#### Dependability properties

Barbara Russo SwSE - Software and Systems Engineering Research Group



# Quality process

- Dependability goals and properties are concerns of Quality Process (QP)
- QP is a means to fulfill required, desired, specific functional and non-functional properties that are called Quality Goals

# Quality process

- A QP is needed
  - Even when specifications do no state specific quality properties of a system there is always a degree of quality in place to satisfy
    - E.g., modern development requires a certain coverage with unit testing

# Quality goals

- Improving software product during and after development
- Assessing software product quality before delivery
- Improving quality process within and across projects

# Quality goals

- Product goals are goals of software quality engineering
- Process goals are means to achieve product goals
- To achieve goals we need to
  - Make them explicit
  - E.g., discover them during a feasibility study

# Quality goals

- Product
  - Internal qualities
  - External qualities
    - Usefulness qualities
    - Dependability qualities

# Dependability qualities

- We have seen:
  - Correctness
  - Reliability
  - Robustness
  - Safety
- Specification Self-Consistency

#### Correctness

- System behaviour can be only successful or failing
  - <u>Example:</u> a program cannot be 30% correct
  - A program is correct if all its possible behaviours are successes
- A (hardware/software) system is correct if all its sub-parts (e.g., sub-systems, components, external libraries, devices) behave correctly

#### Correctness

- What is a successful behavior of a system?
- A system is **correct** if it is **consistent with all its specifications** 
  - Specifications can be very badly defined!
  - Correctness is seldom practical
  - Failures might not yet be known: zero-days vulnerabilities

# Reliability

- **Statistical approximation to correctness:** probability that a system deviates form the expected behaviour
  - Likelihood against given specifications
- Unlike correctness it is defined against an operational profile of a software system

# Operational profile

- The probability that a given number of users (workload intensity) would access a system/ functionality/service/operation concurrently
- It is a quantitative characterization of how a system will be used
  - It shows how to increase productivity and reliability and speed development by allocating development resources to function on the basis of use

#### Operational profile - system level



Configuration ( $\alpha$ )		
Memory	CPU	# Replicas
8	0.25	4
16	0.25	2
8	0.25	2
16	0.25	4
8	0.25	1
16	0.5	4
8	0.5	2
16	0.5	4
8	0.5	1
16	0.5	1
8	0.5	2
16	0.25	1

Freie Universität Bozen Unibz Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

# Operational profile

- <u>Example:</u> to guide verification:
  - if the verification is terminated and system is deployed or the software is released because of schedule constraints, the most-used operations will have received the most testing and the reliability level will be the maximum that is practically achievable for the given test time

### Operational profile - operation level



Operations



# Major Measures of Reliability

- Availability: the portion of time in which the software operates with no down time
- **Time Between Failures:** the time elapsing between two consecutive failures
- **Cumulative number of failures:** the total number of failures occurred at time

#### Robustness

- A system **maintains operations** under exceptional circumstances
- It fails "softly" outside its normal operating parameters
- It is "fault tolerant"
  - Despite faults, it operates

- Unusual circumstance: unforeseen (not in the specifications) load of users accessing a web site
- Robust software:
- <u>A workaround:</u> Maintain the same throughput speed while stopping last arrived users until the load is decreased
  - It does not decrease performance for registered users

 Action to be taken to increase robustness: Augment software specifications with appropriate responses to given unusual circumstances (enrich the operational profile with unlikely situations)



# Safety

- Robustness in case of hazardous behaviour (hazard)
- A hazard is any agent that can cause harm or damage to humans, property, or the environment
- Safety is very focused on functionalities that can determine hazards, not concerned with other issues on functionalities

# Safety

- Often needed in critical systems, but not only:
  - Word crashes -> reliability or robustness
  - Word crashes and corrupts existing files -> safety



#### Hazard

- Safety is meaningless without a specification of hazards
- It is important to identify and classify hazards for the given software



#### Hazard - how to detect them

- Do it in the embedded environment (often hazards are related to specific environmental circumstances)
- Separate the analysis of hazards from any other verification activity



#### Exercise

• Discuss the dependability properties of a traffic light system and classify its hazards





