

Exercise: Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph

(E 9.1)

A vertex cover C of G is a subset of the vertices V s.t. every edge of G touches at least one of the nodes of C .

The vertex cover problem:

input: - graph $G = (V, E)$
- integer k

output: yes iff G has a vertex cover of size $\leq k$

Vertex cover is NP-complete:

Proof:

in NP: easy

- guess a subset C of V of size $\leq k$
- check in poly-time that it is a vertex cover

NP-hard by reduction from 3-SAT

We define a poly-time reduction R that:

- takes as input a 3-CNF formula F
- constructs a graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k

such that:

F is satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow G$ admits a vertex cover with k nodes

Let $F = C_1 \cdot \dots \cdot C_m$ be a 3-CNF formula over variables $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

We construct $G = (V, E)$ as constituted by various components


- For each variable x_i , we have a truth-setting component $T_i = (V_i, E_i)$ with $V_i = \{x_i, \bar{x}_i\}$

$$E_i = \{ \{x_i, \bar{x}_i\} \}$$

↑
undirected edge

note: at least one of x_i, \bar{x}_i will be in every vertex cover to cover $\{x_i, \bar{x}_i\}$

- For each clause $C_j \in \mathcal{F}$ we have a satisfaction testing component $S_j = (V'_j, E'_j)$



note: at least two of V'_j will be in every vertex cover to cover E'_j

- We have a communication component, which is the only part that depends on which literals are in which clauses


$$\text{let } C_j = l_{1j} + l_{2j} + l_{3j}$$

$$\text{then we have } E''_j = \{ \{e_{1j}, l_{1j}\}, \{e_{2j}, l_{2j}\}, \{e_{3j}, l_{3j}\} \}$$

We then set $K = n + 2m$
↑ # variables ← # clauses

Example: $F = (x_1 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4) \cdot (\bar{x}_1 + x_2 + \bar{x}_4)$

(E9.3)



$k = n + 2m = 4 + 2 \cdot 2 = 8$

We show that F is satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow G$ has a vertex cover of size $\leq k$

" \Leftarrow " Let $V' \subseteq V$ be a vertex cover for G with $|V'| \leq k$.
 We said that V' contains - one vertex for each variable
 at least - 2 vertices for each clause

This is already $k = n + 2m$
 \Rightarrow at least is actually exactly

We use V' to obtain the truth assignment γ
 we set $x_i = \text{true}$ if $x_i \in V'$
 $x_i = \text{false}$ if $x_i \notin V'$

To show that γ is a truth assignment that satisfies F ,
 we explain that all clauses of the communication components
 are covered by V' :

Consider a clause $C_j = l_{1j} + l_{2j} + l_{3j}$
 - two of the arcs in E_j are covered by the choice of
 true among e_{1j}, e_{2j}, e_{3j} in V' .
 W.l.o.g., let there be e_{1j}, e_{2j}

(E9.4)

- the third arc is then covered by the literal l_{3j} (connected to e_{3j}) which has to be in V' .

Since, by definition of γ , $l_{3j} = \text{true}$, C_j is satisfied.

" \Rightarrow " Let γ be a truth assignment that satisfies F .

We define a subset $V' \subseteq V$ as follows

- $x_i \in V'$ iff $\gamma(x_i) = \text{true}$

$\bar{x}_i \in V'$ iff $\gamma(x_i) = \text{false}$

Since γ satisfies F , for each communication component

$$E_j'' = \{\{e_{1j}, l_{1j}\}, \{e_{2j}, l_{2j}\}, \{e_{3j}, l_{3j}\}\},$$

one of the three edges $\{e_{ij}, l_{ij}\}$ is covered in V' by l_{ij} .

W.l.o.g., let $i=1$. Then $\{e_{2j}, l_{2j}\}, \{e_{3j}, l_{3j}\}$ can be covered by having $e_{2j} \in V'$ and $e_{3j} \in V'$.

We get that V' contains $n + 2m$ vertices.

Exercise (10.3.2): The problem 4TA-SAT is defined as follows:

Given a prop. formula E , does E have at least 4 satisfying truth assignments?

Show that 4TA-SAT is NP-complete:

Proof:

1) 4TA-SAT is in NP

We devise a non-deterministic poly-time algorithm.

1) Guess 4 truth-assignments T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4

2) Check that T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4 all satisfy E .

Note that both steps require time polynomial in the size of E

2) 4TA-SAT is NP-hard

We show this by reducing SAT to 4TA-SAT.

Let E be a prop. formula, and let x_1, \dots, x_n be all variables in E .

We construct a new formula E' s.t.

$$E \text{ SAT} \Leftrightarrow E' \in \text{4TA-SAT}$$

Let y_1, y_2 be two new variables. Then

$$E' = E \vee ((x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) \wedge ((y_1 \wedge y_2) \vee (y_1 \wedge \bar{y}_2) \vee (\bar{y}_1 \wedge y_2)))$$

