TERENCE: an Adaptive Learning System for Poor Comprehenders

*****

Abstract. Nowadays, circa 10% of 7-11 olds turn out to be poor comprehenders: they demonstrate text comprehension difficulties, related to inference making, despite proficiency in low-level cognitive skills like word reading. Though there are several pencil-and-paper interventions for improving inference-making skills on text, the design and evaluation of Adaptive Learning Systems are lagging behind. The use of more intelligent systems to custom-tailor such interventions in the form of smart games for poor comprehenders has tremendous potential. The TERENCE systems embodies that potential. This paper is a revised version of a previous paper and presents the design of the TERENCE system.

Introduction

Developing the capabilities of children to comprehend written texts is key to their development as young adults. Text comprehension skills and strategies develop enormously from the age of 7-8 until the age of 11, when children develop as independent readers. Henceforth, we refer to 7-8 to 11 olds as novice (text) comprehenders. Nowadays, more and more novice comprehenders turn out to be poor (text) comprehenders: they demonstrate difficulties in deep text comprehension, despite well developed low-level cognitive skills like vocabulary knowledge, e.g., see [1] for hearing poor comprehenders, and [2] for deaf poor comprehenders. The following introductory subsections briefly outline:

1. the preliminary requirements of poor comprehenders in relation to text comprehension, according to state of the art, and hence the motivations and rationale for the design of our TERENCE adaptive learning system (ALS) for poor comprehenders,
2. a background on ALSs and an overview of related work.

The paper then continues with usage scenarios that illustrates the usage of our ALS. It then shows the story, game and student models of the conceptual model of the TERENCE ALS.
**Preliminary User Requirements and Rationale of our Work**

In the United Kingdom, poor comprehenders are estimated to be 5% to 10% of novice comprehenders [3]. Similar numbers were identified in Italy [4], as well as in other countries [5, 6, and 7]. The estimate dramatically increases when the whole population of hearing-impaired children is considered. For instance, in [8] the authors estimate that only 19% out of 504 hearing impaired 7-20 olds have reading comprehension scores above the third grade level. Several studies experimentally demonstrate that poor comprehenders fail to master the following reasoning skills in processing written stories, e.g., see [1]: (s1) coherent use of cohesive devices such as temporal connectives, (s2) inference-making from different or distant parts of a text, integrating them coherently, (s3) detection of inconsistencies in texts. Nowadays, there is clear evidence that such reasoning skills (s1, s2, and s3) are very likely to be causally implicated in the development of deep text comprehension. In particular, experiments show that inference-making questions centred around (s1, s2, and s3), together with adequate visual aids, are pedagogically effective in fostering deep comprehension of stories, e.g., see [9].

However, finding stories and educational material that are appropriate for poor comprehenders is a challenge, and hence educators are left alone in their daily interaction with poor comprehenders. Most reading material for novice comprehenders is paper based, and is not easily customisable to the specific requirements of poor comprehenders, e.g., in the types, number or position of temporal connectives. Few ALSs promote general reading interventions, but they have high-school or university textbooks as reading material, instead of stories, and are developed for old children or adults, and not specifically for poor comprehenders.

Our TERENCE ALS aims at filling such a gap: its reading material (in English and in Italian languages) will be stories adapted to the specific requirements of poor comprehenders, and its reading interventions will be interactive question-games centred around reasoning skills, like (s1), (s2), and (s3) above, that foster the development of deep text comprehension, both accompanied by adequate visual aids.

**Background on ALSs and Related Work**

The conceptual model of an ALS is usually made up of (i) the student model that describes the student’s main features, the (ii) domain model that structures the learning material, the (iii) environment model for the hardware/software capabilities, and the (iv) adaptation model that, given the previous models, characterises the actual adaptive mechanism and adaptation engine. See [10]. In order for ALSs to be pedagogically effective, the adaptation components usually require more specialised AI-techniques, tailored to the specific needs of their users [11, 12, and
than those of a classical intelligent tutoring system. Both the planner approach of the MIGRAINE system [14] and the progress-based guidance mechanism of QuizGuide [15] are particularly relevant to the adaptation engine of our ALS. The MIGRAINE system uses a knowledge base and a text to generate questions to patients that are trying to comprehend a medical report on their condition, an approach that leads to a better understanding and a greater satisfaction. QuizGuide, instead, proposes quizzes to students. Quizzes are grouped in sets, according to their topics. QuizGuide attempts to guide students to the most relevant self-assessment quiz sets, by tracing correct and incorrect answers for the quizzes, calculating mastery levels for each quiz. These levels are propagated to the topic level, forming the mastery view of the whole topic. The evaluation of progress-based navigation support in QuizGuide demonstrated that this technology has succeeded in guiding towards the most appropriate quiz sets.