- **Reliability:** built according to central scheduling and practice
- Robustness, safety: degraded function when possible; never signal conflicting greens
  - Blinking red / blinking yellow is better than no lights;
  - No lights is better than conflicting greens

Freie Universität Bozen Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

Source: Mauro Pezze' and Michal Young

#### Relations



Freie Universität Bozen Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

Source: Mauro Pezze' and Michal Young

#### Exercise

- Find examples of
  - correct but not safe,
  - reliable but not correct,
  - robust but not safe,
  - safe but not correct

#### Which type of issue is this?

Problem Statement:

For many downloads (especially large files) the publisher often releases MD5 checksums in order to assure that the download arrives as intended. The problem is that this checksum often requires a manual check by the recipient of the download. The recipient is often unwilling or unable to do this verification and continues on assuming the download is good, without actually checking.

Relates to Spec section: <u>http://dev.w3.org/html5/spec/links.html#links-created-by-a-and-area-elements</u> <u>http://dev.w3.org/html5/spec/text-level-semantics.html#the-a-element</u>

Possible Solution:

A possible solution would be to include the checksum as a machine readable attribute or tag that specifies the checksum and algorithm for the user agent to verify the download after the download finishes, giving instant feedback to the user.



#### Exercise

- Analyse an issue report and classify it in terms of the dependability properties.
- Example: SpringFramework Jira
  - testBindInstantFromJavaUtilDate fails on systems in the Pacific/Auckland time zone [SPR-16534]
  - https://jira.spring.io/browse/SPR-16534? jql=project%20%3D%20SPR%20AND%20created%3E%3
     D-1w%20ORDER%20BY%20created%20DESC

#### Security

- Reflects a system's ability to protect itself from attacks
- Security is increasingly important when systems are networked to each other
- Security is an essential pre-requisite for reliability and safety

# Effects of security

- If a system is networked and insecure then statements about its reliability and safety are unreliable:
  - Intrusion (attack) can change the system's operating environment or data and invalidate the assumptions (specifications) upon which the reliability and safety are made



Freie Universität Bozen Unibz Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

# Examples of insecurity damages

- Denial of Service
  - system forced into state where providing service is impossible or significantly degraded
- Corruption of Programs or Data

   modifications made by unauthorised user
- Disclosure of Confidential Information
  - information managed by system is exposed to people who are not authorised users



Attack type¤	Description
	Blocking traffic in order to make network and service unavailable (e.g. flooding with false
Denial of Service (DoS)	requests)¤
Man-in-the-Middle (MITM)¤	Sending a modified message to a target on order to take, from the system creator point of
	view, undesired function
Eavesdropping <sup>.</sup> ¤	Intercepting any transmitted data by the system
Spoofing <sup>•</sup> ¤	Pretending to be a part of the system in order to get involved in system activities
Reply (Playback)¤	Re-transmitting received packet from the destination node in order to gain system's trust
Compromised Key¤	The key that secures communication is the target of the attack
Node capture <sup>¤</sup>	Taking over a node to leak information that could include encryption keys and threatening the security of the whole system using it
False Node¤	Adding an additional node to the network to attack data integrity by sending malicious data
Node Outage¤	Stopping node services to affect availability and integrity <sup>a</sup>
Path-Based DOS	Over-flooding a routing path to reduce the nodes availability
Resistance	Forcing compromised sensors and controllers to start to operate at a different resonant frequency
Integrity¤	Injecting false sensor measurements to disrupt the system external control inputs $\square$
Routing	Introducing routing loops that cause transmitting delay or extended source paths
Wormhole	Announcing false paths through which all packages are routed in order to make information holes
Jamming¤	Introducing noise in a wireless channel between a sensor nodes and remote base station
Selective Forwarding <sup>¤</sup>	A compromised node selects certain packages and forwards them while drops and discards the others
Sinkhole¤	Announcing the best routing path that actually leads to another nodes
Buffer Overflow	Exploiting the opportunities of any vulnerability in the software that leads to buffer overflow
Malicious Code¤	Launching malicious code, such as a virus or a worm, which can cause network to slow down or create damage

-

#### Security Assurance

- Vulnerability Avoidance
  - System designed so vulnerabilities can not occur (e.g. no network connection)
- Attack Detection and Elimination
  - System designed so attacks on vulnerabilities do not occur (e.g. use of anti-virus software)
- Exposure Limitation
  - System designed so damage from attacks is minimal (e.g. a backup policy that allows restoration of damaged files)

