## Data and Process Modelling

### 5. A Brief Discussion on the Ontological Foundations of Structural Conceptual Modelling<sup>1</sup>

#### Marco Montali

KRDB Research Centre for Knowledge and Data Faculty of Computer Science Free University of Bozen-Bolzano

### A.Y. 2014/2015



# Formal Ontology

A discipline that deals with formal ontological structures (e.g., the theory of parts, the theory of wholes, types and instantiation, identity, independence, unity) which apply to all material domains in reality. (Husserl)

### Goal

To uncover and analyze the general categories and principles that describe reality.

This is of utmost importance: our conceptual schemas must be not only logically coherent, but also properly reflect the intended semantics!

## **Red Apples**

Logical level

 $\exists x. Apple(x) \land Red(x)$  Just unary predicates...

# Red Apples



# Red Apples

### Logical level

 $\exists x. Apple(x) \land Red(x)$  Just unary predicates...



### **Ontological level**

#### Adding understanding:

- "Apple" is a *sortal* type.
  - It supplies a principle of application for the individuals it collects.
  - It provides a *principle of identity*.
- "Red" is a *characterizing* type (*mixin*).
  - It only supplies a principle of application, but not a principle of identity.

"No entity without identity" (Quine, 1969).

# Distinguishing Object Types



# Rigidity (Guarino and Welty, 2002)

### Rigid type

A type T is rigid, written  $R^+(T)$ , if, for every instance x of T, x is *necessarily* an instance of T.

Using modal logics: 
$$R^+(T) \triangleq \Box(\forall x. T(x) \to \Box T(x)).$$

### Anti-rigid type

A type T is anti-rigid, written  $R^{-}(T)$ , if, for every instance x of T, x is *possibly* not an instance of T.

Using modal logics:  $R^+(T) \triangleq \Box(\forall x. T(x) \rightarrow \Diamond \neg T(x)).$ 

Person? Student? Teenager?

# Distinguishing Object Types



- Kind: a top-level entity type ("substance sortal") that supplies a *principle of identity* for its instances
- Subkind: specialization of a kind into more specific rigid subtypes.
  - Inherit the principle of identity supplied by the kind they specialize.



Questions:

- How do the actual members fit into this picture?
- Are the principles of identity provided by the two kinds compatible?
- Did Beatles "change" when Ringo Starr replaced Pete Best?



Questions:

- How do the actual members fit into this picture?
- Are the principles of identity provided by the two kinds compatible?
- Did Beatles "change" when Ringo Starr replaced Pete Best?

# Distinguishing Object Types



Phase: sortal that applies to an individual only during a certain stage of its existence (defined through a *specialization condition* that is intrinsic to the sortal).

Marco Montali (unibz)

### Phases

Different phases specializing a kind form a *partition* for that kind.



### **Relational Dependence**

### Relational dependent types

A type T is relationally dependent on another type P via relation R, written  $D^+(T, P, R)$ , if, for every instance x of T there is an instance y of P such that x and y are related via R.

Using modal logics:  $D^+(T, P, R) \triangleq \Box(\forall x. T(x) \rightarrow \exists y. P(y) \land R(x, y)).$ 

The notion of relational dependence leads to the anti-rigid sortal of role.

# Distinguishing Object Types



Role: sortal that applies to an individual when it meets a *specialization condition*, defined in terms of its extrinsic properties, i.e., how it relates with *other* entities.

• An individual plays a role in a certain "context".

Marco Montali (unibz)

DPM - 5.Foundations



Question: is this conceptual schema ontologically correct?



Question: is this conceptual schema ontologically correct? Answer: NO! A rigid type cannot specialize an anti-rigid type.



Question: are these solutions ontologically correct?



Question: are these solutions ontologically correct?

# Distinguishing Object Types



Mixin: *dispersive* concepts, i.e., they cover many concepts with different principles of identity.

• We consider in particular role mixin.

Marco Montali (unibz)

DPM - 5.Foundations

## The Customer Schema



## The Participant Schema

"Participants may be either individual persons, or special interest groups".



## Towards Conceptual Modeling Patterns





Marco Montali (unibz)

# Mereology

. . .

The theory of parts and wholes.

Deals with the recurring is part of fact type:

- Heart is part of Body.
- Wheel is part of Car.
- Person is part of Staff.
- Department is part of University.

# Ground and Minimum Mereology

Ground Mereology:

- 1. Parthood is irreflexive:  $\forall x. \neg (x < x)$
- 2. Parthood is anti-symmetric:  $\forall x, y. \neg (x < y) \rightarrow \neg (y < x)$
- 3. Parthood is transitive:  $\forall x, y, z.((x < y) \land (y < z)) \rightarrow (x < z)$

Minimum Mereology:

4. Parthood satisfies the weak supplementation principle:  $\forall x, y.(x < y) \rightarrow \exists z.(z < y) \land (x \text{ disjoint } z)$ 

# Ground and Minimum Mereology

Ground Mereology:

- 1. Parthood is irreflexive:  $\forall x. \neg (x < x)$
- 2. Parthood is anti-symmetric:  $\forall x, y. \neg (x < y) \rightarrow \neg (y < x)$
- 3. Parthood is transitive:  $\forall x, y, z.((x < y) \land (y < z)) \rightarrow (x < z)$

Minimum Mereology:

4. Parthood satisfies the weak supplementation principle:

 $\forall x, y. (x < y) \rightarrow \exists z. (z < y) \land (x \text{ disjoint } z)$ 

Conceptual issues:

- Heart is part of Body, Brain is part of Body. Do they carry the same meaning?
- The Hand is part of the Body, and the Body is part of the Person. Is it true that the Hand is part of the Person?
- The Hand is part of the Person, and the Person is part of the Computer Science Faculty.
  Is it true that the Hand is part of the CS Faculty?

## Mandatory vs Essential PartOf



- Is it necessary for a person to have a heart to exist?
- Is it necessary for a person to have a brain to exist?
- Can a person change her heart while existing? And her brain?

# Mandatory vs Essential PartOf



- Is it necessary for a person to have a heart to exist?
- Is it necessary for a person to have a brain to exist?
- Can a person change her heart while existing? And her brain?

The two part of relations carry different *dependence* principles:

- Mandatory part: whenever the whole exist, there must necessarily be an instance of the part.
- Essential part: for the whole to exist, the same part must necessarily be connected to the whole.

Marco Montali (unibz)

DPM - 5.Foundations

# Mandatory vs Essential PartOf



- Is it necessary for a person to have a heart to exist?
- Is it necessary for a person to have a brain to exist?
- Can a person change her heart while existing? And her brain?

The two part of relations carry different *dependence* principles:

- Mandatory part: whenever the whole exist, there must necessarily be an instance of the part.
- Essential part: for the whole to exist, the same part must necessarily be connected to the whole.

Marco Montali (unibz)

DPM - 5.Foundations

# Types of PartOf



Types of PartOf



- Helps to understand to which extend transitivity has to be applied.
- Provides the basis for transitivity patterns (on the whiteboard).