

- A **conceptualization** is a map from the problem domain into the representation. A conceptualization specifies:
 - ▶ What sorts of objects are being modeled
 - ▶ The vocabulary for specifying objects, relations and properties
 - ▶ The meaning or intention of the vocabulary
- If more than one person is building a knowledge base, they must be able to share the conceptualization.
- An **ontology** is a specification of a conceptualization. An ontology specifies the meanings of the symbols in an information system.

Mapping from a conceptualization to a symbol



- Ontologies are published on the web in machine readable form.
- Builders of knowledge bases or web sites adhere to and refer to a published ontology:
 - ▶ a symbol defined by an ontology means the same thing across web sites that obey the ontology.
 - ▶ if someone wants to refer to something not defined, they publish an ontology defining the terminology.
Others adopt the terminology by referring to the new ontology.
In this way, ontologies evolve.
 - ▶ Separately developed ontologies can have mappings between them published.

Challenges of building ontologies

- They can be huge: finding the appropriate terminology for a concept may be difficult.

Challenges of building ontologies

- They can be huge: finding the appropriate terminology for a concept may be difficult.
- How one divides the world can depend on the application. Different ontologies describe the world in different ways.
- People can fundamentally disagree about an appropriate structure.

Challenges of building ontologies

- They can be huge: finding the appropriate terminology for a concept may be difficult.
- How one divides the world can depend on the application. Different ontologies describe the world in different ways.
- People can fundamentally disagree about an appropriate structure.
- Different knowledge bases can use different ontologies.
- To allow KBs based on different ontologies to inter-operate, there must be mapping between ontologies.
- It has to be in user's interests to use an ontology.

Challenges of building ontologies

- They can be huge: finding the appropriate terminology for a concept may be difficult.
- How one divides the world can depend on the application. Different ontologies describe the world in different ways.
- People can fundamentally disagree about an appropriate structure.
- Different knowledge bases can use different ontologies.
- To allow KBs based on different ontologies to inter-operate, there must be mapping between ontologies.
- It has to be in user's interests to use an ontology.
- The computer doesn't understand the meaning of the symbols. The formalism can constrain the meaning, but can't define it.

- **XML** the Extensible Markup Language provides generic syntax.
`<tag ... />` or
`<tag ... > ... </tag >`.
- **URI** a Uniform Resource Identifier is a name of an object (resource). This name can be shared. Often in the form of a URL to ensure uniqueness.
- **RDF** the Resource Description Framework is a language of triples
- **OWL** the Web Ontology Language, defines some primitive properties that can be used to define terminology. (Doesn't define a syntax).

Main Components of an Ontology

- **Individuals** the objects in the world (not usually specified as part of the ontology)
- **Classes** sets of individuals
- **Properties** between individuals and their values

- Individuals are things in the world that can be named. (Concrete, abstract, concepts, reified).
- Unique names assumption (UNA): different names refer to different individuals.
- The UNA is not an assumption we can universally make: “The Queen”, “Elizabeth Windsor”, etc.
- Without the determining equality, we can't count!
- In OWL we can specify:

i_1 *SameIndividual* i_2 .

i_1 *DifferentIndividuals* i_3 .

- A class is a set of individuals. E.g., house, building, officeBuilding
- One class can be a subclass of another
 - house subClassOf building.*
 - officeBuilding subClassOf building.*
- The most general class is *Thing*.
- Classes can be declared to be the same or to be disjoint:
 - house EquivalentClasses singleFamilyDwelling.*
 - house DisjointClasses officeBuilding.*
- Different classes are not necessarily disjoint.
E.g., a building can be both a commercial building and a residential building.

- A property is between an individual and a value.
- A property has a domain and a range.
 - livesIn* domain *person*.
 - livesIn* range *placeOfResidence*.
- An *ObjectProperty* is a property whose range is an individual.
- A *DatatypeProperty* is one whose range isn't an object, e.g., is a number or string.
- There can also be property hierarchies:
 - livesIn* *subPropertyOf* *enclosure*.
 - principalResidence* *subPropertyOf* *livesIn*.

- One property can be inverse of another
livesIn InverseObjectProperties hasResident.
- Properties can be declared to be transitive, symmetric, functional, or inverse-functional. (Which of these are only applicable to object properties?)
- We can also state the minimum and maximal cardinality of a property.

principalResidence minCardinality 1.

principalResidence maxCardinality 1.

Property and Class Restrictions

- We can define complex descriptions of classes in terms of restrictions of other classes and properties.
E.g., A homeowner is a person who owns a house.

Property and Class Restrictions

- We can define complex descriptions of classes in terms of restrictions of other classes and properties.
E.g., A homeowner is a person who owns a house.

$$\text{homeOwner} \subseteq \text{person} \cap \{x : \exists h \in \text{house such that } x \text{ owns } h\}$$

Property and Class Restrictions

- We can define complex descriptions of classes in terms of restrictions of other classes and properties.
E.g., A homeowner is a person who owns a house.

