## Relational Probabilistic Models

- flat or modular or hierarchical
- explicit states or features or individuals and relations
- static or finite stage or indefinite stage or infinite stage
- fully observable or partially observable
- deterministic or stochastic dynamics
- goals or complex preferences
- single agent or multiple agents
- knowledge is given or knowledge is learned
- perfect rationality or bounded rationality


## Relational Probabilistic Models

Often we want a random variable for each individual in a population

- build a probabilistic model before knowing the individuals
- learn the model for one set of individuals
- apply the model to new individuals
- allow complex relationships between individuals


## Predicting students errors
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## Predicting students errors



What if there were multiple digits, problems, students, times?

## Predicting students errors



What if there were multiple digits, problems, students, times? How can we build a model before we know the individuals?

## Multi-digit addition with parametrized BNs / plates

| $x_{j_{x}}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| + | $y_{j_{z}}$ | $\cdots$ | $y_{2}$ |$y_{1}$.



Parametrized Random Variables: $x(D, P), y(D, P)$, knows_carry $(S, T)$, knows_add $(S, T), c(D, P, S, T)$, $z(D, P, S, T)$ for digit $D$, problem $P$, student $S$, time $T$.
There is a random variable for each assignment of a value to $D$ and a value to $P$ in $x(D, P) \ldots$

## Parametrized Bayesian networks / Plates

Parametrized Bayes Net:


Bayes Net

Individuals:

$$
i_{l}, \ldots, i_{k}
$$

## Parametrized Bayesian networks / Plates (2)



## Creating Dependencies

Instances of plates are independent, except by common parents or children.

## Common Parents



## Observed Children



## Overlapping plates



Relations: likes $(P, M)$, young $(P)$, genre $(M)$
likes is Boolean, young is Boolean, genre has range $\{$ action, romance, family $\}$

## Overlapping plates



Relations: likes $(P, M)$, young $(P)$, genre $(M)$
likes is Boolean, young is Boolean, genre has range \{action, romance, family\}
Three students: sam (s), chris (c), kim (k)
Two movies: rango ( r ), terminator ( t )

## Representing Conditional Probabilities

- $P($ likes $(P, M) \mid$ young $(P)$, genre $(M))$ - parameter sharing individuals share probability parameters.
- $P($ happy $(X) \mid$ friend $(X, Y)$, mean $(Y))$ - needs aggregation - happy (a) depends on an unbounded number of parents.
- the carry of one digit depends on carry of the previous digit
- probability that two authors collaborate depends on whether they have a paper authored together


## Creating Dependencies: Exploit Domain Structure



## Creating Dependencies: Relational Structure



## Independent Choice Logic

- A language for first-order probabilistic models.
- Idea: combine logic and probability, where all uncertainty in handled in terms of Bayesian decision theory, and a logic program specifies consequences of choices.
- Parametrized random variables are represented as logical atoms, and plates correspond to logical variables.


## Independent Choice Logic

- An alternative is a set of ground atomic formulas. $\mathcal{C}$, the choice space is a set of disjoint alternatives.
- $\mathcal{F}$, the facts is a logic program that gives consequences of choices.
- $P_{0}$ a probability distribution over alternatives:

$$
\forall A \in \mathcal{C} \sum_{a \in A} P_{0}(a)=1
$$

## Meaningless Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}=\left\{\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\},\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{F}=\left\{f \leftarrow c_{1} \wedge b_{1}, \quad f \leftarrow c_{3} \wedge b_{2},\right. \\
& d \leftarrow c_{1}, \\
& e \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow, \quad e \leftarrow \sim c_{2} \wedge b_{1}, \\
& P_{0}\left(c_{1}\right)=0.5 \quad P_{0}\left(c_{2}\right)=0.3 \quad P_{0}\left(c_{3}\right)=0.2 \\
& P_{0}\left(b_{1}\right)=0.9 \\
& P_{0}\left(b_{2}\right)=0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Semantics of ICL

- There is a possible world for each selection of one element from each alternative.
- The logic program together with the selected atoms specifies what is true in each possible world.
- The elements of different alternatives are independent.


