Formal Languages and Compilers Lecture IX—Intermediate Code Generation

Alessandro Artale

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano Faculty of Computer Science - POS Building, Room: 2.03 artale@inf.unibz.it http://www.inf.unibz.it/~artale/

Formal Languages and Compilers - BSc course

2020/21 - Second Semester

- Three-Address Code
- Code for Assignments
- Code for Boolean Expressions and Flow-of-Control Statements

Intermediate Code Generation

- An intermediate code is generated as a program for an abstract machine.
 - The intermediate code should be easy to translate into the target program.
 - A machine-independent Code Optimizer can be applied before generating the target code.
- As intermediate code we consider the **three-address code**, similar to assembly: sequence of instructions with at most *three* operands such that:
 - There is at most one operator, in addition to the assignment (we make explicit the operators precedence).
 - The general form is: x := y op z where x,y,z are called addresses, i.e., either identifiers, constants or compiler-generated temporary names.
 - Temporary names must be generated to compute intermediate operations.
 - Addresses are implemented as pointers to their symbol-table entries.

Three-Address statements are akin to assembly code: Statements can have *labels* and there are statements for flow-of-control.

- Assignment Statements: x := y op z.
- Onary Assignment Statements: x := op y.
- Opy Statements: x := y.
- Unconditional Jump: goto L (with L a label of a statement).
- Onditional Jump: if x relop y goto L.

Types of Three-Address Statements (Cont.)

Procedure Call: param x, and call p,n for calling a procedure, p, with n parameters. With return y the returned value of the procedure is indicated. param x₁ param x₂ ...

```
param x<sub>n</sub>
call p,n
```

- Indexed assignments: x := y[i] or x[i] := y.
 Note: x[i] denotes the value in the location i memory units beyond the location x.
- Pointer Assignmets: x := &y, x := *y, or *x := y; where &y stands for the address of y, and *y for the value stored at y.

- Three-Address Code
- Code for Assignments
- Code for Boolean Expressions and Flow-of-Control Statements

Assignments and Symbol Tables: The Translation

The following S-attributed definition generates three-address code for assignments.

Production	Semantic Rules
$S \rightarrow id := E$	<pre>p := lookup(id.name);</pre>
	if $p \neq nil$ then emit($p' := E.addr$)
$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$	else <i>error</i> E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':='E_1.addr'+'E_2.addr)$
$E \rightarrow E_1 * E_2$	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':='E_1.addr'*'E_2.addr)$
$E \rightarrow -E_1$	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':=''uminus'E_1.addr)$
$E ightarrow (E_1)$	$E.addr := E_1.addr$
$E \rightarrow id$	<pre>p := lookup(id.name);</pre>
	if $p \neq nil$ then E.addr := p
$E \rightarrow \text{num}$	else <i>error</i> <i>E.addr</i> := newtemp();
	<i>E.addr</i> := num. <i>val</i>

Assignments and Symbol Tables: Notes

- The function emit() output to a file a three-address code such that:
 - Everything quoted is taken literally;
 - Provide the second s
- Temporary names are generated for intermediate computations.
 - The function newtemp() generates distinct temporary names t_1, t_2, \ldots
- Expressions have a synthesized attribute:

E.addr: Temporary name holding the value of E;

- Names/addresses stand for pointers to their symbol table entries: other info are needed for the final code generation (in particular, the storage address).
 - Note. Under this assumption Temporary Names must be also entered into the symbol table as they are created by the newtemp() function.
- The function lookup(id.name) return *nil* if the entry is not found in the symbol table, otherwise a pointer to the entry is returned.
 - The lookup(id.name) can be easily modified to account for scope: If name does not appear in the current symbol table the enclosing symbol table is checked (see the Lecture on "Symbol Table").