As for the student model, different aspects of user modelling were studied independently from different angles. Aside from distribution, scalability and performance aspects [16] and context information [17], the principal motivations for the development of user models are (i) to characterise an individual user and (ii) have a generic representation of types of users. The former has received most attention research and proof-of-concept implementations. For instance, the KBS-Hyperbook [18] and TRAILS projects [19] based their modelling on (reasoning over) logged user actions. In the AHA! project [20], on the other hand, user actions are typically not logged but immediately translated into higher-level user model information, and Brusilovsky and Millan [21] focus on Bayesian networks. As Kay [22] already points out, with maturing ALSs, long-term usage, and interoperability issues among extant systems, the second option becomes more important. There are, however, few ontologies described in the literature, primarily being the generic user models of GUMO [23] and GRAPPLE [20]. The fact that they are generic allows us to reuse them and make them more specific for the domain and students of our ALS. To the best of our knowledge, there are no user or domain models that, nowadays, cover adequately our specific subject domain of poor comprehenders, and deep text comprehension skills.

Usage Scenarios

A learner (Carol) interacts with TERENCE by herself: Carol is an 8 year old girl. She is smart, but utterly alone. Her parents work all day and have little time for reading stories and interacting with Carol. Carol prefers playing with video games that are interactive, and interests her more than reading paper stories. Carol wants to try reading the TERENCE stories by herself, at her own pace. She knows TERENCE because she frequently uses it in class with her teacher.
Carol opens TERENCE that shows her different books: one book of fantasy stories, one of dinosaurs, etc. She chooses the fantasy book and the Layla Princess as avatar. She loves this avatar because Layla has a wonderful diadem.

TERENCE opens a spatial map with several lands. Carol chooses the story of the Magic Castle. TERENCE shows the story and Carol starts reading. Alas, some of the sentences are too long and obscure to her. She asks TERENCE for stories written in a simplified language. TERENCE, through its adaptive engine, provides her with a simplified story of the fantasy book. She starts reading again; this story is really funny and easy to read!

Carol, who is enthusiastic about the characters of the story, asks TERENCE for playing games. TERENCE shows her smart games concerning the story, with an intuitive visual interface. The games and the visual interface are funny, intuitive, and coherent with the fantasy book’s environment and the Layla Princess avatar. Carol starts playing and obtains a good score. When she decides to quit TERENCE, she is surprised in seeing that own avatar (the Layla Princess) has gained a new diadem better then the first one! She decide to read more tomorrow – she wants Layla to have an entire parure!

An educator (Alice) browses TERENCE and sets TERENCE for a specific poor comprehender. Alice teaches in a primary school in Italy. Giorgio is one of her learners. He is 10 year old and he loves vampires. He reads quickly, but seems unable to understand what he reads. The school psychologist administered him the Neale Analysis of Reading Ability test. The administration of the NARA requires the child to read a set of stories aloud, and to answer a number of questions after each story. Giorgio read all the words correctly, but was found unable to answer the comprehension questions.

Alice wants to use TERENCE because she heard that TERENCE may help her with Giorgio due to its visual games. Alice doesn’t know what adaptive learning systems are, and uses the computer only for browsing the Internet or writing documents. Despite this, Alice opens TERENCE and starts browsing the educator GUI. She reads the help for educators, and chooses some gothic stories. She tries playing with the associated smart games, and she discovers the possibility to set TERENCE for Giorgio’s characteristics, like linguistic skills and interests, by means of a user friendly interface. She is now sure that TERENCE can be of aid to Giorgio.

Alice sets the characteristics of Giorgio, e.g., linguistic skills and interests, and she asks TERENCE for adequate stories for Giorgio. TERENCE shows her a list of adequate gothic book stories, adequate according to the system. Alice approves of the system’s choice.

Giorgio starts reading and is immediately enthralled by the gothic environment where he has chosen the avatar of the “Conte Dracula” trying to win against the “Werewolf”.
An educator (Joshua) uses TERENCE to choose stories and smart games, and to monitor his own learners. Joshua is the teacher of a 4th year class in Sussex. In his class, there are learners with deep text comprehension problems. It is Christmas time and, using TERENCE, he reads a Christmas story to the class. Alex, Rose, Evelyn and Joe are poor comprehends. They cannot sum up the main episodes of the story, and ask Joshua for clarifications.

Joshua decides not to explain them the story, and instead encourages them to play with some smart games. First of all, Joshua uses TERENCE to select some specific smart games for each of his learners. Since Joshua had stored the characteristics of the learners in TERENCE, the TERENCE system can select the appropriate games concerning the Christmas story, for each learner. Alex, Rose, Evelyn and Joe try solving the funny Christmas games! Alex chooses as avatar Santa Claus: when Alex scores well on the games, Santa Claus hands out chocolates!

After the smart game session, Joshua evaluates the evolution of his learners, using TERENCE. Joshua notes that Alex, Rose, Evelyn and Joe read the entire story, and, even more surprisingly for him, all of them could tackle the majority of the games in which they had to reconstruct the temporal flow of the story, and summarise its main episodes. Satisfied by this, Joshua hands out chocolates to his learners, feeling a bit like a novel Santa Claus.

