule(th, tl)

• As SPJ query:

```
\pi_{\texttt{theater}}(\sigma_{\texttt{actor}='\texttt{Nicholson'}}(\texttt{Movie} \bowtie \texttt{Schedule}))
```

# Translating SPJ Queries to Rules and Single Block SQL

• SPJ Query

$$Q = \pi_{A_1,\dots,A_n}(\sigma_C(R \bowtie S))$$

- Equivalent SQL statement ( $B_1, \ldots, B_m$  = common attributes in R and S):
  - SELECT  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ from R, Swhere C and  $R.B_1 = S.B_1$  and  $\ldots$  and  $R.B_m = S.B_m$
- Equivalent rule query (R resp. S has attributes:  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  resp.  $D_1, \ldots, D_l$ )

answer
$$(A_1,\ldots,A_n)$$
 :-  $R(C_1,\ldots,C_k),$   $S(D_1,\ldots,D_l),$   
 $R.B_1=S.B_1,\ldots,R.B_m=S.B_m,C$ 

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# SPJ to SQL: Example

"Who are the directors of currently playing movies that feature Ford?"

• In SPJ:

$$\pi_{\mathsf{director}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{actor}='\mathrm{Ford'}}(\mathsf{Movie} \boxtimes \mathsf{Schedule}))$$

• In SQL:

### What We've Seen So Far

- Queries defined by SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE statements (single block queries)
- These are the same as the queries definable by rules
- They are also the same as the queries definable by π, σ, × (and renaming) in relational algebra, i.e., the same as SPC queries
- Question: What about SPJ?

SPJ queries are *not* a normal form for the  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\times$ -fragment

- $\rightsquigarrow$  To prevent unwanted joins, we need renaming
- SPJR Algebra =  $\sigma$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\bowtie$ ,  $\rho$  fragment of Relational Algebra

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Equivalence of SPC and SPJR Algebras

**Proposition.** The SPC Algebra and the SPJR Algebra are equivalent.

Note:

- Cartesian Product can be easily emulated using renaming
- BTW, also SQL provides a renaming construct

New attribute names can be introduced in SELECT using keyword AS.

SELECT Father AS Parent, Child

FROM R

### Nested SQL Queries: Simple Example

- So far in the WHERE clause we used comparisons between attributes
- In general, a WHERE clause can contain *another query*, and test some relationship between an attribute or a constant and the result of that query
- We call such queries with subqueries nested queries

Example: "Which theaters are showing Polanski's movies?"

SELECT Schedule.theater
FROM Schedule
WHERE Schedule.title IN
 (SELECT Movie.title
 FROM Movie
 WHERE Movie.director = 'Polanski')

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

### Nested vs Unnested Queries

SELECT	S.tł	neater	SELECT	S.theater
FROM	Sche	edule S	FROM	Schedule S, Movie M
WHERE	S.t	itle IN	WHERE	S.title = M.title AND
(SEI	LECT	M.title		M.director = 'Polanski
FRC	DM	Movie M		
WHE	ERE	M.director = 'Polansk	si')	

- Both queries capture the same question ...
- ... and return the same results over all instances (... or do they?)
- Queries nested with IN can be flattened ...
- ... but others can't (which?)

# Equivalence Theorem

**Theorem**. The following languages define the same (?!) sets of queries:

- SPJR Queries
- SPC Queries
- simple SPC queries
- (rule-based) conjunctive queries
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE with IN-nesting

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# **Disjunction in Queries**

"Which actors played in movies directed by Kubrick OR Polanski"

- SELECT Actor
  - FROM Movie

```
WHERE director = 'Kubrick' OR director = 'Polanski'
```

- Can this be defined by a *single* rule?
- How do you prove your answer? (*Hint: What can you say about the constants in the query and in the database?*)

## **Union in SQL**

• The way out: Disjunction can be represented using more than one rule

answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Kubrick'

answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Polanski'

- Semantics: compute answers to each of the rules, and then take their *union* (*union of conjunctive queries*)
- SQL has its own syntax (distinguishing between UINON and UNION ALL):

```
SELECT Actor

FROM Movie

WHERE director = 'Kubrick'

UNION

SELECT Actor

FROM Movie

WHERE director = 'Polanski'
```

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# **Disjunction in Relational Algebra**

How can we translate a query with disjunction into relational algebra?

 answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Kubrick' is translated into

$$Q_1 = \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Kubrick}}(\mathsf{Movie}))$$

answer(act) :- movie(tl,dir,act), dir='Polanski'

is translated into

$$Q_2 = \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Polanski}}(\mathsf{Movie}))$$

• The whole query is translated into  $Q_1 \cup Q_2$ , i.e.,

```
\pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Kubrick}}(\mathsf{Movie})) \ \cup \ \pi_{\mathsf{actor}}(\sigma_{\mathsf{director}=\mathsf{Polanski}}(\mathsf{Movie}))
```

### Union in Relational Algebra

• Union is another operation of relational algebra

 $R \cup S$  is the union of relations R and S

 ${\cal R}$  and  ${\cal S}$  must have the same set of attributes (be "union-compatible").

• We now have four relational algebra operations:

$$\pi, \sigma, \times, \cup$$

(and of course  $\bowtie$ , which is definable from  $\pi,\sigma, imes$ )

• This fragment is called the SPCU-Algebra, or *positive relational algebra*.

Would an intersection operator add something new? And what about set difference?

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Identities Among Relational Algebra Operators

- $\pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(R \cup S) = \pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(R) \cup \pi_{A_1,...,A_n}(S)$
- $\sigma_C(R \cup S) = \sigma_C(R) \cup \sigma_C(S)$
- $(R \cup S) \times T = R \times T \cup S \times T$
- $T \times (R \cup S) = T \times R \cup T \times S$

# Normal Form of SPCU Queries

**Theorem**. Every SPCU query is equivalent to a union of SPC queries

Proof: propagate the union operation.

Example:

$$\pi_A(\sigma_c((R \times (S \cup T)) \cup W))$$

$$= \pi_A(\sigma_c((R \times S) \cup (R \times T) \cup W))$$

$$= \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times S) \cup \sigma_c(R \times T) \cup \sigma_c(W))$$

$$= \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times S)) \cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(R \times T)) \cup \pi_A(\sigma_c(W))$$

Relational Query Languages

Foundations of Databases

# Another Equivalence Theorem

Theorem. The following languages define the same sets of queries

- Positive relational algebra (SPCU queries)
- unions of SPC queries
- queries defined by multiple rules
- unions of conjunctive queries
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION
- SQL SELECT-FROM-WHERE-UNION with IN-nesting
- SPJRU queries ( $\sigma, \pi, \bowtie, \rho, \cup$ )