

# Programming Paradigms

## Unit 13 — Input/Output and Error Handling

J. Gamper

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano  
Faculty of Computer Science  
IDSE

# Outline

1 Input/Output

2 Handling Errors

# Outline

1 **Input/Output**

2 Handling Errors

# Input/Output

- Remember that Haskell is **pure** (referential transparency), that is:
  - Functions take inputs and compute outputs (and for the same input always the same output); nothing else happens in-between
  - In particular, they have no side-effects/external effects
    - do not modify global variables or depend on it
    - may not print anything on the screen
    - may not read from the keyboard, or filesystem, or network
- Adding IO to a purely functional language is a challenge, since **IO operations are not functions**
  - **Input** does not need an input parameter, and may return different values
  - **Output** does not return a value, but clearly has side effects: it changes the state of the output device
- If IO operations were functions, this would create problems, e.g.,
  - two calls of an input function `getchar`, `getchar` would be executed only once as the result is reused, which makes no sense for IO;
  - a fake parameter could be introduced, e.g., `getchar 1`, `getchar 1`, etc., to ensure that each call is actually executed
- As we will see, it is possible to do IO in Haskell, but it looks very different than in most other languages

# IO Type and Actions/1

- The solution to I/O in Haskell is a **special type**, called **IO**
- Values of type **IO a** are called **IO actions** and are “**descriptions of effectful computations**”
- If executed, an IO action **IO a**
  - performs some effectful I/O operations (**side-effect, impure**), and
  - produces a return value of type **a** (**pure**)
- The description itself is **safe as it has no effects**: IO is just a description on how to produce **a**
  - Compare it to a cake vs. a recipe on how to make a cake
    - `c :: Cake`
    - `r :: Recipe Cake`
- Hence, IO actions in Haskell separate the functional (“pure”) parts of a program from the non-functional (“impure”) parts

## IO Type and Actions/2

- Haskell has `getLine` to read a string and `putStrLn` to print a string
- Lets have a look at the types of `getLine` and `putStrLn`?

```
> :t getLine
```

```
getLine :: IO String
```

- `getLine` has no input parameter and returns an IO action
- The IO action does some “dirty” stuff in IO, but the result is a “clean” data type, namely a string

```
> :t putStrLn
```

```
putStrLn :: String -> IO ()
```

- `putStrLn` gets a string as input parameter and returns an IO action
  - The IO action does some “dirty” stuff and returns `()`
- The type `()` is called **unit** and has one value, namely `()` (similar to `void`)

# Executing an IO Action

- Recall that the value of an IO action is just a recipe, which **does not do anything**
- But how can we actually execute IO actions?
- For an **executable Haskell program**, there is only one way to execute an IO action: **assign it to main**, which will run it for you

```
module Main where  
  
main = putStrLn "Hello World!"
```

- The use of the name **main** is important: `main` is defined to be the entry point of a Haskell program (similar to the `main` function in C)
  - Some versions might also support different names from “`main`”
- Actually, `main` forwards the execution of IO actions to the Haskell runtime system
- You can put the above in a file `helloworld.hs` and run it through `ghc` to get an executable program

# Executing Sequences of IO Actions/1

- Running a single IO action would not lead to very exciting programs
- Haskell allows you to “glue” together IO actions using the `do` notation

```
main = do
  putStrLn "Hi there, what's your name?"
  name <- getLine
  putStrLn ("Hello " ++ name ++ "!")
```

- The lines in a `do`-block work similar to an imperative execution
  - Allows to execute a sequence of IO actions, one after the other
- `<-` extracts the “pure” part (the string) from `getLine`'s return value, which has type `IO String`
  - Can only be used in a `do`-block
- Notice that `name = getLine` and `putStrLn ("Hello " ++ getLine )` would not work



## Executing Sequences of IO Actions/2

- The IO action carries along the “baggage of the impure” context
  - So you don't have to worry about it
- If you want to do a “pure” assignment in the context of IO, you have to use `let`

```
module Main where
import Data.Char
main = do
    putStrLn "What's your name?"
    name <- getLine
    let bigName = map toUpper name
    putStrLn ("Hi " ++ bigName ++ "!")
```

- The `let` statement in a `do`-block allows you to create a new variable bound to a “pure” value

# Executing Sequences of IO Actions/3

- In summary, a do-block
  - introduces a sequence of statements
  - and executes these statements in order
- A statement can be one of the following:
  - an IO action
  - a `<-`, binding the (“pure”) result of an action
  - a `let`, expressing “pure” definitions

# Executing IO Actions in GHCi

- An IO action can also be **executed directly in the interactive Haskell shell**, like any other function

```
> putStrLn "Hello World!"  
Hello World!
```