Code for Assignments: An Example

Given the assignment a := b * -c + d the code generated by the above grammar is:



$$t_1 := \text{ uminus } c$$

$$t_2 := b * t_1$$

$$t_3 := t_2 + d$$

$$a := t_3$$

- Three-Address Code
- Code for Assignments
- Code for Boolean Expressions and Flow-of-Control Statements

- Boolean Expressions are used to either compute logical values or as conditional expressions in flow-of-control statements.
- We consider Boolean Expressions with the following grammar:

 $E \rightarrow E$ or $E \mid E$ and $E \mid$ not $E \mid (E) \mid E$ relop $E \mid$ true | false

- There are two methods to evaluate Boolean Expressions
 - Numerical Representation. Encode true with '1' and false with '0' and we proceed analogously to arithmetic expressions.
 - Jumping Code. We represent the value of a Boolean Expression by a position reached in a program.

Numerical Representation of Boolean Expressions

- Expressions will be evaluated from left to right assuming that: or and and are left-associative, and that or has lowest precedence, then and, and finally not.
- Example 1. The translation for "a or (b and (not c))" is:
 - $t_1 := \text{not c}$
 - $t_2 := b$ and t_1
 - $t_3 := a \text{ or } t_2$
- Example 2. A relational expression such as a < b is equivalent to the conditional statement if a < b then 1 else 0. Its translation involves *jumps to labeled statements*:
 - 100: if a<b goto 103
 - 101: t := 0 102: goto 104
 - 103: t := 1

104:

Numerical Representation: The Translation

• The following S-Attributed Definition makes use of the global variable nextstat that gives the index of the next three-address code statement and is incremented by *emit*.

Production	Semantic Rules
$E \rightarrow E_1$ or E_2	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':='E_1.addr'or'E_2.addr)$
$E \rightarrow E_1$ and E_2	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':='E_{1.}addr'and'E_{2.}addr)$
$E \rightarrow \text{not } E_1$	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit(E.addr':=''not'E_1.addr)$
$E ightarrow (E_1)$	$E.addr := E_1.addr$
$E \rightarrow E_1$ relop E_2	E.addr := newtemp();
	$emit('if' E_1.addr relop.op E_2.addr 'goto'$
	nextstat + 3);
	emit(<i>E.addr</i> ' :=' '0');
	emit('goto' nextstat + 2);
	emit(E.addr':=''1')
$E \rightarrow true$	E.addr := newtemp(); emit(E.addr':=''1')
$E \rightarrow false$	E.addr := newtemp(); emit(E.addr':=''0')

Alessandro Artale

Formal Languages and Compilers Lecture IX—Intermediate Code Generation

Jumping Code for Boolean Expressions

- The value of a Boolean Expression is represented by a position in the code.
- Consider Example 2: We can tell what value *t* will have by whether we reach statement 101 or statement 103.
- Jumping code is extremely useful when Boolean Expressions are in the context of flow-of-control statements.
- We start by presenting the translation for flow-of-control statements generated by the following grammar:
 - $S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S$
 - if E then S_1 else S_2
 - while E do S

- In the translation, we assume that a three-address code statement can have a *symbolic label*, and that the function newlabel() generates such labels.
- We associate with *E* two labels using **inherited attributes**:
 - E.true, the label to which control flows if E is true;
 - E.false, the label to which control flows if E is false.
- We associate to *S* the inherited attribute *S.next* that represents the label attached to the first statement after the code for *S*.
- **Note 1.** This method of generating symbolic labels can lead to a proliferation of label: The *backpatching* method (see the Book) creates labels only when needed and emits directly the code.
- Note 2. To substitute symbolic labels with actual addresses a second pass is needed: *backpatching* will avoid also the two-pass translation.

Flow-of-Control Statements (Cont.)

• The following figures show how the flow-of-control statements are translated.