$homeOwner \subseteq person \cap \{x : \exists h \in house \text{ such that } x \text{ owns } h\}$

homeOwner subclassOf person.

homeOwner subclassOf

ObjectSomeValuesFrom(owns, house).

owl:Thing \equiv all individuals

owl:Nothing \equiv no individuals

owl:ObjectIntersectionOf(C_1, \dots, C_k) $\equiv C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_k$

owl:ObjectUnionOf(C_1, \dots, C_k) $\equiv C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k$

owl:ObjectComplementOf(C) $\equiv \text{Thing} \setminus C$

owl:ObjectOneOf(I_1, \dots, I_k) $\equiv \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$

owl:ObjectHasValue(P, I) $\equiv \{x : x P I\}$

owl:ObjectAllValuesFrom(P, C) $\equiv \{x : x P y \rightarrow y \in C\}$

owl:ObjectSomeValuesFrom(P, C) \equiv
 $\{x : \exists y \in C \text{ such that } x P y\}$

owl:ObjectMinCardinality(n, P, C) \equiv
 $\{x : \#\{y | x P y \text{ and } y \in C\} \geq n\}$

owl:ObjectMaxCardinality(n, P, C) \equiv
 $\{x : \#\{y | x P y \text{ and } y \in C\} \leq n\}$

$\text{rdf:type}(I, C) \equiv I \in C$

$\text{rdfs:subClassOf}(C_1, C_2) \equiv C_1 \subseteq C_2$

$\text{owl:EquivalentClasses}(C_1, C_2) \equiv C_1 \equiv C_2$

$\text{owl:DisjointClasses}(C_1, C_2) \equiv C_1 \cap C_2 = \{\}$

$\text{rdfs:domain}(P, C) \equiv \text{if } xPy \text{ then } x \in C$

$\text{rdfs:range}(P, C) \equiv \text{if } xPy \text{ then } y \in C$

$\text{rdfs:subPropertyOf}(P_1, P_2) \equiv xP_1y \text{ implies } xP_2y$

$\text{owl:EquivalentObjectProperties}(P_1, P_2) \equiv xP_1y \text{ if and only if } xP_2y$

$\text{owl:DisjointObjectProperties}(P_1, P_2) \equiv xP_1y \text{ implies not } xP_2y$

$\text{owl:InverseObjectProperties}(P_1, P_2) \equiv xP_1y \text{ if and only if } yP_2x$

$\text{owl:SameIndividual}(I_1, \dots, I_n) \equiv \forall j \forall k I_j = I_k$

$\text{owl:DifferentIndividuals}(I_1, \dots, I_n) \equiv \forall j \forall k j \neq k \text{ implies } I_j \neq I_k$

$\text{owl:FunctionalObjectProperty}(P) \equiv \text{if } xPy_1 \text{ and } xPy_2 \text{ then } y_1 = y_2$

$\text{owl:InverseFunctionalObjectProperty}(P) \equiv$

$\text{if } x_1Py \text{ and } x_2Py \text{ then } x_1 = x_2$

$\text{owl:TransitiveObjectProperty}(P) \equiv \text{if } xPy \text{ and } yPz \text{ then } xPz$

$\text{owl:SymmetricObjectProperty} \equiv \text{if } xPy \text{ then } yPx$

- One ontology typically imports and builds on other ontologies.
- OWL provides facilities for version control.
- Tools for mapping one ontology to another allow inter-operation of different knowledge bases.
- The semantic web promises to allow two pieces of information to be combined if
 - ▶ they both adhere to an ontology
 - ▶ these are the same ontology or there is a mapping between them.

Example: Apartment Building

An apartment building is a residential building with more than two units and they are rented.

Example: Apartment Building

An apartment building is a residential building with more than two units and they are rented.

```
:numberOfUnits rdf:type    owl:FunctionalObjectProperty;  
                rdfs:domain :ResidentialBuilding;  
                rdfs:range  owl:OneOf(:one :two :moreThanTwo).
```

Example: Apartment Building

An apartment building is a residential building with more than two units and they are rented.

```
:numberOfUnits rdf:type    owl:FunctionalObjectProperty;  
                rdfs:domain :ResidentialBuilding;  
                rdfs:range  owl:OneOf(:one :two :moreThanTwo).
```

```
:ApartmentBuilding  
  owl:EquivalentClasses  
    owl:ObjectIntersectionOf (  
      owl:ObjectHasValue(:numberOfUnits  
                           :moreThanTwo)  
      owl:ObjectHasValue(:onwership  
                           :rental)  
      :ResidentialBuilding).
```

Aristotle [350 B.C.] suggested the definition of a class C in terms of:

- **Genus**: the super-class
- **Differentia**: the attributes that make members of the class C different from other members of the super-class

"If genera are different and co-ordinate, their differentiae are themselves different in kind. Take as an instance the genus 'animal' and the genus 'knowledge'. 'With feet', 'two-footed', 'winged', 'aquatic', are differentiae of 'animal'; the species of knowledge are not distinguished by the same differentiae. One species of knowledge does not differ from another in being 'two-footed'."

Aristotle, *Categories*, 350 B.C.

Example: hotel ontology

Define the following:

- Room
- BathRoom
- StandardRoom - what is rented as a room in a hotel
- Suite
- RoomOnly

Example: hotel ontology

Define the following:

- Room
- BathRoom
- StandardRoom - what is rented as a room in a hotel
- Suite
- RoomOnly
- Hotel
- HasForRent
- AllSuitesHotel
- NoSuitesHotel
- HasSuitesHotel

Basic Formal Ontology (BFO)

entity

continuant

independent continuant

site

object aggregate

object

part of object

boundary of object

dependent continuant

realizable entity

function

role

disposition

quality

spatial region

volume / surface / line / point

occurrent

temporal region

connected temporal region

temporal interval

temporal instant

scattered temporal region

spatio-temporal region

connected spatio-temporal region

spatio-temporal interval / spatio-temporal instant

scattered spatio-temporal region

processual entity

process

process aggregate

processual context

fiat part of process

boundary of process