An expert, that is a natural language processing researcher (Steve), uses TERENCE to monitor its automatic annotation of stories. Steve is a researcher at the University of Rome and responsible of the natural language processor of TERENCE. Steve uploaded a new book of stories in TERENCE, and now wants to check the XML annotations of those stories.

The new book of TERENCE is about traditional fables that Steve loves very much. When Steve reads the annotation of a story, he notes that the not all the TLINK annotations are present in the “Little Red Riding Hood”. In particular, a “before” TLINK between two consecutive events is clearly missing. Thus he asks TERENCE to introduce it, and TERENCE adds the required “before” TLINK between the selected events.

An expert, that is a psychologist (Katrina) uses TERENCE to improve the quality of the stories of TERENCE. Katrina is a psychologist expert of poor text comprehenders, part of the TERENCE team that is responsible for studying the parameters for classifying stories in difficulty classes for poor comprehenders. She opens TERENCE to check the classification of the new stories of the book about witches and witchcraft, “The Bewitched Lake” book. She notes that a story of the book is classified by TERENCE as “easy”. However, in her opinion, several sentences of this story are demanding for poor comprehenders, and hence this story should be classified as “average”. She then asks TERENCE to revise the parameters for the classification of the story. After her revision, TERENCE classifies the story as “average”.


The Conceptual Model of our ALS

The preliminary analysis of the context of use and the user requirements already allows us to characterise both the learning material and goals of our ALS, and hence draft the conceptual model, as outlined in the following subsections.

The Learning Material

The main learning material of our ALS consists in illustrated stories, and interactive smart games centred on inference-making questions for reasoning about the stories.

Stories. Even if finding stories for novice comprehenders is not difficult, finding stories that are adequate to poor comprehenders and challenging is difficult. For instance, the comprehension of causal-temporal relations in text is problematic for poor comprehenders. However, the appropriately interspersed causal-temporal connectives facilitate the comprehension of the stories by poor comprehenders, as explained in the introductory section. Therefore, TERENCE evaluates the appeal and adequacy of its stories with different experts of poor comprehenders (e.g., Katrina in above sixth scenario) and types of poor comprehenders, e.g., with different ages, grammar skills and, in case of deaf children, different first-language.

Smart games. The reasoning smart games are based on a story’s explanatory events. Preliminary prototypes of the games are being designed by following assessed interventions for stimulating the reasoning skills on texts outlined in the motivations. For instance, according to our preliminary user requirements’ analysis, poor comprehenders are in need of games that pose and solicit questions about specific features of events in the stories, monitoring the students’ comprehension of the story flow. Let us make two concrete examples of such question-games, starting from the following excerpt of a famous children’s story.

Mummy Duck watches the big egg but sees no signs of cracking. So she decides to keep sitting on it. After some days, while she is sitting on it, an ugly grey duckling cracks the big eggshell.

The games of our ALS will feature who-questions like

(1) Who sits on the big eggshell?

or questions that are possibly more difficult like

(2) Does the big eggshell crack before Mummy Duck watches it?

for reasoning about implicit causal-temporal information in the story. Such questions will be accompanied by adequate visual aids that help children foster their deep comprehension of the related information.
The main submodels of the conceptual model of our ALS is specified as follows:

(s1) the learning material described above is structured in the domain model, which is composed of two main submodels: one for stories, the other for smart reasoning game;

(s2) the student model for the characteristics of poor comprehenders, divided in emotional and cognitive ones;

(s3) the adaptation model for the teaching process, specifying the rules correlating concepts of the domain model and the student model.

The models have been developed as ER diagrams and later will be refined into ontologies. In particular, the current story sub-model of the domain ontology analyses and correlates features of texts which are relevant for poor comprehenders. The submodel was constructed with a middle-out approach: after analysing the reading comprehension strategies overviewed in [24] and effective strategies by teachers in light of our preliminary user requirements, we created the concepts of our story submodel. For instance, the story ontology has as “difficult word” as concept, specialised in non-frequent word, abstract word, unfamiliar word. It has also different concepts of inference making about specific features of events of stories, e.g., the actor of an event-action, the attributes of the actor.

Conclusions

In this paper, we motivated the need of an ALS for poor comprehenders, with a first analysis of their preliminary user requirements usage scenarios and conceptual models.

Currently, we are working on a finer-grained analysis of the preliminary user requirements, to be reviewed through evaluations; the updated requirements will provide the input for the revision of the conceptual model of TERENCE here outlined. Such evaluations, more in general, will serve to assess the usability of our ALS, and in particular: (1) the appeal and adequacy of its learning material, (2) the pedagogical effectiveness of our ALS in improving the text comprehension of poor comprehenders.
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