Flow-of-Control Statements: The Translation

Production	Semantic Rules
$P \rightarrow S$	S.next = newlabel();
	$P.code := S.code \parallel gen(S.next' :')$
$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S_1$	E.true := newlabel(); E.false := S.next;
	$S_1.next := S.next;$
	$S.code := E.code gen(E.true ':') S_1.code$
$S \rightarrow \text{if } E \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2$	<i>E.true</i> := newlabel(); <i>E.false</i> := newlabel();
	$S_1.next := S.next; S_2.next := S.next;$
	$S.code := E.code \parallel gen(E.true':') \parallel S_1.code \parallel$
	gen('goto' <i>S.next</i>)
	gen(<i>E.false</i> ':') $ S_2.code$
$S \rightarrow$ while E do S_1	E.begin := newlabel();
	<i>E.true</i> := newlabel(); <i>E.false</i> := <i>S.next</i> ;
	$S_1.next := E.begin;$
	S.code := gen(E.begin ':') E.code
	gen(<i>E.true</i> ':') <i>S</i> ₁ .code
	gen('goto' E.begin)

Translation Scheme

$$\begin{split} P &\rightarrow \{S.next = \texttt{newlabel}(); \} S \{P.code := S.code \parallel \texttt{gen}(S.next' :')\}\\ S &\rightarrow \texttt{if} \{E.true := \texttt{newlabel}(); E.false := S.next; \} E \texttt{then}\\ \{S_1.next := S.next; \} S_1 \{S.code := E.code \parallel \texttt{gen}(E.true ' :') \parallel\\ S_1.code\}\\ S &\rightarrow \texttt{if} \{E.true := \texttt{newlabel}(); E.false := \texttt{newlabel}(); \} E \texttt{then}\\ \{S_1.next := S.next; \} S_1 \texttt{else} \{S_2.next := S.next; \} S_2\\ \{S.code := E.code \parallel \texttt{gen}(E.true ' :') \parallel S_1.code \parallel\\ \texttt{gen}(\texttt{'goto}' S.next) \parallel \texttt{gen}(E.false ' :') \parallel S_2.code\} \end{split}$$

Jumping Code for Boolean Expressions (Cont.)

- Boolean Expressions are translated in a sequence of conditional and unconditional jumps to either *E.true* or *E.false*.
- a < b. The code is of the form: if a < b goto *E.true*

goto *E.false*

- E_1 or E_2 . If E_1 is true then E is true, so E_1 .true = E.true. Otherwise, E_2 must be evaluated, so E_1 .false is set to the label of the first statement in the code for E_2 .
- E_1 and E_2 . Analogous considerations apply.
- not E_1 . We just interchange the true and false with that for E.
- **Note.** Both the *true* and *false* attributes are inherited and the translation is an L-attributed grammar.

Jumping Code for Boolean Expressions: The Translation

Production	Semantic Rules
$E \rightarrow E_1$ or E_2	E_1 .true := E.true; E_1 .false := newlabel();
	E_2 .true := E.true; E_2 .false := E.false;
	$E.code := E_1.code \parallel gen(E_1.false ':') \parallel E_2.code$
$E \rightarrow E_1$ and E_2	E_1 .true := newlabel(); E_1 .false := E .false;
	E_2 .true := E.true; E_2 .false := E.false;
	$E.code := E_1.code \parallel gen(E_1.true ':') \parallel E_2.code$
$E \rightarrow \text{not } E_1$	E_1 .true := E.false; E_1 .false := E.true;
	$E.code := E_1.code$
$E ightarrow (E_1)$	E_1 .true := E.true; E_1 .false := E.false;
	$E.code := E_1.code$

(Follows \rightarrow)

Production	Semantic Rules
$E \rightarrow E_1$ relop E_2	$E.code := E_1.code \parallel E_2.code \parallel$
	gen('if' $E_1.addr$ relop.op $E_2.addr$ 'goto' $E.true$)
	gen('goto' <i>E.false</i>)
$E \rightarrow id$	p = lookup(id.name);
	if(p.type = bool) then
	E.code := gen('if' p = true 'goto' E.true)
	gen('goto' <i>E.false</i>)
	else if $(p \neq nil)$ then
	E.addr = p; E.code = ' '
	else error
$E \rightarrow true$	<i>E.code</i> := gen('goto' <i>E.true</i>)
$E \rightarrow false$	<i>E.code</i> := gen('goto' <i>E.false</i>)

Flow-of-Control and Boolean Expressions: An Example

 Example. Translate the following statement: while a < b do if c or d then x := y + z else x := y - z

- Three-Address Code
- Code for Assignments
- Code for Boolean Expressions and Flow-of-Control Statements