## Meaningless Example: Semantics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=\left\{f \leftarrow c_{1} \wedge b_{1}, \quad f \leftarrow c_{3} \wedge b_{2},\right. \\
& d \leftarrow c_{1}, \quad d \leftarrow \sim c_{2} \wedge b_{1}, \\
& e \leftarrow f, \quad e \leftarrow \sim d\} \\
& P_{0}\left(c_{1}\right)=0.5 \quad P_{0}\left(c_{2}\right)=0.3 \quad P_{0}\left(c_{3}\right)=0.2 \\
& P_{0}\left(b_{1}\right)=0.9 \quad P_{0}\left(b_{2}\right)=0.1 \\
& \text { selection logic program } \\
& P(e)=0.45+0.27+0.03+0.02=0.77
\end{aligned}
$$

## Belief Networks, Decision trees and ICL rules

- There is a local mapping from belief networks into ICL.
prob ta: 0.02 .
prob fire : 0.01.

alarm $\leftarrow t a \wedge$ fire $\wedge a t f$.
alarm $\leftarrow \sim$ ta $\wedge$ fire $\wedge$ antf.
alarm $\leftarrow$ ta $\wedge \sim$ fire $\wedge$ atnf.
alarm $\leftarrow \sim$ ta $\wedge \sim$ fire $\wedge$ antnf.
prob atf: 0.5.
prob antf: 0.99.
prob atnf: 0.85 .
prob antnf : 0.0001.
smoke $\leftarrow$ fire $\wedge s f$.
prob sf: 0.9.
smoke $\leftarrow \sim$ fire $\wedge$ snf.
prob snf: 0.01.


## Belief Networks, Decision trees and ICL rules

- Rules can represent decision tree with probabilities:

$e \leftarrow a \wedge b \wedge h_{1}$.
$P_{0}\left(h_{1}\right)=0.7$
$e \leftarrow a \wedge \sim b \wedge h_{2}$.

$$
P_{0}\left(h_{2}\right)=0.2
$$

$e \leftarrow \sim a \wedge c \wedge d \wedge h_{3}$.

$$
P_{0}\left(h_{3}\right)=0.9
$$

$$
e \leftarrow \sim a \wedge c \wedge \sim d \wedge h_{4}
$$

$$
P_{0}\left(h_{4}\right)=0.5
$$

$e \leftarrow \sim a \wedge \sim c \wedge h_{5}$.
$P_{0}\left(h_{5}\right)=0.3$

## Movie Ratings


prob young $(P)$ : 0.4.
prob genre( $M$, action) : 0.4, genre( $M$, romance) : 0.3, genre( $M$, family) : 0.4.
$\operatorname{likes}(P, M) \leftarrow \operatorname{young}(P) \wedge \operatorname{genre}(M, G) \wedge l y(P, M, G)$.
$\operatorname{likes}(P, M) \leftarrow \sim \operatorname{young}(P) \wedge \operatorname{genre}(M, G) \wedge \operatorname{lny}(P, M, G)$.
prob $l y(P, M$, action $): 0.7$.
prob $\operatorname{ly}(P, M$, romance $)$ : 0.3.
prob ly ( $P, M$, family $): 0.8$.
prob $\operatorname{lny}(P, M$, action $): 0.2$.
prob $\operatorname{lny}(P, M$, romance $): 0.9$.
prob $\operatorname{Iny}(P, M$, family $): 0.3$.

## Example: Multi-digit addition



## ICL rules for multi-digit addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z(D, P, S, T)=V \leftarrow \\
& \quad x(D, P)=V x \wedge \\
& y(D, P)=V y \wedge \\
& c(D, P, S, T)=V c \wedge \\
& \operatorname{knows}-a d d(S, T) \wedge \\
& \neg \operatorname{mistake}(D, P, S, T) \wedge \\
& V \text { is }\left(V_{x}+V_{y}+V_{c}\right) \text { div } 10 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatives:
$\forall D P S T\{$ noMistake $(D, P, S, T)$, mistake $(D, P, S, T)\}$
$\forall D P S T\{$ selectDig $(D, P, S, T)=V \mid V \in\{0 . .9\}\}$

## Hidden Variables

| Student | Course | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | $A$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{1}$ | $C$ |
| $s_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | $B$ |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{3}$ | $B$ |
| $s_{3}$ | $c_{2}$ | $B$ |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{3}$ | $B$ |
| $s_{3}$ | $c_{4}$ | $?$ |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{4}$ | $?$ |