- We can also use IO functions in the body of other functions

```
> let hw = putStrLn "Hello World!"  
> hw  
Hello World!
```

- So, there's no need to go via `main` in the shell
- That means, in the shell we are in an IO environment
- Consequently, we had to use `let` to do “pure” stuff

## File IO – Reading

- Lets look at **file IO**, using an example that counts the # of lines of a file

```
module Main where
```

```
import System.IO
```

```
main = do
```

```
    theInput <- readFile "countlines.hs"
```

```
    putStrLn (countLines theInput)
```

```
countLines :: String -> String
```

```
countLines str = show (length (lines str))
```

- `import System.IO` is a so-called **language pragma**, which imports features that are not part of the standardized Haskell language
- The **readFile** function reads a file and returns the contents of the file as a string; the file is read lazily, on demand
- The function `lines :: String -> [String]` breaks a string on newline and returns an array of strings
- The function `length :: [a] -> Int` returns the length of a finite list

# File IO – Writing

- Writing to a file is simple

```
module Main where
import System.IO
main = do
    putStrLn "Writing to a file ..."
    putStrLn "What do you want to write?"
    what <- getLine
    putStrLn "To which file?"
    file <- getLine
    writeFile file what
```

- `writeFile` will overwrite an existing file
- Use `appendFile` if you'd like to append instead

# Monads

- The principle used for IO actions can be generalized and not only applied to IO
- Haskell uses the concept of a **monad** to handle “impurity”
  - For example, for IO, non-determinism, and exceptions
- We are going to introduce the general principle a bit later
- First, we are going to look at another example where Haskell meets the messy “real world”

# Outline

1 Input/Output

**2 Handling Errors**

# Handling Errors

- Sometimes things go wrong, i.e., a function is not able to return a value
- For example, if we call `head` on an empty list, we get an error
- We don't necessarily want the program to just stop working and output an error in a case like that
- However, a function always has to return a value
- So we have to be able to handle the concept of failure (which is “impure” in Haskell's eyes)



# Errors and the Maybe Type

- Haskell offers the type constructor `Maybe` that has a type parameter `a`:  

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```
- `Maybe a` is a normal data type, but it "lifts" a data type `a` into a new context
- A value of type `Maybe a` represents a value of type `a` with the context of a possible failure attached to it
  - A value of `Just 1` means that the number 1 is there
  - The extra value `Nothing` represents the lack of value of type `a` or a computation failure or ...
- The type system then requires that you check for that extra value, which prevents a remarkable number of bugs
- Many other languages handle this sort of "no-value" value with `NULL`

# Handling Errors with the Maybe Type/1

- Now we can “wrap” the result of a function call inside of a Maybe:
  - if the function call was successful, we hand it to the value constructor Just
  - otherwise, it becomes Nothing
- Let's write an alternative version of head that can cope with empty lists

```
safeHead :: [a] -> Maybe a
```

```
safeHead [] = Nothing
```

```
safeHead (x:xs) = Just x
```

```
> safeHead [1,2,3]
```

```
Just 1
```

```
> safeHead []
```

```
Nothing
```

## Handling Errors with the Maybe Type/2

- However, this comes at a price: we've introduced "impurity" into our function
- For example, the following expression will raise an error

```
doubleMe (safeHead [1,2,3])
```

- The result of `safeHead` is `Just 1` (of type `Maybe`), but `doubleMe` expects a pure integer
- So, how can we use the "impure" result of `safeHead` in other pure functions?
- Hint: `Maybe` is an **instance** of the type class `Functor`
  - Quick reminder: a functor can be seen as content "wrapped" in a box
  - So, Haskell does not allow the concept of failure to **escape its impure box**
  - So we have to get inside of the box

## Handling Errors with the Maybe Type/3

- The typeclass Functor provides the function fmap to get inside the “box”
- fmap gets us on the inside of Maybe

```
> fmap doubleMe (safeHead [1,2,3])  
Just 2
```

- safeHead [1,2,3] returns Just 1
- fmap pushes the execution of doubleMe inside the “Just box”

```
> fmap doubleMe (safeHead [])  
Nothing
```

- If there is Nothing inside, fmap will not even apply the function, but return Nothing

## How to Extract a Pure Value from Maybe?

- The easiest way is to use function `fromMaybe` from `Data.Maybe`
- You have to supply a default value that will be used in case the value is `Nothing`

```
> import Data.Maybe  
Just 2
```

```
Data.Maybe> fromMaybe 0 (Just 42)  
42
```

```
Data.Maybe> fromMaybe 0 Nothing  
0
```