# Thesis topic

- Mirai virus
- Predict Mirai attacks by exploring system's performance over time in microservice-based systems
  - SUT Sockshop TicketTrain

# Thesis topic



#### Time to watch!

• Watch the following lecture from MIT open course on Verification and Validation and report back any unknown fact on "system" verification and validation

<u>https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/aeronautics-and-astronautics/16-842-fundamentals-of-systems-engineering-fall-2015/class-videos/session-9-verification-and-validation/</u>

#### Verification techniques

Barbara Russo SwSE - Software and Systems Engineering Research Group



# Verification techniques - types

- Program review
- Program analysis
- Testing



#### Verifications techniques



User review of behavior





# Analysis

- Analysis of the system/software program and its artefacts
- Analysis techniques that do **not need to execute code** or **run the system** are the most used as they can be used at any stage of development
  - Example: manual code inspection

- Estimating correctness
  - Identify software micro-architectures in software architectures that
  - 1. frequently change over versions & are prone to defects:
    - <u>Artefacts:</u> classes and their calls
  - 2. or frequently change over versions & change together (e.g., to fix a bug)
    - Artefact: bug report, code commit

• Are these micro-structures intentional (design patterns) or residual of bad code?

Factory method	⊶
Prototype	o <del>⊷</del> o
Singleton	0
(Object)Adapter-Commander	0+0
Composite	00
Decorator	⊶o
Observer	00
State-Strategy	0 0
Template-method	0-⇒
Progy	7



Freie Universität Bozen Libera Università di Bolzano Università Liedia de Bulsan

Visitor

- Representing the commit process as a graph, where nodes are commits and edges occur when two commits change the same files
- Mining commits' graphs (subgraph isomorphisms) to investigate the presence of large architectural changes and their likelihood of occurrence in bug fixing.
- <u>Artefact:</u> code commit, changed files



- To predict the anomalies of systems
  - Mining systems logs to model and predict system mis-behavior (anomalies).
  - Artefacts: log events

#### <INFO

TimeStamp= 20170605T05:54:05.520Z
File= ObservingModeBaseImpl.java
Line= 260
Routine= beginSubscan
Host= gas01
Process= CONTROL/ACC/javaContainer
SourceObject= CONTROL/Array001
Thread= Thread771
LogId= 20375
Audience= Operator >
<![CDATA['Scan 5, subscan 3 has an intent of HOT, takes
5.760 seconds from 05:54:05.520 to 05:54:11.280']]>
</INFO>

#### Review

- The goal is to examine a software/system artefact and to approve it
  - Systematic inspection of software/system to find and resolve defects
  - Typically, performed manually
  - Documents like requirements, system designs, codes, test plans and test cases



# Testing

- Analysis and review are typically static
- Testing is a **dynamic process** 
  - It requires to execute the software or run the system
  - It can be done only when the artefacts to be tested are "executable"

#### Exercise

```
[1] int foo (int a, int b, int c, int d, float e) {
[2]
     if (a == 0) {
[3]
        return 0;
[4]
     }
[5] int x = 0;
[6] if ( (a==b) II ( (c == d) && bug(a) ) ) {
[7]
     x=1;
[8]
     }
[9]
     e = 1/x;
[10]
      return e;
[11] }
```

```
bug(a) = TRUE if !a==0 else 0
```

#### Exercise

Verification technique

- Statement coverage
- If 100% of statement are covered by tests then the method is correct



#### Exercise - Statement coverage

- Identify input values that execute all statements
  - How many t-uples of input values? What is the output for each of them?
  - I/O and pass and fail criterion define a test case

#### Test case - notation

- T Output value (input values)
- TFileNotFoundException(0, "Hello", 0.3)
- T<sub>3</sub>(0, "Home", 3)
- T(<sub>3,4)</sub>(1, "Home", "Layout")



# Exercise - program analysis

- Property: correctness
  - First check manually if the method is correct
  - Then use statement coverage
- Do the selected inputs catch the failure?
- Discuss accuracy of statement coverage with the t-uples of input values you chose: FP? FN?