## Hidden Variables

| Student | Course | Grade |  | $\operatorname{int}(S)$ | $\operatorname{diff}(C)$ | $\operatorname{grade}(S, C)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $s_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ | $A$ |  |  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |  |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{1}$ | $C$ |  | true | true | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |
| $s_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | $B$ |  | true | false | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.01 |  |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{3}$ | $B$ |  | false | true | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.9 |  |
| $s_{3}$ | $c_{2}$ | $B$ |  | false | false | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |  |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{3}$ | $B$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{3}$ | $c_{4}$ | $?$ |  | $P(\operatorname{int}(S))=0.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{4}$ | $?$ |  | $P(\operatorname{diff}(C))=0.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hidden Variables

| Student | Course | Grade | $\operatorname{int}(S)$ | $\operatorname{diff}(C)$ | $\operatorname{grade}(S, C)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{1}$ | $C_{1}$ | A |  |  | A | $B$ | C |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{1}$ | C | true | true | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| $s_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | B | true | false | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| $s_{2}$ | $c_{3}$ | B | false | true | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| S3 | $c_{2}$ | B | false | false | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{3}$ | $B$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_{3}$ | $c_{4}$ | ? | $P(\operatorname{int}(S))=0.5$ |  |  |  |  |
| $s_{4}$ | $c_{4}$ | ? | $P(\operatorname{diff}(C))=0.5$ |  |  |  |  |

$P(\operatorname{grade}(s 3, c 4, a) \mid O b s)=0.491$,
$P($ grade $(s 3, c 4, b) \mid O b s)=0.245, P(\operatorname{grade}(s 3, c 4, c) \mid O b s)=0.264$
$P(\operatorname{grade}(s 4, c 4, a) \mid O b s)=0.264$,
$P(\operatorname{grade}(s 4, c 4, b) \mid O b s)=0.245, P(\operatorname{grade}(s 4, c 4, c) \mid O b s)=0.491$

## Learning Relational Models with Hidden Variables

| User | Item | Date | Rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sam | Terminator | $2009-03-22$ | 5 |
| Sam | Rango | $2011-03-22$ | 4 |
| Sam | The Holiday | $2010-12-25$ | 1 |
| Chris | The Holiday | $2010-12-25$ | 4 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

Netflix: 500,000 users, 17,000 movies, 100,000,000 ratings.

## Learning Relational Models with Hidden Variables

| User | Item | Date | Rating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sam | Terminator | $2009-03-22$ | 5 |
| Sam | Rango | $2011-03-22$ | 4 |
| Sam | The Holiday | $2010-12-25$ | 1 |
| Chris | The Holiday | $2010-12-25$ | 4 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

Netflix: 500,000 users, 17,000 movies, $100,000,000$ ratings.
$r_{u i}=$ rating of user $u$ on item $i$
$\hat{r_{u i}}=$ predicted rating of user $u$ on item $i$
$D=$ set of $(u, i, r)$ tuples in the training set
Sum squares error:

$$
\sum_{(u, i, r) \in D}\left(\hat{r_{u i}}-r\right)^{2}
$$

## Learning Relational Models with Hidden Variables

- Predict same for all ratings: $\hat{r_{u i}}=\mu$
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## Learning Relational Models with Hidden Variables

- Predict same for all ratings: $\hat{r_{u i}}=\mu$
- Adjust for each user and item: $\hat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{i}+c_{u}$
- One hidden feature: $f_{i}$ for each item and $g_{u}$ for each user

$$
\hat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{i}+c_{u}+f_{i} g_{u}
$$

- $k$ hidden features:

$$
\hat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{i}+c_{u}+\sum_{k} f_{i k} g_{k u}
$$

- Regularize

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{minimize} \sum_{(u, i) \in K}\left(\mu+b_{i}+c_{u}+\sum_{k} f_{i k} g_{k u}-r_{u i}\right)^{2} \\
+\lambda\left(b_{i}^{2}+c_{u}^{2}+\sum_{k} f_{i k}^{2}+g_{k u}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Parameter Learning using Gradient Descent

$\mu \leftarrow$ average rating
assign $f[i, k], g[k, u]$ randomly assign $b[i], c[u]$ arbitrarily
repeat:
for each $(u, i, r) \in D$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e \leftarrow \mu+b[i]+c[u]+\sum_{k} f[i, k] * g[k, u]-r \\
& b[i] \leftarrow b[i]-\eta * e-\eta * \lambda * b[i] \\
& c[u] \leftarrow c[u]-\eta * e-\eta * \lambda * c[u]
\end{aligned}
$$

for each feature $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f[i, k] \leftarrow f[i, k]-\eta * e * g[k, u]-\eta * \lambda * f[i, k] \\
& g[k, u] \leftarrow g[k, u]-\eta * e * f[i, k]-\eta * \lambda * g[k, u]
\end{aligned}
$$

